

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

---

PAUL RAMOS,

Plaintiff,

9:17-CV-0259 (BKS/CFH)

v.

NEW YORK STATE, et al.,

Defendants.

---

**Appearances:**

Paul Ramos  
15-B-0310  
Clinton Correctional Facility  
P.O. Box 2002  
Dannemora, NY 12929  
*Plaintiff, pro se*

Erik Boule Pinsonnault, Esq.  
Office of New York State Attorney General  
The Capitol  
Albany, NY 12224  
*Attorney for Defendants*

**Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, United States District Judge:**

**MEMORANDUM-DECISION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff Paul Ramos, a New York State inmate, commenced this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that Defendants violated his rights under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments by assigning Plaintiff to “Special Watch” status, to be checked for the suspected presence of contraband, at Mid-State Correctional Facility. (Dkt. No. 12). Defendants have moved for summary judgment seeking, *inter alia*, dismissal of the amended complaint because Plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies before commencing this action. (Dkt. No. 37). The motion has been fully briefed. (Dkt. Nos. 44, 45). This matter was assigned to United States

Magistrate Judge Christian F. Hummel who, on December 27, 2018, issued a Report-Recommendation and Order recommending that Defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted. (Dkt. No. 52). Magistrate Judge Hummel advised the parties that under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), they had fourteen days within which to file written objections to the report, and that the failure to object to the report within fourteen days would preclude appellate review. (Dkt. No. 52, at 17). No objections to the Report-Recommendation have been filed.

As no objections to the Report-Recommendation have been filed, and the time for filing objections has expired, the Court reviews the Report-Recommendation for clear error. *See Petersen v. Astrue*, 2 F. Supp. 3d 223, 228–29 (N.D.N.Y. 2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee's note to 1983 amendment. Having reviewed the Report-Recommendation for clear error and found none, the Report-Recommendation is adopted in its entirety.

For these reasons, it is

**ORDERED** that the Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 52) is **ADOPTED** in its entirety; and it is further


**ORDERED** that Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 37) is **GRANTED**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Plaintiff's amended complaint (Dkt. No. 12) is **DISMISSED in its entirety, with prejudice**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk serve a copy of this Order upon the parties in accordance with the Local Rules.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: January 25, 2019  
Syracuse, New York

  
**Brenda K. Sannes**  
U.S. District Judge