Vargas et al v. Pfizer Inc. et al

# EXHIBIT J

Dockets.Justia.com

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RALPH VARGAS, and : BLAND-RICKY ROBERTS :	CASE NO.: 04 CV 9772 (WHP) (JCF)
Plaintiffs : vs. :	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
PFIZER INC., PUBLICIS, INC., FLUID MUSIC, EAST WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and BRIAN TRANSEAU p/k/a "BT"	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
	ECF CASE
Defendants	

Ralph Vargas (individually referred to herein as "Plaintiff Vargas") and Bland-Ricky Roberts d/b/a JBR Music Group (individually referred to herein as "Plaintiff Roberts") (collectively referred to herein as "Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys, Paul A. Chin, Esq., complaining of Pfizer, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant Pfizer"), Publicis, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant Publicis"), Fluid Music (hereinafter "Defendant FM"), East West Communications, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant EWC") and Brian Transeau, professionally known as "BT" (hereinafter "Defendant Transeau") (collectively referred to herein as "Defendants") allege as follows:

#### I. <u>NATURE OF THE ACTION</u>

1. This is a civil action for direct, contributory and vicarious copyright infringement, false designation of origin, unfair competition and related state law claims on behalf of Plaintiffs, the creators, composers, producers, arrangers and/or copyright owners of the original musical composition and sound recording entitled, "Bust

Dat Groove Without Ride" from the album entitled, "Funky Drummer, Volume II" (referred to herein as the "Composition") that is the subject matter of this Complaint, against: Defendant Pfizer, its employees and agents, subsidiaries, parent companies, affiliates and/or holding companies; Defendant Publicis, its employees and agents, subsidiaries, parent companies, affiliates and/or holding companies, affiliates and/or holding companies; Defendant FM, its employees and agents, subsidiaries, parent companies, affiliates and/or holding companies, affiliates and agents, subsidiaries, parent companies, affiliates and/or holding companies,

2. Defendant Pfizer, in support of its national advertising campaign featuring the Composition as the key musical themes and/or jingles to promote the sales of its pharmaceutical drug "Celebrex", and increase its profits, knowingly, willfully and intentionally: (i) acted in concert with one or more third parties, including Defendants FM, EWC, and Transeau, to infringe Plaintiffs' copyrights and exclusive rights in and relating to the Composition; (ii) falsely identified and designated a person other than Plaintiffs as the creators, composers, producers and arrangers of the Composition (iii) distributed, used, commercialized, exploited and made derivative works of the Composition without Plaintiffs' written authorization or consent; (iv) induced and caused various third parties, including, but not limited to, various television and radio stations to broadcast, perform and otherwise exploit the Composition, and derivatives thereof, in New York and throughout the United States without Plaintiffs' written authorization or unlawfully profited from unauthorized consent; (v) the distribution, use. commercialization and other exploitation of the Composition, and derivatives thereof; and (vi) deprived Plaintiffs of substantial income directly and/or indirectly related to the Composition.

3. Defendants Publicis, FM, EWC, and Transeau without Plaintiffs' written authorization or consent, reproduced, manufactured, performed, sold, created derivative works, and otherwise exploited the Composition, via their respective agents in New York and throughout the United States, thereby, infringing Plaintiffs' copyrights in and to the Composition.

4. By this action, Plaintiffs seek a finding that Plaintiffs are the creators, composers, producers, arrangers and copyright owners of the original music composition and sound recording that comprise the Composition and that Defendant Pfizer knowingly, willfully and intentionally: (i) acted in concert with one or more third parties to infringe Plaintiffs' copyrights and exclusive rights in and relating to the Composition; (ii) directly infringed Plaintiffs' copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§106, 115 and 501; (iii) induced, caused and materially contributed to the infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights and exclusive rights in and relating source or origin, and/or creator, composer, producer and arranger of the Composition in violation of the Lanham Act § 43(a), 15 U.S.C §1125(a).

