

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

DANIEL CAMPBELL,

Petitioner,

v.

9:19-CV-1174  
(GTS/ATB)

JAMIE LAMANNA, Superintendent,  
Green Haven Correctional Facility,

Respondent.

---

APPEARANCES:

OF COUNSEL:

DANIEL CAMPBELL, #236646

Petitioner, *Pro Se*

CNY PC

P.O. Box 300

Marcy, New York 13403

HON. LETITIA A. JAMES

Attorney General for the State of New York

Counsel for Respondent

28 Liberty Street

New York, New York 10005

PAUL B. LYONS, ESQ.

Assistant Attorney General

GLENN T. SUDDABY, Chief United States District Judge

**DECISION and ORDER**

Currently before the Court, in this *habeas corpus* proceeding brought by Daniel Campbell (“Petitioner”) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, is the Chief United States Magistrate Judge Andrew T. Baxter’s Report-Recommendation recommending that the Petition be denied and dismissed, and that a certificate of appealability be denied. (Dkt. No. 25.) Petitioner has not filed an Objection to the Report-Recommendation, and the deadline by which to do so has expired. (*See generally* Docket Sheet.) After carefully reviewing the relevant papers herein,

including Magistrate Judge Baxter's thorough Report-Recommendation, the Court can find no clear-error in the Report-Recommendation.<sup>1</sup> Magistrate Judge Baxter employed the proper standards, accurately recited the facts, and reasonably applied the law to those facts. As a result, the Report-Recommendation is accepted and adopted in its entirety for the reasons set forth therein, Petitioner's Petition is denied and dismissed, and a certificate of appealability is denied.


**ACCORDINGLY**, it is

**ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge Baxter's Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 25) is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in its entirety; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Petitioner's Petition for a Writ of *Habeas Corpus* (Dkt. No. 1) is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

Dated: May 28, 2020  
Syracuse, New York

  
Hon. Glenn T. Suddaby  
Chief U.S. District Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> When no objection is made to a report-recommendation, the Court subjects that report-recommendation to only a clear error review. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), Advisory Committee Notes: 1983 Addition. When performing such a "clear error" review, "the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Id.*; see also *Batista v. Walker*, 94-CV-2826, 1995 WL 453299, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. July 31, 1995) (Sotomayor, J.) ("I am permitted to adopt those sections of [a magistrate judge's] report to which no specific objection is made, so long as those sections are not facially erroneous.") (internal quotation marks omitted).