## **EXHIBIT 13**

Page 1

g1080212.txt 00001 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 In Re: Methyl Tertiary
Butyl Ether ("MTBE") 3 Master File No. 1:00-1898 MDL 1358 4 Products Liability (SAS): M21-88 Litigation 5 6 This Document Relates To: 7 New Jersey Department of Environmental 8 Protection, et al., v. Atlantic Richfield Co., et al. No. 08 Civ. 00312 9 10 August 2, 2012 11 12 Oral Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of Plaintiff New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, 13 14 through its representative GARY S. LIPSIUS, REGARDING HP DELTA, taken pursuant to notice, was held at the offices of the STATE OF NEW JERSEY, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 15 16 401 East State Street, Trenton, New Jersey, beginning at 9:56 a.m., on the above date, before Kimberly A. Cahill, a Federally Approved Registered Merit 17 18 Reporter and Notary Public for the State 19 of New Jersey. 20 -21 GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 877.370.3377 ph| 917.591.5672 fax deps@golkow.com 22 23 24 00002 1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 3 MILLER, AXLINE & SAWYER BY: BRIAN D. SHANNON, ESQUIRE 4 1050 Fulton Avenue, Suite 100 Sacramento, California 95825-4225 5 (916) 488-6688 bshannon@toxictorts.org 6 Representing the Plaintiffs 7 8 EIMER STAHL LLP BY: PAMELA R. HANEBUTT, ESQUIRE 9 224 South Michigan Avenue Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60604-2516 (312) 660-7600 10 phanebutt@eimerstahl.com 11 Representing the Defendant, CITGO 12 Petroleum

R

g1080212.txt Mr. Corcory retired. Is there someone else that 4 5 6 7 Α. Q. you could have spoken to in his stead? MR. SHANNON: Calls for 8 9 speculation. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd 10 like to correct testimony that I 11 made earlier, because I just remembered someone I did speak to. 12 MS. HANEBUTT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Bradi Montozzi 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 22 24 -- her name at the time I believe was Sklar. It may have been Montozzi on some of the documents -- but she worked for Mr. Corcory and I had a brief discussion with her, which I just forgot about. BY MS. HANEBUTT: what did you talk to her Q. about? I mentioned to her that I Α. Л 00042 was being deposed for this HP Delta site 1 23 and that she had been -- that I mentioned that I had seen her name listed on some of the enforcement documents at the time 4 5 6 7 she worked for Mr. Corcory. And I asked her what she remembered about the -- the site, 8 9 enforcement-wise. And what did she have to say Q. 10 in that regard? 11 She told me that she really Α. didn't remember much about it at all, and 12 it wasn't a very long discussion. She just said, you know, I barely remember the case. I remember there was some --13 14 15 some potable wells that were contaminated, but she said she didn't really have much detail to give me. Q. Is it the DEP's contention 16 17 18 19 that releases from the HP Delta station 20 21 22 caused the MTBE impacts to the potable wells along Lancaster Road? 23 MR. SHANNON: Calls for 24 expert opinion. It's beyond the Π 00043 scope for which this witness is 1 2 being produced. 3 THE WITNESS: Could you clarify the question, the NJDEP's position? 4 56789 BY MS. HANEBUTT: Q. Well, you're here today as a representative of the DEP. Right. Α. Q. And as such, you have an obligation to answer questions based on 10 11 information known to or available to DEP, and I'm just wondering if DEP has a 12 13 14 position that the HP Delta station is Page 20

g1080212.txt responsible for the MTBE impacts in the 15 16 potable wells along Lancaster Road. MR. SHANNON: Calls for expert opinion and it's beyond the 17 18 scope for which this witness is being produced. 19 20 21 22 23 24 THE WITNESS: I believe it would be NJDEP's position that the contamination -- the MTBE contamination and TBA Π 00044 contamination found at the HP 1 2 3 Delta site is in some part responsible for the potable well 4 contamination associated with the Lancaster Road site. And that would be shown by the directive and in the inclusion of a 5 6 7 8 9 10 Lancaster Road well issue in the HP Delta directive. BY MS. HANEBUTT: And what is the basis for 11 Q. concluding that the HP Delta site is in 12 some part responsible for the Lancaster 13 14 15 Road contamination? MR. SHANNON: Calls for expert opinion. It's beyond the 16 17 scope for which this witness is 18 being produced. I think that question is --MS. HANEBUTT: No coaching. 19 20 21 You can just state your objection 22 23 -- I'm just going to tell you, as a matter of courtesy, because 24 you're new to the case and you Π 00045 have not met Her Honor, Judge Scheindlin, but she does not take 1 2 3 very kindly to coaching witnesses 4 on the record. All you're allowed to do is state the basis for your objection. Anything else is 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 improper. And if I have to, I'll get Special Master Warner on the phone. He, in fact, has invited as much, so --13 MR. SHANNON: That's what I was in the process of doing, counsel, and if I may finish, it's beyond the scope for which this 14 15 16 17 witness is produced. 18 19 I think that question is most appropriately addressed to 20 21 Mr. Akshay Parikh, who will be produced later today regarding the 22 23 issues related to the Lancaster Road wells. MR. HANEBUTT: Are you able 24 0

Page 21

g]080212.txt

п	g1080212.txt
00063	
1	contaminants that were found in the potable wells. BY MS. HANEBUTT:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Anything else? MR. SHANNON: Same objection.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: As I mentioned before, I think the fact that MW-01 contaminant levels found when the system was shut off, which is in the direction of the potable wells, also would lend one to think that you know, that the northwest direction of the site, notwithstanding the groundwater deep groundwater flow levels, it still seemed to be a potential source of the potable well contamination. BY MS. HANEBUTT: Q. What degree of confidence do you attach to that conclusion that HP Delta is a source of impacts to the Lancaster Road wells?
00064	
00064 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SHANNON: It's vague and ambiguous as phrased, calls for expert opinion. MS. HANEBUTT: You just said potential, so I'm trying to explore that. MR. SHANNON: Same objections; beyond the scope of the deposition or beyond the scope for which this witness is being produced. THE WITNESS: Again, I think Mr. Parikh would have some good insight into this question, but I'd be happy to answer the question, if I may. MR. SHANNON: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. I would say it's looking at the total history of the case and the contaminants and the proximity, that it's a the HP Delta site is a likely source. So you asked me to define
00065 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the probability, I would say likely. Likely doesn't mean for certain, but it's reasonable to assume that there has been a major component coming from the HP Delta site. BY MS. HANEBUTT: Q. Aside from Mr. Corcory, is
9	there anyone else that you would have Page 29