5. Plaintiffs also seek a finding that: (i) Defendants Publicis, FM, EWC, and Transeau without Plaintiffs' written authorization or consent, reproduced, manufactured, performed, sold, created derivative works, and otherwise exploited the Composition, in violation of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §106, 115 and 501; (ii) Defendants' acts, as

alleged herein, constitute misappropriation and unfair competition under state statutory and common law; (iii) Defendants profited from the unauthorized distribution, use, commercialization and other exploitation of the Composition, and derivatives thereof; (iv) Defendants deprived Plaintiffs of substantial income directly related to the Composition; and (v) Plaintiffs are entitled to the legal, equitable and financial relief, as requested herein, to remedy Defendants' unlawful and infringing conduct.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 (a) and (b). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over all Defendants because Defendants Pfizer and Publicis maintain their corporate headquarters and/or principal place of business within this judicial district and all of the Defendants: (i) solicit, transact and conduct business in the State of New York and in this judicial district and are regularly doing or soliciting business or engaging in a persistent course of conduct in this State and in this District; (ii) receive substantial revenue from the State of New York and the infringing conduct occurred in the State of New York and within this judicial district; (iii) expect or reasonably should expect their conduct to have consequences in the State of New York; and (iv) directly or indirectly infringed Plaintiffs' copyrights in the Composition in the City and State of New York.

8. Venue is properly laid in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (b) and(c), §1400 (a) in that Defendants transact business in this judicial district, and/or a

substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in this judicial district.

#### III. <u>PARTIES</u>

9. Plaintiff Vargas is the creator, composer, producer and arranger of musical compositions, including advertising jingles. Plaintiff Vargas is the creator, composer, producer and arranger of the Composition. Plaintiff Vargas resides in New York City, County and State of New York.

10. Plaintiff Roberts is the creator, composer, producer and arranger of musical compositions, including advertising jingles. Plaintiff Roberts d/b/a JBR Music Group is the owner of the copyright in and to the master sound recording that contains the Composition. Plaintiff Roberts resides in New York City, County and State of New York.

11. Upon information and belief Defendant Brian Transeau is a musician, professionally known as "BT." Upon information and belief, Defendant Transeau, in conjunction with Defendants FM, EWC, Pfizer and Publicis, created the jingle featured in Defendant Pfizer's national advertising campaign for its drug "Celebrex", which contains Plaintiffs' Composition. Upon information and belief, Defendant Transeau resides in the New York City, County and State of New York.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Pfizer is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 235 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, New York, within this judicial district. Upon information and belief, Defendant Pfizer is licensed and authorized to do business in the State of New York and actively transacts business in the State of New York and in this judicial district. Defendant Pfizer directly or indirectly manufactures, markets,

distributes and sells pharmaceutical products, including, but not limited to the drug "Celebrex," in the State of New York within this judicial district and throughout the United States and the world.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Publicis is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business at 304 East 45<sup>th</sup> Street, New York, New York, located within this judicial district. Upon information and belief, Defendant Publicis is licensed and authorized to do business in the State of New York and actively transacts business in the State of New York and in this judicial district.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant FM is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of a State within the United States with its principal place of business in the State of New York. Upon information and belief, Defendant FM is a record label that manufactures, distributes, markets and sells musical compositions in the form of cds, tapes, dvds and phonographic records to the general public. Upon information and belief, Defendant FM manufactured, marketed, distributed, used, commercialized, sold and otherwise exploited the Composition in this State and this District, infringed Plaintiffs' copyrights in and to the Composition in this District.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant EWC is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of a State within the United States with its principal place of business in the State California. Upon information and belief, Defendant EWC is a music company that manufactures, distributes, markets and sells

musical sample material in the form of cds, tapes, dvds and phonographic records to the general public. Upon information and belief, Defendant EWC manufactured, marketed, distributed, used, commercialized, sold and otherwise exploited the Composition in this State and this District, infringed Plaintiffs' copyrights in and to the Composition in this State and this District and otherwise actively transacts business in this State and this District.

