Filed 12/20/2005

Page 1 of 22

EXHIBIT 5

```
1
      51dftrac
                              CONFERENCE
 1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 1
      ----X
 2
 2
 3
     TRANSHORN, LTD.,
 3
                    Plaintiff,
 4
 5
                ν.
                                            04 CIV 1178
 5
 6
     UNITED TECHNOLOGIES
 6
     CORPORATION, et al.,,
 7
 7
                    Defendants.
 8
 8
      ----X
 9
 9
                                            January 13, 2005
10
                                            3:40 p.m.
10
11
     Before:
11
12
                          HON. THOMAS P. GRIESA,
12
13
                                            District Judge
13
14
                               APPEARANCES
14
15
     WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP
15
          Attorneys for Plaintiffs
     BY: FRED T. ISQUITH and ALEXANDER H. SCHMIDT
16
16
17
     LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA & ROBBINS LLP
17
          Attorneys for Plaintiffs
18
     BY: MARK SOLOMON
18
19
     STEYER LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS ALVAREZ & SMITH, LLP
19
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs
20
     BY: ALLAN STEYER
20
21
     LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN PLLP
21
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs
     BY: W. JOSEPH BRUCKNER
22
22
23
     LAW OFFICES OF BERNARD M. GROSS, PC
23
          Attorneys for Plaintiffs
24
     BY: WARREN RUBIN
24
25
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

```
51dftrac
                               CONFERENCE
      APPEARANCES (Continued)
 1
 2
     KOHN SWIFT & GRAF PC
 2
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 3
     BY: JOSEPH C. KOHN
     BRANSTETTER, KILGORE, STRANCH & JENNINGS
         Attorneys for Plaintiff
 5
     BY: J. GERARD STRANCH, IV
 5
 6
     CLEARY, GOTTLIEB, STEEN & HAMILTON
 6
          Attorneys for Defendant Otis Elevator and United
 7
      Technologies
 7
     BY: MARK LEDDY
 8
 8
 9
     SHEARMAN & STERLING, LLP
 9
          Attorneys for Defendants Schindler
     BY: KENNETH M. KRAMER
10
1.0
      THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
11
11
          Attorneys for Defendants Kone, Inc. and Kone Corp.
12
     BY: MICHAEL EVAN JAFFE and GERALD ZINGONE
12
13
     WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
13
          Attorneys for Defendants THYSSEN
14
     BY: CHRISTOPHER V. ROBERTS and SCOTT MARTIN
1.4
15
     GIBBONS, DEL DEO, DOLAN, GRIFFINER & VECCHIONE
15
          Attorneys for Defendants THYSSENKRUPP AG
16
     BY: TERRY MYERS and THOMAS R. VALEN
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

3 51dftrac CONFERENCE 1 THE COURT: To what do I owe the pleasure of your 2 company? There is a lot of company here. 3 MR. ISQUITH: Your Honor, would you --4 THE COURT: Who wants to speak? MR. ISQUITH: Fred Isquith from the Wolf Haldenstein 5 6 firm representing plaintiffs. THE COURT: From where? 7 8 MR. ISQUITH: Wolf Haldenstein in New York City. You 9 appointed our firm, along with the Lerach Coughlin Stoia & 10 Robbins firm from San Diego as co-lead counsel. This is my partner, Alex Schmidt. 11 12 We are here in part because your Honor has asked us to 13 be here, but in part because after 11 months we thought that 14 it's time to get the case started and organized and moving. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. ISQUITH: It has been sent to your Honor, although 17 the first cases started here, our case started here. There 18 were cases filed in various districts around the country, and 19 the judicial panel on multi district litigation transferred all 20 those cases to your Honor and consolidated them for pretrial 21 purposes only a few weeks ago. 22 In the meantime, and prior to that an amended 23 complaint was filed in this district under. Your Honor's 24 orders, the other complaints are incorporated and brought 25 within. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

