Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY) PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC. TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

) NO. 07-CV-2203

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,) on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

) NO. 07-CV-3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ROELOF BOTHA MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 5, 2009

JOB NO. 17298

	Page 2
1	AUGUST 5, 2009
2	9:04 a.m.
3	
4	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ROELOF BOTHA,
5	SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP, 1080 Marsh Road,
6	Menlo Park, California, pursuant to notice, and
7	before me, ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CLR, RPR,
8	CRR, CSR License No. 9830.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Page 4
   APPEARANCES (Continued.)
 2
 3
          FOR THE WITNESS ROELOF BOTHA:
 4
               DURIE TANGRI PAGE LEMLEY ROBERTS & KENT LLP
               By: RAGESH K. TANGRI, Esq.
 6
               332 Pine Street, Suite 200
 7
               San Francisco, California 94104
 8
               (415) 362-6666 rtangri@durietangri.com
 9
10
         ALSO PRESENT: Lou Meadows, Videographer.
11
12
                             ---000---
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 5
	1	R. BOTHA
	2	MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA
	3	AUGUST 5, 2009
	4	9:04 a.m.
	5	
09:05:41	6	
09:05:41	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record.
09:05:44	8	This is today's videotaped deposition of
09:05:47	9	Roelof Botha, taken on August 5th, 2009, at Shearman &
09:05:54	10	Stearling, 1080 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, California.
09:05:59	11	In the matter of Viacom International vs. YouTube,
09:05:59	12	Inc., and The Football Association Premier League
09:06:07	13	Limited, et al., vs. YouTube, Inc., et al.
09:06:07	14	Case No. 07-CV-2103 and 07-CV-3582. In the
09:06:16	15	United States District Court in the Southern District
09:06:18	16	of New York.
09:06:19	17	My name is Lou Meadows, and I represent David
09:06:23	18	Feldman Worldwide, located at 600 Anton Boulevard,
09:06:29	19	Suite 1100, in Costa Mesa, California.
09:06:31	20	We are now commencing at 9:04 a.m.
09:06:34	21	Will all present please identify yourselves
09:06:35	22	and state whom you represent for the record.
09:06:35	23	MS. CUNHA: Kirsten Cunha from Shearman &
09:06:40	24	Stearling on behalf of the Viacom plaintiffs.
09:06:41	25	MR. GALDSTON: Benjamin Galdston of Berstein,

		Page 6
	1	R. BOTHA
09:06:41	2	Litowitz, Berger & Grossmann on behalf of the class
09:06:45	3	plaintiffs.
09:06:45	4	MR. KRAMER: I'm Dave Kramer from Wilson
09:06:49	5	Sonsini representing the defendants Google and
09:06:53	6	YouTube.
09:06:53	7	MR. TANGRI: Ragesh Tangri representing the
09:06:55	8	witness.
09:06:55	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
09:06:55	10	If there are no stipulations, the court
09:07:07	11	reporter may now administer the oath.
	12	
	13	ROELOF BOTHA,
	14	having been sworn as a witness,
	15	testified as follows:
	16	
	17	
09:07:08	18	EXAMINATION BY MS. CUNHA
09:07:08	19	MS. CUNHA: Q. Good morning, Mr. Botha.
09:07:10	20	A Good morning.
09:07:11	21	Q Could you please state briefly your
09:07:13	22	educational background?
09:07:13	23	A Sure.
09:07:14	24	I completed an undergraduate degree at the
09:07:18	25	University of Cape Town and with majors in

Page 35 1 R. BOTHA 09:40:55 2 three cofounders established, I don't know the exact 09:40:59 nature of the incorporation they sought, but I believe 09:41:01 it was a -- a Nevada C Corp or S Corp that they 09:41:07 established initially when they cofounded the company. 09:41:11 And when we made the decision to invest, 09:41:14 there was a very long period that elapsed between our 09:41:17 commitment to the company to invest and the actual 09:41:20 closing of the investment, given that the company had 09:41:24 10 to reincorporate as a Delaware Corporation and just 09:41:26 all the paperwork associated with sort of cleaning up 11 09:41:29 12 the financing documents, sorting out the founder of 09:41:34 13 stock, founder basing, et cetera. 09:41:37 14 And as it's typical in our business, we get 09:41:38 15 involved in the company after we make an investment 09:41:42 16 decision and negotiate mutually agreeable terms. 09:41:46 17 typically start to work side by side with the company 09:41:48 18 prior to the actual closing of the technically --09:41:50 19 technical closing of the financing, since time is of 09:41:54 20 the essence for these companies, and we don't want to 09:41:57 21 lose a month or two between our decision to invest and 09:41:59 22 the actual closing of the financing. 09:42:01 23 And for that reason, that -- given the 09:42:04 2.4 specifics of YouTube's sort of capital structure 09:42:07 25 history, that time was unusually long in the context