#### IV. FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

#### A. The Composition

16. In 1993, Plaintiff Vargas created, composed, produced and arranged the Composition which Defendant Pfizer, on or about June 2003, featured as the key musical theme and/or jingle in its worldwide advertising campaign to: (i) promote the sale of its pharmaceutical drug "Celebrex"; and (ii) increase Defendant Pfizer's profits (hereinafter referred to as the "Celebrex Campaign").

17. Plaintiff Vargas obtained a Copyright Registration certificate for the Composition on January 27, 1995. Attached hereto as *Exhibit A* is the Copyright Registration for the Composition.

 Plaintiff Roberts is the owner of the master recordings containing the Composition.

19. Plaintiffs are the owners of all rights, title and interest in and to the copyrights in the Composition.

20. Upon information and belief, Defendants knew that in order to manufacture, reproduce, distribute, use, commercialize, exploit or make derivative works of the Composition, Defendants needed to obtain: (i) valid certificates of authorship

signed by the creator and composer of the Compositions; and (ii) valid copyright assignments executed by the author and owner of any such original musical compositions.

21. Defendants intentionally, willfully and knowingly distributed, used, commercialized, exploited and/or made derivative works of the Composition without obtaining valid certificates of authorship and/or copyright assignments from Plaintiffs.

### **B.** Defendants Falsely Designate and Identify Transeau as the Creator, Composer and Producer of the Composition

22. Upon information and belief, Defendants Pfizer and Publicis paid significant sums of money to Defendants FM, EWC, and Transeau for use of the Composition, even though Defendants Pfizer and Publicis knew, or should have known, that Defendants FM, EWC, and Transeau were not the composers, arrangers, producers or copyright owners of the Composition.

23. Upon information and belief, Defendant Transeau has unlawfully and illegally infringed the copyrights in and to more than twenty (20) different musical compositions created, produced, arranged and owned by Plaintiffs.

24. Upon information and belief, Defendants FM, EWC, and Transeau did not have a valid copyright certificate and/or copyright registration for the Composition, and Defendants Pfizer and Publicis never received a valid copyright certificate and/or copyright registration for the Composition.

25. Upon information and belief, Defendants, in an effort to distribute, use, commercialize and otherwise exploit the Composition without Plaintiffs' written

authorization or consent, falsely designated and identified Defendant Transeau as the creator, composer, producer, arranger and owner of the Composition.

#### C. Defendants Infringe Plaintiffs' Copyrights in the Composition

26. Upon information and belief, from June 2003 until present, Defendants knowingly, willfully and intentionally caused various third parties, including, but not limited to, various television and radio stations, to broadcast, perform and otherwise exploit the Composition and derivatives thereof, in New York and throughout the United States, even though Defendants knew that they did not have Plaintiffs' written authorization or consent to do so.

27. Upon information and belief, Defendants never made any royalty payments directly to Plaintiffs or to various performance collection societies (eg. BMI, ASCAP) on Plaintiffs' behalf, for publicly broadcasting, performing and otherwise exploiting the Composition, and derivatives thereof.

28. Upon information and belief, the Composition contributed to the huge success of Defendant Pfizer's Celebrex Campaign and substantially increased the sales of Defendant Pfizer's pharmaceutical product "Celebrex" and Defendant Pfizer's profits.

#### V. <u>CAUSES OF ACTION</u>

#### COUNT I DIRECT COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

29. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 28 as if fully set forth herein.

30. Defendants directly infringed Plaintiffs' copyrights and exclusive rights, in and relating to the Composition under copyright law by distributing, using, commercializing, exploiting and/or making derivatives of the Composition, without

Plaintiffs' written authorization or consent, all in violation of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 115 and 501.

31. Upon information and belief, Defendants never made any royalty payments to Plaintiffs, or to various performance collection societies on Plaintiffs' behalf, for publicly broadcasting, performing or otherwise exploiting the Composition and/or derivatives thereof.

32. The foregoing acts of infringement by Defendants have been willful, intentional and purposeful.

33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' copyright infringement, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer severe injuries and damages and are entitled to their actual damages and Defendants' gross revenue or profits derived by Defendants that are attributable to Defendants' direct infringement of the Composition, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504(b).