51dftrac CONFERENCE 1 THE COURT: What's the case about? 2 MR. ISQUITH: Thank you, your Honor. 3 This is a case, an antitrust case. It deals with a 4 price fixing conspiracy within the elevator industry, elevators 5 and moving stairways and things like that, escalators and the rest. The defendants are the four or five major suppliers of 6 7 elevators, their parts and services. 8 THE COURT: Are they domestic companies? 9 MR. ISQUITH: Some are and some are not. 10 THE COURT: Who are the companies? 11 MR. ISQUITH: I'm going to forget this. 12 $\mbox{MR. SOLOMON:}\ \mbox{Your Honor, Otis Elevators, which is a}$ 13 subsidiary of United Technologies, Tycon Group, Kone and 14 Schindler and various related European affiliates. 15 THE COURT: There is a Japanese company, is that in 16 17 MR. LEDDY: Your Honor, there are several Japanese 18 companies who make elevators. One is Fuji Tech, is not a 19 defendant in this case. THE COURT: The defendants are American and European 20 21 companies? 22 MR. SOLOMON: That's correct, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 24 So, what did they do? 25 MR. ISQUITH: Well, essentially, your Honor, what we SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

51dftrac CONFERENCE 1 charge and what investigations by government authorities have tended to show, at least at the moment, is that they agreed to set prices among themselves. THE COURT: Did the Government bring any proceedings? 5 MR. ISQUITH: The United States government has not 6 brought a proceeding at this moment, although we do -- I don't 7 know if there is an investigation or not. I shouldn't say more 8 than that. 9 THE COURT: All right. Well, there is price fixing 10 and price fixing, so what do you say went on? 11 MR. ISQUITH: What the complaint charges, there is --12 I don't know if there is price fixing and there is price 13 fixing, but there is certainly -- when there are agreements 14 among competitors to set prices or markets, we believe that is 15 a violation. 16 THE COURT: What form did the agreements take? 17 MR. ISQUITH: In what sense, your Honor? 18 THE COURT: I mean, did they get together at trade fairs or did that -- what did they do? Or was it conscious 19 20 parallelism or whatever that is. MR. ISQUITH: No. We charge that there were actual 21 22 agreements transmitted word for word, whether that was 23 orally -- well, we don't expect that we are going to find a 24 smoking gun document signed and sealed by everybody if that's 25 what you mean.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

6 51dftrac CONFERENCE 1 THE COURT: Well, what do you have? MR. ISQUITH: What we have is a charge in the European 2 Antitrust Commission of particular price fixing and bid 3 rigging. 5 THE COURT: No. Look, can you be more specific? An 6 antitrust case has got to have some specifics, doesn't it? So 7 what are your specifics? 8 You say the president of one company met with the 9 other company and divided up the market or --10 MR. ISQUITH: No, I wouldn't want to go that far, your 11 Honor. I don't know those kinds of specifics, if you are 12 asking me when, where and when those conferences took place. 13 THE COURT: What's the basis for your complaint? MR. ISQUITH: The basis of our complaint, that is why 14 15 we brought it, what is our good faith basis for the complaint? THE COURT: Right. 16 17 MR. ISQUITH: Is an investigation and report in Europe 18 by the European Commission. 19 THE COURT: That doesn't mean much. What do you say 20 happened? 21 MR. ISQUITH: Your Honor, what we --22 THE COURT: Maybe you have read the investigation. Is 23 there an investigation to read? 24 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, if I may interrupt. 25 MR. ISQUITH: Please. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

51dftrac CONFERENCE 1 MR. SOLOMON: Be of assistance, hopefully. 2 In Europe, there is not a public document that details 3 the investigation. What we know is that the investigation is ongoing. What we know is that two of the defendants have 5 admitted to antitrust violations in certain locations. What we 6 know is --7 THE COURT: And where did that do that admitting? 8 MR. SOLOMON: The admissions have come with respect to 9 Belgium and Germany. We don't expect the conspiracy was 10 limited to Belgium and Germany. 11 THE COURT: What was admitted? 12 MR. SOLOMON: Otis and Kone --13 THE COURT: I guess I don't have those names. 14 MR. SOLOMON: Otis, which is a subsidiary of United 15 Technologies and Kone. THE COURT: How do you spell it? 16 17 MR. SOLOMON: K-o-n-e. THE COURT: Is that a foreign company? 18 19 MR. SOLOMON: That's correct, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Where is Kone located? MR. SOLOMON: Kone is located both here with its U.S. 21 22 subsidiary and also, I believe, it's Finnish. 23 MR. LEDDY: It's a Finnish company, your Honor. Your 24 Honor, may I just intervene for one moment. 25 THE COURT: Yes. Are you --SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

5ldftrac CONFERENCE

MR. LEDDY: I'm Mr. Leddy, your Honor, from Cleary Gottlieb.

