EXHIBIT A

```
12IFARIA
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
     ----x
2
2
3
     ARISTA RECORDS LLC, et al,
3
                   Plaintiff,
4
4
5
               v.
                                           06 CV 5936 (KMW)
5
6
     LIME WIRE LLC, et al,
 6
7
                   Defendant.
7
8
8
                                           New York, N.Y.
9
                                           February 18, 2011
9
                                           12:10 p.m.
10
    Before:
10
11
11
                          HON. KIMBA M. WOOD,
12
                                           District Judge
12
13
13
                              APPEARANCES
14
14
     MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON, LLP
15
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
15
     GLENN POMERANTZ
16
     KELLY KLAUS
16
     JENNIFER PARISER
17
18
     WILKIE, FARR & GALLAGHER, LLP
18
          Attorneys for Defendant
19
     JOSEPH T. BAIO
     TARIQ MUNDIYA
19
20
     MARY EATON
20
     ROGER NETZER
21 JOHN OLLER
21 GREG JOSEPH
22
    PAMELA JARVIS
22
    TODD COCENZA
23
24
25
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

12IFARIA

to the simultaneous filings the following Wednesday.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

I mentioned in our conference call that I think it's time for everyone to focus on how the trial will take shape. At this point, does plaintiff's counsel know how many witnesses you intend to call on direct, approximately?

MR. POMERANTZ: We don't, your Honor. To be fair, our witness list wasn't due for another couple of weeks, but I can give you a sense of the categories of witnesses we are likely to call in our case in chief.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. POMERANTZ: We think we will call a number of witnesses that will explain the harm caused by Lime Wire. Those witnesses will largely be various employees or former employees of our clients, but there likely will be a few others who are harmed by Lime Wire and related to our clients in some way, such as some creative talent or something of that sort. And that will probably be the largest number of witnesses by sheer number.

We also anticipate calling one, more than one, probably a few Lime Wire witnesses in our case, be it -- I'm not sure of the number yet, but I think it will be few in number and then we anticipate that we will be calling our two expert witnesses in our case, the statistician, Dr. Waterman and computer science expert, Dr. Horowitz.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

12IFARIA

what the lawyers are doing and far more intended to make sure that the jury understands the case.

MR. POMERANTZ: We --

THE COURT: And is willing to pay attention for a long time.

MR. POMERANTZ: We think hopefully this case will be more interesting than many, but we understand the concern. As we started penciling out how long we thought it would take to put on our case absent ownership and absent proof of direct infringement, we think we need more than 24 hours.

We have to put on the witnesses I mentioned, deal with ten years of history of Lime Wire, we have to deal with the various elements of statutory damages. We have to deal with fraudulent conveyance, a separate claim we have to prove. We have to deal with the fact that we're dealing with a complicated technology that the jury needs to understand. We need to deal with the fact that they've raised a host of arguments primarily through their experts as to why we haven't been harmed. We need to address that in our questioning of witnesses. So as we penciled out what we would need just to put on our case, we thought it was in the range of 35 hours.

THE COURT: On direct alone?

MR. POMERANTZ: In our case, correct, your Honor. I would say direct, but, for example, say if we called Mr. Gordon in our case so in effect crossing him in our case, I'm counting SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

12IFARIA

mix may differ. We actually think in this case we actually will win with a sophisticated or an unsophisticated jury. Stealing is wrong. It's hard to compete against free. Those are pretty simple concepts for anybody to understand.

THE COURT: If it's that simple, it shouldn't take more than 24 hours to explain. I'm sorry -- I think it could be --

MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, the theme is simple, but the harm is not simple to lay out. One of the things we have to show is state of mind of the defendants. Another thing we have to show is lost revenue. Another thing is the conduct and attitude of the parties, which Judge Freeman knows all too well. These are things we're entitled to prove here. It takes time for us.

We have many aspects of our business that have been destroyed because of Lime Wire. We have to put this on. We've been at this for four years to get to the conclusion. I think if we ask for 20 trial days in a case of this magnitude that seems to be within a to me a reasonable range and I think our numbers if you divide it by six is 20 trial days. Assuming we get six hours a day of trial time, and I don't know the hours your Honor keeps.

THE COURT: If we have jurors who have two-hour commutes and that depends on who is selected it's hard to have more than a five-hour trial day.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300