34. Plaintiffs' exact amount of actual damages and Defendants' gross revenue or profits will be established at trial, but are in no event less than \$10,000,000 (TEN MILLION DOLLARS).

35. Alternatively, Plaintiffs may elect to be awarded, and, therefore, are entitled to the maximum amount of statutory damages, to the extent permitted by law, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504(c), with respect to each work infringed and each act of infringement.

36. Plaintiffs are further entitled to their attorneys' fees and full costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §505.

#### COUNT II CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

37. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 36 as if fully set forth herein.

38. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully, knowingly and intentionally induced, caused, encouraged and/or assisted various third parties, including, but not limited to, various television and radio stations to publicly broadcast, perform and otherwise exploit the Composition, and/or derivatives thereof, even though Defendants knew, or should have known, that they did not have Plaintiffs' written authorization or consent to do so.

39. An infringement of Plaintiffs' rights in and to the Composition occurred each time a third party, as a result of Defendants' inducement, encouragement and/or assistance, reproduced, broadcast, performed or otherwise exploited the Composition or any derivatives thereof

40. An infringement of Plaintiffs' rights in and to the Composition occurred each time a third party, as a result of Defendants' inducement, encouragement and/or assistance, made a derivative work from the Composition,.

41. Upon information and belief, Defendants, through their conduct, engaged in the business of knowingly inducing, causing, encouraging, assisting and/or materially contributing to the making of derivative compositions from the Composition; thereby infringing Plaintiffs' copyrights and exclusive rights, in and relating to the Composition, under copyright law.

42. An infringement of Plaintiffs' rights in and to these compositions occurred each time a third party, including, but not limited to, various radio and television stations

publicly broadcast, performed or otherwise exploited the Composition or any derivatives thereof.

43. The foregoing acts by Defendants have been willful, intentional and purposeful.

44. Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, constitutes contributory infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights and exclusive rights, in and relating to the Composition, and any derivatives thereof, under copyright law in violation of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 115 and 501.

45. As a direct and proximate result of the contributory infringement by Defendants, Plaintiffs are entitled to their actual damages and Defendants' gross revenue or profits derived by Defendants that are attributable to Defendants' contributory infringement of the Compositions pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504(b).

46. Plaintiffs' exact amount of actual damages and Defendant Pfizer's gross revenue or profits will be established at trial, but are in no event less than \$10,000,000 (TEN MILLION DOLLARS).

47. Alternatively, Plaintiffs may elect to be awarded, and, therefore, are entitled to the maximum amount of statutory damages, to the extent permitted by law, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504(c), with respect to each work infringed and each act of infringement.

48. Plaintiffs are further entitled to their attorneys' fees and full costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §505.

#### COUNT III VIOLATION OF THE LANHAM ACT 43(a) FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN

49. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 48 as if fully set forth herein.

50. Upon information and belief, Defendants falsely designated Defendant Transeau as the source or origin, and/or the creator, composer, producer and arranger of the Composition actually created, composed, produced, arranged and owned by Plaintiffs, in violation of the Lanham Act § 43(a), 15 U.S.C §1125(a).

51. Defendants' acts in: (i) designating Defendant Transeau, rather than Plaintiffs, as the creator, composer, producer, arranger and owner of the Composition; and (ii) intentionally failing to identify Plaintiffs as the creators, composers, producers and arrangers of the Composition to various performance collection societies, have confused and deceived Defendants' clients and customers, as well as individuals in the advertising and music industries, marketing firms, journalists and the general public as to the source or origin of the Composition.

52. The deception arising from Defendants' acts were material in the deception as to the source or origin, and/or creator, composer, producer and arranger of the Composition.

53. The Composition and derivatives thereof, have been publicly broadcast, performed and otherwise exploited in various radio and television commercials in New York and throughout the United States and, therefore, have been placed in the stream of interstate commerce.