I represent Otis and UTC. Your Honor, there are no charges yet in Europe. There is an investigation in Europe. Several of the companies have publicly stated, pursuant to their SEC requirements, that there may be violations on a local level in several countries in Europe. The investigation is ongoing, your Honor, and has nothing to do with the United States.

Thank you, your Honor.

MR. SOLOMON: And, your Honor, these companies operate, obviously both in Europe and through affiliated entities in the U.S.A. Our collective clients are purchasers either of elevators or of services who believe that when the bids have been arranged with respect to certain of the lists that were sold, certain service contracts that were sold that the bids were rigged. That as a result there is unexplained parallelism. If not, there are circumstances in which the higher bid inexplicably will get to contract as opposed to the lowest bid.

It appears from both our collective clients experience, the European experience, and I would suggest at least at this stage common sense in this industry, that the practices are widespread. Otis doesn't come very easily to an admission of antitrust violations. It has done so, because I SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

51dftrac CONFERENCE assume the violations in those locations are crystal clear. think it's common sense that if there are violations in those areas that Otis has admitted or United Technologies has admitted in its 10Q, that if there is an adverse commission 5 finding it will likely materially affect its financial results. б THE COURT: If there is. 7 MR. SOLOMON: If there is. THE COURT: Is it an if? 8 9 MR. SOLOMON: Yes, the investigation continues. 10 Notwithstanding that, in the middle of the investigations 11 admission have been made. 12 THE COURT: Who are the plaintiffs? MR. SOLOMON: In the European community, your Honor, 13 14 there is, as far as I know, no private litigation. That is a 15 government investigation. 16 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Who are the plaintiffs, 17 building owners or what? 18 MR. SOLOMON: Here they are both building owners. In respect to Mr. Isquith's clients they are the owners of some 19 20 50,000 residential units in high rises in the New York City 21 area. There are representative plaintiffs from all over the 22 country, some of whom are represented by counsel here to my 23 right. Typically, they tend to be either developers or 24 building owners. 25 THE COURT: So, where does the litigation stand now? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

51dftrac CONFERENCE

MR. SOLOMON: It presently stands that we need to make sure that all of the cases that were filed in Philadelphia and elsewhere are consolidated into these proceedings. We would propose that we should file a consolidated complaint. We would also propose that a schedule be put in place for Rule 26(f), Rule 26 meeting, I should say, so that discovery can begin.

THE COURT: There hasn't, discovery has not begun.
MR. SOLOMON: There has been no Rule 26 meeting
because the defendants took the view, perhaps not unreasonably,
that they wanted the MDL proceeding to be finalized before we
went to that stage.

THE COURT: Well, now, who is going to -- when discovery gets started, who is going to participate in the discovery? Everybody here will be sitting around a deposition room or what?

MR. SOLOMON: Well, I -- first of all, your Honor, you have signed off on the leadership structure in this case, and we have agreements with the other counsel, many of whom are to my right, to participate in that leadership structure and help us with the case. We are not looking to for double effort. We are looking for streamlining and efficiency.

I certainly will be a principal player in the litigation as will Mr. Isquith. Some of the counsel on my right will certainly be involved. I imagine the defense counsel, all of whom are behind me, will be significantly SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

	51dftrac CONFERENCE
1	involved.
2	THE COURT: What discovery is going to take place?
3	What are you going to do?
4	MR. SOLOMON: It's going to be a function to some
5	extent of what assaults the defendants put in place on the
6	complaint. I would believe there will be some jurisdictional
7	disputes. To that the extent, there may well be jurisdictional
8	discovery we will be seeking. Most importantly we'll be
9	looking for the substantive discovery, discovery of the
10	arrangements whereby the defendants behind me bid on contracts,
11	the arrangements.
12	THE COURT: So how are you going to get that
13	discovery? What will it take. What form will it take?
14	MR. SOLOMON: Well, we have sent the defendants, prior
15	to the MDL just for their consideration, drafts of our document
16	requests that after a 26(f) conference or 26 conference, after
17	initial disclosures we would be seeking from the company. They
18	have in their possession drafts of those discovery requests.
19	If we are able to get sufficient discovery, we will
20	then move either at the same time or shortly there afterwards
21	for depositions, your Honor.
22	MR. LEDDY: Your Honor, may I address the issue of the
23	discovery schedule?
24	THE COURT: Sure.

THE COURT: Sure.