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Page 36 1 R. BOTHA 09:42:10 2 of this investment. 09:42:12 So let me make sure I -- I understand that. 09:42:15 So in the case of -- of YouTube, Sequoia made 09:42:20 a decision to invest in the company, and then there 09:42:24 was some period of time prior to the actual closing of 09:42:28 the investment to -- to clean up these various issues 09:42:31 that you've just discussed; correct? 09:42:33 Α Correct. 09:42:34 10 0 And --09:42:34 11 I don't know the exact time that elapsed 09:42:36 12 between -- so there are a couple of, I think, key 09:42:39 13 events to highlight. One is a -- is a decision to invest based on 09:42:41 14 09:42:43 15 what we believe to be the merits of the investment. 09:42:48 16 negotiation and agreement on a set of terms for the 09:42:51 investment between Sequoia and the cofounders and the 17 09:42:55 18 signing of such term sheet. And then, the actual 09:43:00 19 closing of the financing when money changed hands. 09:43:07 20 And if I understand your testimony, what 09:43:09 21 you're saying is, in that period of time between the 09:43:13 22 decision to invest and the closing of the investment, 09:43:19 23 Sequoia started working with YouTube as if it were, in 09:43:25 2.4 essence, already a portfolio company; is that -- is 09:43:28 25 that fair to say?

		Page 37
	1	R. BOTHA
09:43:30	2	MR. TANGRI: Objection; ambiguous.
09:43:32	3	You can answer.
09:43:33	4	THE WITNESS: So let me make sure I just be
09:43:37	5	very careful.
09:43:37	6	The when we initially made the decision to
09:43:40	7	invest, I believe in early September, we I don't
09:43:47	8	I don't know how many other investment groups the
09:43:50	9	company was still talking to, but I was starting to
09:43:55	10	provide feedback to the cofounders about the company.
09:43:58	11	It may have been product feedback about features on
09:44:02	12	the site that I would personally find useful, and
09:44:04	13	given that they were personal friends, I just had an
09:44:07	14	interest in helping them.
09:44:10	15	Our initial negotiations with the company led
09:44:15	16	to a set of terms that the company was not comfortable
09:44:21	17	with, and they may have started discussions with other
09:44:25	18	investment groups, and we subsequently did arrive at a
09:44:33	19	formal agreement and signed that agreement. Sometime
09:44:36	20	after that, the company took up office space at
09:44:39	21	Sequoia Capital, prior to the actual closing of the
09:44:42	22	investment.
09:44:49	23	Q Okay. So let me just make sure I understand.
09:44:52	24	As I understand it, there was a decision to
09:44:57	25	invest in the company that was made by Sequoia

Page 38 1 R. BOTHA 09:45:01 2 sometime in the September 2005 time frame, and once 09:45:04 that decision was made, you started providing some 09:45:07 advice and feedback to the -- to the founders of the 09:45:11 company, even though the investment had not yet been 09:45:14 finalized and closed; correct? 09:45:16 MR. TANGRI: Objection to the extent it 09:45:17 mischaracterizes his prior testimony. 09:45:21 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question, 09:45:22 10 please? 09:45:23 11 MS. CUNHA: Sure. I'm just trying to unpack 09:45:26 12 what -- what you've told me here and make sure I 09:45:28 13 understand it. So if I get it wrong, I'm not trying 09:45:30 14 to trip you up. I'm just trying to make sure I 09:45:32 15 understand this. 09:45:32 16 As I understand it, you've kind of described 09:45:36 17 three categories of events. There was a decision to 09:45:38 18 invest, then there was a negotiation of terms of the 09:45:41 19 agreement, and then there was the -- the closing of 09:45:43 20 the financing. 09:45:44 21 And as I understand your testimony, once the 09:45:47 22 decision to invest was made, you started providing 09:45:50 23 some feedback and advice to the company, even though 09:45:54 the investment had not yet closed; is that correct? 2.4 09:46:00 25 So let me be very careful, though, because Α

