

EXHIBIT F

May, Shannon G.

From: Kozusko, Dan [dkozusko@willkie.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2010 2:51 PM
To: Turner, Robert C.
Subject: RE: Arista v. LimeWire
Attachments: VEVO Mot. to Compel Order 11.23.10.PDF

OK. Thanks. On the communication issue we discussed yesterday, I did want to make you aware of a recent order of Judge Freeman compelling Vevo, another non-party subpoena recipient, to run certain search terms on selected custodians in order to identify emails and other communications that may be responsive to the subpoena. (A copy of Judge Freeman's order is attached.) These are the types of searches that your client will not agree to run here. If we continue to remain at an impasse on this issue, we will not have any other choice but to make a similar application to Judge Freeman.

Dan C. Kozusko
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP
787 Seventh Avenue
New York, New York 10019
(212) 728-8694 (phone)
(212) 728-9694 (fax)
dkozusko@willkie.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Turner, Robert C. [mailto:RTurner@winston.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2010 5:40 PM
To: Kozusko, Dan
Subject: RE: Arista v. LimeWire

We are still planning on producing it, but I have not yet received the data from the client. Pending a review on our end, however, it will go out after the protective order issue is dealt with.

Rob Turner

Robert Turner

D: +1 (212) 294-3538

www.winston.com

WINSTON
& STRAWN
LLP

From: Kozusko, Dan [mailto:dkozusko@willkie.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2010 5:21 PM
To: Turner, Robert C.
Subject: RE: Arista v. LimeWire

I just realized we did not get a chance to discuss the revenue information, download/streaming stats, etc. that your client had previously agreed to produce (but which you said would take longer than the agreements to gather). I recognize that the protective order issue applies here as well, but in the event that we can get that ironed out, is that information ready to be produced along with the agreements?

Thanks,
Dan

Dan C. Kozusko
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP
787 Seventh Avenue
New York, New York 10019
(212) 728-8694 (phone)
(212) 728-9694 (fax)
dkozusko@willkie.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Kozusko, Dan
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2010 10:29 AM
To: 'Turner, Robert C.'
Subject: RE: Arista v. LimeWire

Rob,

I wanted to follow up on our conversation of last week regarding the search term and custodian issues we discussed. Also, I wanted to let you know that Judge Wood has extended the fact discovery cutoff until the end of January, which I hope will alleviate the time pressure issues you had mentioned previously.

Thanks,
Dan

Dan C. Kozusko
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP
787 Seventh Avenue
New York, New York 10019
(212) 728-8694 (phone)
(212) 728-9694 (fax)
dkozusko@willkie.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Turner, Robert C. [<mailto:RTurner@winston.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 08, 2010 4:14 PM
To: Kozusko, Dan
Subject: RE: Arista v. LimeWire

Sure. I should be around any time after 5.

Robert Turner

D: +1 (212) 294-3538

www.winston.com

WINSTON
& STRAWN
LLP

From: Kozusko, Dan [<mailto:dkozusko@willkie.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 08, 2010 4:13 PM
To: Turner, Robert C.
Subject: FW: Arista v. LimeWire

Rob,

I wanted to follow up on an items that we had discussed previously concerning the subpoena whose resolution is not dependent on how plaintiffs' counsel responds to my e-mail below. Do you have time for a call sometime today to discuss?

Thanks,
Dan

Dan C. Kozusko
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP
787 Seventh Avenue
New York, New York 10019
(212) 728-8694 (phone)
(212) 728-9694 (fax)
dkozusko@willkie.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Kozusko, Dan
Sent: Friday, November 05, 2010 6:51 PM
To: Glenn.Pomerantz@mto.com; rturner@winston.com
Cc: Eaton, Mary
Subject: Arista v. LimeWire

Dear Glenn:

Counsel for Yahoo! Inc., one of the non-parties that Defendants subpoenaed, raised an issue with regard to the protective order in this case. Specifically, Rob Turner of Winston & Strawn (copied on this e-mail) expressed concern that the protective order allows documents designated as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' eyes only" to be disclosed to in-house counsel for the parties. Yahoo! is ready to produce agreements between it and Plaintiffs that are responsive to Defendants' Subpoena, but does not want copies of its agreements with one Plaintiff, e.g., Sony, being shared with in-house counsel for other Plaintiffs not affiliated with Sony.

In our view, that is a legitimate concern. To ameliorate that concern and enable Yahoo! to produce documents pursuant to the Subpoena, we wanted to see if you would be willing to enter into a Stipulation stating that you will not share copies of agreements between Yahoo! and any plaintiff with in-house counsel for other plaintiffs. We can work on exact language later, but I wanted to check first whether that concept were acceptable to you and your clients? Please let us know your views as quickly as possible so that we may facilitate the production of documents by Yahoo! here. Many thanks.

Rob: please chime in if I have misstated your client's position in any way.

Regards,
Dan

Dan C. Kozusko
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP
787 Seventh Avenue
New York, New York 10019
(212) 728-8694 (phone)
(212) 728-9694 (fax)
dkozusko@willkie.com

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This email message is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive the confidential information it may contain. Email messages to clients of Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP presumptively contain information that is confidential and legally privileged; email messages to non-clients are normally confidential and may also be legally privileged. Please do not read, copy, forward or store this message unless you are an intended recipient of it. If you have received this message in error, please forward it back. Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP is a limited liability partnership organized in the United States under the laws of the State of Delaware, which laws limit the personal liability of partners.

The contents of this message may be privileged and confidential. Therefore, if this message has been received in error, please delete it without reading it. Your receipt of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privilege. Please do not disseminate this message without the permission of the author.

Any tax advice contained in this email was not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by you (or any other taxpayer) to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

The contents of this message may be privileged and confidential. Therefore, if this message has been received in error, please delete it without reading it. Your receipt of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privilege. Please do not disseminate this message without the permission of the author.

Any tax advice contained in this email was not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by you (or any other taxpayer) to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.