54. The foregoing acts by Defendants have been willful, intentional and purposeful.

55. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' false designation of the origin of the Composition, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer severe injuries and damages. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover actual and compensatory damages they sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts. Plaintiffs are further entitled to recover the gains, profits and advantages that Defendants , including Defendant Transeau, have obtained as a result of the wrongful acts alleged above.

56. Plaintiffs' exact amount of actual and compensatory damages and Defendants' gains and profits will be established at trial, but are in no event less than \$10,000,000 (TEN MILLION DOLLARS).

57. Plaintiffs are further entitled to treble damages and their costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1117(a).

#### COUNT IV STATUTORY AND COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION

58. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 57 as if fully set forth herein.

59. The foregoing acts and conduct of Defendants constitute an appropriation and invasion of the property rights of Plaintiffs in and relating to the Composition, and any derivatives thereof, and constitute misappropriation and unfair competition under state statutory and common law.

60. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover all proceeds and other compensation Defendants Publicis, FM, EWC,

and Transeau received from Defendant Pfizer arising from Defendants FM, EWC, Publicis, and Transeau's infringement of the Composition.

61. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover all proceeds and other compensation Defendants FM, EWC, and Transeau received from Defendant Publicis arising from Defendants FM, EWC, and Transeau's infringement of the Composition.

62. Plaintiffs request that the Court order Defendants to render an accounting to ascertain the amount of the proceeds, profits and other compensation paid to Defendants FM, EWC, Publicis, and Transeau, and/or any other person(s) or entity(ies) with respect to their unlawful use and/or exploitation of the Composition.

63. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misappropriation and unfair competition, Plaintiffs have suffered actual and compensatory damages, and Defendants have been unjustly enriched for which damages and/or restitution and disgorgement is appropriate.

64. Plaintiffs' exact amount of actual and compensatory damages and the amount of Defendants' unjust enrichment will be established at trial, but are in no event less than \$10,000,000 (TEN MILLION DOLLARS).

#### VI. <u>PRAYER FOR RELIEF</u>

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs pray to the Court for a judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

A. Awarding Plaintiffs their actual and compensatory damages suffered as a result of Defendants' unlawful, illegal and infringing conduct in an

amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than \$10,000,000 (TEN MILLION DOLLARS);

- B. Awarding Plaintiffs any and all gross revenue or profits derived by Defendants that are attributable to Defendants' infringement of the Composition in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than \$10,000,000 (TEN MILLION DOLLARS);
- C. Awarding Plaintiffs, at their election and to the extent permitted by law, the maximum amount of statutory damages against Defendants for each work infringed and for each act of infringement;
- D. Awarding Plaintiffs damages for Defendants' intentional and willful false designation of the origin of the Composition, in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than \$10,000,000 (TEN MILLION DOLLARS);
- E. Awarding Plaintiffs punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- F. Awarding Plaintiffs prejudgment interest according to law;
- G. Awarding Plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses relating to this action; and
- H. Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York February 8, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL A. CHIN, ESQ. (PC 9656) Law Offices of Paul A. Chin 233 Broadway, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10007 (212) 964-8030

Attorney for Plaintiffs, Ralph Vargas and Bland-Ricky Roberts

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs demand a jury trial pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure.

Dated: New York, New York February 8, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL A. CHIN, ESQ. (PC 9656) Law Offices of Paul A. Chin 233 Broadway, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10007 (212) 964-8030