25

MR. LEDDY: Your Honor, we have been trying to find SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

12 51dftrac CONFERENCE 1 out what this case is all about for sometime now as well. 2 Just a few minutes on background, your Honor. 3 The European Commission announced in late January of 4 last year, January 29th, via a press release that they were 5 conducting an investigation of the elevator escalator industry in certain countries in UPS. Ten business days later, your 6 7 Honor, in this court a London building owner filed an 8 allegation. 9 THE COURT: What happened ten days later? 10 MR. LEDDY: The first complaint in this case was 11 filed, your Honor, by a London building owner by the name of 12 Transitorn. I believe it's Mr. Isquith's client -- I'm sorry, 13 Mr. Solomon's client. Ten days after the press release, your 14 Honor, this complaint is filed and it alleges on behalf of all customers worldwide an allegation of price fixing in this 15 industry, in effect an internationally worldwide cartel. 16 There is not one specific allegation to support that 17 18 charge about this industry in that complaint. It simply says, 19 in completely conclusory fashion, they met, they talked, they 20 agreed on price; that's all that's in that complaint, but for a 21 reference to the press release. 22 Over the next number of months, 25 more class actions 23 are filed, your Honor, almost identical. Some contain the same typos of predecessor complaints and now we are before the Court 24 25 and we would like, your Honor, to ask that no discovery take SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

51dftrac CONFERENCE 1 place in this case until we file dispositive motions. We think there is a serious question, your Honor, under Rule 12(b)(6), 3 and we'll be filing a 12(b)(6) motion. THE COURT: Look, look, look. If the complaint is as 4 5 barren as you say, we don't need motions. 6 Can I see a copy of the complaint, see what you are 7 talking about? 8 MR. LEDDY: Yes, your Honor. The amended, the 9 consolidated. 10 THE COURT: Can you help me out? Where are the allegations of wrongdoing? 11 12 MR. LEDDY: The allegations of wrongdoing, your Honor, 13 are contained in a number of paragraphs. Page ten, your Honor. 14 Paragraph 28, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Except for a few things in 16 paragraphs 30 to 33, it looks like a form book. 17 MR. LEDDY: That is to be our view as well, your 18 Honor. THE COURT: It looks like a form book. And you don't 19 20 sue a lot of people and cause companies to spend a lot of money 21 defending cases when there is no more than this. 22 And there is no reason for any motion. The complaint 23 is unacceptable, will have to be revised to be more specific 24 and until it is, there will be no more meetings, there will be 25 no more need for anybody to incur legal expenses. And it may SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

51dftrac CONFERENCE be that the legal expenses incurred thus far by the defendants will be imposed upon the plaintiffs and their counsel. There should have been no such complaint filed. That's the end of this meeting. And there will be no more of this kind of pleading and, if there is, there will definitely be sanctions. Thank you. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 20, 2005, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have caused to be mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List and all parties on the attached service list.

s/Fred Taylor Isquith
FRED TAYLOR ISQUITH

WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ, LLP 270 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016 Telephone: 212/545-4600 212/545-4653 (fax)

E-mail: isquith@whafh.com

Mailing Information for a Case 1:04-cv-01178-TPG

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

- Leah Brannon lbrannon@cgsh.com
- Deborah M. Buell maofiling@cgsh.com
- Mary Jane Fait fait@whafh.com
 Nadeem Farugi
- Nadeem Faruqi nfaruqi@faruqilaw.com
- Frederick Taylor Isquith, Sr isquith@whafh.com
- Beth Ann Keller bkeller@faruqilaw.com
- Mark Leddy mleddy@cgsh.com
- Terry Alan Myers tmyers@gibbonslaw.com ckaplan@gibbonslaw.com
- Alexander H. Schmidt schmidt@whafh.com
- Curtis Victor Trinko ctrinko@trinko.com
- Antonio Vozzolo avozzolo@faruqilaw.com
- Gerald Zingone gzingone@thelenreid.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

435 Pacific, Inc.

Bay Crest Condominium Association

Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego , CA 92101

Nadeem Faruqui

Faruqui & Frauqi 320 East 39th Street New York, NY 10017

Michael E. Jaffe

Thelen, Reid & Priest, L.L.P. 701 Eight Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001

Mountain Bay Construction, Inc.

