UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK LORCEN BURROUGHS,

Plaintiff,

-V-

9:15-CV-0818 (DNH/ATB)

DERRICK PETRONE, JOHN DOE #1, KENNETH BALDWIN, ABEL MELENDEZ, PAUL R. MORRIS, SCOTT E. MELLET, JAMES G. MCKEOWN, PETER A. STETZ, and BRIAN FISCHER,

Defendants.

APPEARANCES:

OF COUNSEL:

LORCEN BURROUGHS Plaintiff, Pro Se

08-B-3022 Attica Correctional Facility Box 149

Attica, NY 14011

HON. ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN Attorney General for the State of New York Ass't Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants The Capitol Albany, NY 12224

MARK G. MITCHELL, ESQ.

DAVID N. HURD

United States District Judge

DECISION and ORDER

Pro se plaintiff Lorcen Burroughs brought this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 15, 2016, the Honorable Andrew T. Baxter, United States Magistrate Judge, advised by Report-Recommendation that defendants' motion for partial dismissal concerning defendants Petrone, Baldwin, Morris, Stetz and Fischer be granted in part and denied in part.

See ECF No. 67. Neither party has filed timely objections.

Based upon a de novo review of the Report-Recommendation, the Report-

Recommendation is accepted in whole. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Therefore, it is ORDERED that:

1. Defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 27) is **DENIED** as to defendants Petrone,

Baldwin, Morris and Stetz;

2. Defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 27) is **GRANTED** as to defendant Fischer,

and the complaint DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to plaintiff submitting a proposed

amended complaint on or before **October 26, 2016**, amending or supplementing only allegations

as to the personal involvement of defendant Fischer with respect to the alleged retaliatory

misbehavior report issued by defendant Petrone on July 7, 2012. Any proposed amended

complaint must completely supercede the original complaint and should include only the claims

that remain in this action after this Court's October 15, 2015 order, in addition to any amended

allegations relating to the personal involvement of defendant Fischer. Plaintiff should not repeat

any of the dismissed claims in the amended complaint; and

3. The Clerk serve a copy of this Decision and Order upon plaintiff in accordance with

the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

Dated: September 26, 2016

Utica, New York

- 2 -