Arista Records LLC et al v. Lime Wire LLC et al

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ARISTA RECORDS LLC; ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION; ARISTA MUSIC, fka BMG MUSIC; CAPITOL RECORDS, INC; ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC; INTERSCOPE RECORDS; LAFACE RECORDS LLC; MOTOWN RECORD COMPANY, L.P.; PRIORITY RECORDS LLC; SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, fka SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT; UMG RECORDINGS, INC; VIRGIN RECORDS AMERICA, INC.; and WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC.,

	7
USDS SDNY	
DOCUMENT	cc. 712
ELECTRONICALLY FILED	
DOC #:	
DATE FILED: 4/06/11	

Order 06 Civ. 5936 (KMW)

Plaintiffs,

-against-

LIME GROUP LLC; LIME WIRE LLC; MARK GORTON; GREG BILDSON; and M.J.G. LIME WIRE FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

Defendants.
 ·

KIMBA M. WOOD, U.S.D.J.:

In May 2010, this Court granted summary judgment in favor of Plaintiffs on their claims against Defendants Lime Wire LLC, Lime Group LLC, and Mark Gorton (collectively, "Defendants") for secondary copyright infringement. See Arista Records LLC v. Lime Group LLC, 715 F. Supp. 2d 481 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) (the "May 2010 decision").

The parties dispute whether the Court's findings in the May 2010 decision established, as a matter of law, that Defendants' conduct was "willful" within the meaning of Section 504(c)(2) of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2). See Bryant v. Media Right Prods., Inc., 603 F.3d 135, 143 (2d Cir. 2010) ("A copyright holder seeking to prove that a copier's infringement was willful [under Section 504(c)(2)] must show that the infringer had knowledge that its conduct

represented infringement or . . . recklessly disregarded the possibility.") (internal quotations

omitted) (emphasis added).

The Court's May 2010 decision did establish, as a matter of law, that Defendants'

conduct was "willful" within the meaning of Section 504(c)(2). See, e.g., Lime Group LLC, 715

F. Supp. 2d at 509 (finding that "LW intended to encourage infringement by distributing

LimeWire" based in part on "LW's awareness of substantial infringement by users") (emphasis

added); id. at 510 ("The massive scale of infringement committed by LimeWire users, and LW's

knowledge of that infringement, supports a finding that LW intended to induce infringement.")

(emphasis added); id. at 522 (finding that "Gorton knew about the infringement being committed

through LimeWire") (emphasis added).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

New York, New York

April 25, 2011

KIMBA M. WOOD

United States District Judge

-2-