Stuart M. Saft

Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP 270 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016

ELEVATORS

Service List - 12/20/2005 (04-0073) Page 1 of 4

Counsel For Defendant(s)

Mark Leddy
Patricia M. McDermott
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
9th Floor
Washington, DC 20006
202/974-1500
202/974-1999(Fax)

Deborah M. Buell Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP One Liberty Plaza New York, NY 10006 212/225-2000 212/225-3999 (Fax)

Anthony A. Dean
Terry Myers
Thomas R. Valen
Gibbons, Del Deo, Dolan, Griffinger & Vecchione
One Pennsylvania Plaza, 37th Floor
New York, NY 10019
212/649-4700
212/333-5980(Fax)

Stewart M. Gisser Associate General Counsel Schindler Elevator Corporation (Legal Department) 20 Whippany Road Morristown, NJ 07960-1935 973/397-6580 973/397-6574(Fax)

Kenneth M. Kramer Jerome S. Fortinsky Shearman & Sterling LLP 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-4676 212/848-4000 212/848-7179 (Fax) Michael E. Jaffee Thelen, Reid & Priest, LLP 701 Eighth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001 202/508-4000 202/508-4321 (Fax)

Veronica Ellen Rendon Thelen, Reid & Priest, LLP 875 Third Avenue, 10th Floor New York, NY 10022 212/603-6748 212/603-2001 (Fax)

Michael Connolly Thelen, Reid & Priest, LLP 200 Campus Drive, Suite 210 Florham Park, NJ 07932 973/660-4400 973/660-4401 (Fax)

ELEVATORS

Service List - 12/20/2005 (04-0073) Page 2 of 4

Attn: Legal Department
Thyssenkrupp Elevator AG
August-Thyssen-Strassel, 40221
Dusseldorf, Germany,

A. Paul Victor
Scott A. Martin
Christopher V. Roberts
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153-0119
212/310-8000
212/310-8007(Fax)

Robert S. Berezin Edward Soto Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 1395 Brickell Avenue, 12th Floor Miami, FL 33131 305/577-3215 305/577-3290(Fax)

Counsel For Plaintiff(s)

Ann D. White
Ann D. White Law Offices, P.C.
165 Township Line Road, Suite 2400
Jenkintown, PA 19046
215/481-0274
215/481-0271 (Fax)

James G. Stranch, III
C. Dewey Branstetter
J. Gerard Stranch, IV
Branstetter, Kilgore, Stranch & Jennings
227 Second Avenue, North, 4th Floor
Nashville, TN 37201-1631
615/254-8801
615/255-5419(Fax)

Daniel L. Rottinghaus Jeffrey B. Cereghino Steven R. Weinmann Berding & Weil LLP 3240 Stone Valley Road West Alamo, CA 94507 925/838-2090 925/820-5592(Fax)

Nadeem Faruqi
Antonio Vozzolo
Beth A. Keller
Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP
320 East 39th Street, 3rd Floor
New York, NY 10016
212/983-9330
212/983-9331 (Fax)

ELEVATORS

Service List - 12/20/2005 (04-0073) Page 3 of 4

Curtis V. Trinko Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko LLP 16 West 46th Street, Seventh Floor New York, NY 10036 212/490-9550 212/986-0158 (Fax)

Jayne Arnold Goldstein
Mager & Goldstein LLP
One Liberty Place
1650 Market Street, 21st Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215/640-3280
215/640-3281(Fax)

Krishna Narine Kendall S. Zylstra Stephen E. Connolly Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087 610/667-7706 610/667-7056 (Fax)

Joe R. Whatley, Jr. Glenn M. Connor Richard Rouco Whatley Drake, LLC 2323 Second Ave., North Birmingham, AL 35203 205/328-9576 205/328-9669 (Fax) Mark Solomon Christopher M. Burke David W. Mitchell Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (Fax)

Brian J. Robbins Robbins Umeda & Fink, LLP 610 West Ash Street, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 619/525-3990 619/525-3991 (Fax)

Edmund W. Searby III Scott + Scott, LLC 33 River Street Chagrin Falls, OH 44022 440/247-8200 440/247-8275 (Fax)

Mary Jane Fait
Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz, LLC
55 West Monroe Street, Suite 1111
Chicago, IL 60603
312/984-0000
312/984-0001(Fax)

ELEVATORS

Service List - 12/20/2005 (04-0073)

Page 4 of 4

Fred T. Isquith Stuart M. Saft Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz, LLP 270 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016 212/545-4600 212/545-4653 (Fax)