Attorney for Plaintiffs, Ralph Vargas and Bland-Ricky Roberts

## EXHIBIT A

Nov. 18 2884 11:839M P2 FRM NO. : 578 5881154 : REDEFTS INTERNATIONAL 62 UNITED STUTES COPYRIGHT OFFICE Office in accordance with title 17. United States Code. attests that registration has been made for the work Milerhand. identified below. The information on this certificare has PA 744-855 been pushe a part of the Copyright Office records. Marybeth Seters E OF REGISTRATIO Register of Copyrights, United States of America JAN 27 1995 ٥. 1.323 DO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE IF YOU MEED NORE SPACE, USE A SEPARATE CONTINUATION SHEET. TITLE OF THIS WORK BUST DAT GROOVE FREW HOUS OR ALTERNATIVE TITLES BUST DAT GROOVE W/RIDE BUST DAT GROVE W/D RIDE .... NATURE OF THIS WORK T'S MUSIC - DRUM RHYTHM/DRUM LOOPS NAME OF AUTHOR DATES OF BUILDE AND DEATH -1 RALPH VARBAS HAS THIS AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION TO AUTHOR & HATTOMALITY OF DOMICILE as the im Constants USA 0-0-Andrewines" XX NU COPACTHORNED D NO DIN NOTE EUSIC - DEUR RHYPHH/DRUN LOOPS NAME OF ALTINGS ALL OF ALKING AND DEATH HALL THE AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION TO AUTORE PLATENALTY OF DOMININ Nash 124556570 0 1 pa Cities 0.4 UNULE OF AUTHORSHIP Syna is claimed. # AME OF AUTHOR DATES OF BARTH AND DEATH ..... HAS THIS AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION TO AUTHOR CHARGE HALTEY ON DOMESTIC 1404 that could be ---3.00 O'Ve D No 12 Mp D Wes D No 46.4 NATURE OF AUTBONING YEAR IN WHICH THERE AT RIVE OF THES DAYS.AND N 6 a Compare and a T. T MERIC VARAT PUBLISHING SSO EAST 7619 STREET, SUITE 25H NEW YORK NY 10021 TRANSFE IT IS domanty RALPH VARGAS DEBA VARAL PUBLISHIN APONIBACK & PORT for any wood of light 5 · ...

## EXHIBIT B

on March 15, 1995, with Jane Peterer.	Convesionation	COPYRGHI OPERE USE
D) NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE IF YOU NEED HORE GRACE, U	ST & STRANGE CONTRILIENCE SHEET	ONLY
VIOUS RECOURNERS IN A sequence of the roots, as shown by specified with the of bighters with the sequence of the root sequence of the sequence of the sequence of the sequence of the test is the first politicated addition of a second provide sequence of the sequence of the test is the first politicated addition of a second provide sequence of the sequence of the test is the first politicated addition of a second provide sequence of the sequence of the test politicated addition of a second provide sequence of the sequence of the test politicated addition of a second provide sequence of the sequence of the test politicated addition of a second provide sequence of the second politicated of the second politicated of the roots, as shown by specifie on the application we assume the "to a "give Develope Registersides Member " War of Bighters	is work, dready been used in the Copyright Office?	5
IVATIVE IN SILK CORECOMPLEATION. Complete both grant for the loss a desiration resulting Manual Mentify are presenting work or worker than Mits and an or	e sear a complete soily do for a completion.	ß
		Western
Inizial Addes 12 Talls Mark Ging a brief, gendral vacationani of globardshild that him bees	addret to this work and in which appropriate is classes	d.Y
	مر مور مور بار مراجع می مراجع می مراجع می مراجع می مراجع می	_
DSIT ACCOULT II the regurned of ter in both charged on A Different Account to bath *	er ber ber ber en	Tarcant Hong
RESPONDENCE: Give name and address in which incompositions Shoul this applies	tion about to prov. Name Redamitter Car Sun- 27	<del>,</del>
OBFART INC. U EAST 76TH STREET, SULTE 25H	Georgenet Ind. East 7000-Church Angel 1000-Church	37 B B T B
and the second	9 99261	gart star
te under som at wer enspringelis solare and anne at mathematic fri VARAL PUBLISSELING anne und agence at VARAL PUBLISSELING ment adsence fixed in this application and then the assistance at made on this application are superior to this ball of the home solare.	A summer as	
f ar pilosof anne a d dom V V bit appixation gran a fill of publication in space 2 days	and 1/26/95	
3 - Mia pelite	e state and the second s	Party States of Construction
Internet GLOBSARTO INC. 550 Easy 7611 Street. Store New York TY 10021	з ++ \$те́ 264	<b>5</b> 9
lope	Construction of the second sec	Concernation Concernation
	the local data and similar are shared by by approaching min sty	Angeler Will Suddy ( page to
		• •••