		Page 39
	1	R. BOTHA
09:46:02	2	even before the company even before we reached an
09:46:06	3	investment decision, given that these were personal
09:46:09	4	friends of mine, I was providing them feedback on the
09:46:11	5	service. At our first meeting at Sequoia Capital,
09:46:14	6	when they showcased the website, we provided feedback
09:46:19	7	ideas.
09:46:20	8	It it's the nature of the venture capital
09:46:22	9	business that any time we interact with the company,
09:46:24	10	part of establishing credibility with the founders,
09:46:27	11	given that you're going to be an adviser to them,
09:46:30	12	hopefully for years, over the course of the
09:46:32	13	investment, you provide feedback. You provide advice
09:46:35	14	on how to run a business, who to recruit, how to
09:46:38	15	structure the company, which direction you should head
09:46:41	16	strategically.
09:46:43	17	Q Okay. So let's take that point in time as
09:46:46	18	the first point in time, which is the decision to
09:46:48	19	invest, the time that Sequoia makes the decision to
09:46:50	20	invest.
09:46:51	21	Prior to that point in time, do you recall
09:46:55	22	any discussions with anyone internally at Sequoia or
09:47:01	23	externally with YouTube or other third parties
09:47:04	24	concerning copyright issues related to YouTube?
09:47:07	25	A Before we made the decision to invest?

		Page 40
	1	R. BOTHA
09:47:09	2	Q Correct.
09:47:10	3	MR. TANGRI: Objection; overbroad.
09:47:12	4	THE WITNESS: So I want to be careful because
09:47:14	5	I don't remember the specific date. I don't have a
09:47:16	6	calendar in front of me, but to the best that I can
09:47:19	7	recall, we did not speak to anyone outside Sequoia
09:47:25	8	Capital about copyright-related matters with regards
09:47:32	9	to YouTube.
09:47:35	10	MS. CUNHA: Q. How about internally? Were
09:47:37	11	there any discussions internally at Sequoia Capital,
09:47:40	12	prior to the decision to invest, concerning copyright
09:47:42	13	issues related to YouTube?
09:47:45	14	A As best I can recall, we did not have
09:47:48	15	discussions internally to Sequoia, prior to the
09:47:50	16	decision to invest, relating to copyright matters.
09:47:59	17	Q Now, just kind of moving the timeline
09:48:01	18	forward, as I understand it, once the decision to
09:48:03	19	invest was made, there was a period of time where
09:48:07	20	there was a negotiation of terms, and it sounds like
09:48:11	21	there were some matters involving changing the state
09:48:15	22	of incorporation that needed to occur prior to the
09:48:18	23	time the investment closed.
09:48:20	24	So my next question is, from the time that
09:48:23	25	Sequoia made the decision to invest, to the time that

Page 41 1 R. BOTHA 09:48:28 2 the financing closed, do you recall in that time 09:48:31 period any discussions internally or externally 09:48:39 concerning copyright issues related to YouTube? 09:48:42 I don't remember the precise closing dates, 09:48:46 and so I -- I just candidly don't want to answer 09:48:50 untruthfully, because I don't recall at which point a 09:48:55 discussion may have occurred, given that I don't 09:48:56 9 recall the specific closing date. 09:48:58 10 Q Okay. Is it fair to say you do recall at 09:49:03 some point whether or not it took place before or 11 09:49:05 12 after the closing? Is it fair to recall -- to say 09:49:09 13 that at some point you do recall that there were 09:49:11 14 conversations concerning copyright issues related to 09:49:14 15 YouTube? 09:49:15 16 Could you -- sorry. Can you --09:49:17 17 0 Sure. 09:49:18 18 -- repeat the question? Α 09:49:19 19 My question is, at any time in this 2005 time Q 09:49:23 20 period, do you recall any conversations concerning 09:49:27 21 copyright issues related to YouTube? 09:49:30 22 At any time in 2005? 09:49:32 23 Uh-huh. 0 09:49:34 2.4 Α So I do recall that in the fourth quarter of 09:49:37 25 2005, we did have discussions at the company with

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 42
	1	R. BOTHA
09:49:51	2	regards to copyrighted matters.
09:49:54	3	Q Now, was this after the financing closed?
09:50:02	4	A I don't recall. As I mentioned before I'm
09:50:03	5	sorry, I don't because it was such an unusual
09:50:06	6	situation to have the reincorporation and just I
09:50:10	7	don't recall the date. Normally, things are a lot
09:50:14	8	smoother.
09:50:14	9	Q Now, tell me what you recall about these
09:50:17	10	discussions in the fourth quarter of 2005 with regards
09:50:20	11	to copyright matters.
09:50:22	12	MR. TANGRI: And I'm just going to caution
09:50:25	13	you at this point that if any of those discussions
09:50:26	14	were with or relayed advice or communications had with
09:50:30	15	lawyers, exclude the substance of any legal
09:50:35	16	communications from your answer as a privileged basis.
09:50:39	17	MR. KRAMER: Join.
09:50:39	18	THE WITNESS: I beg your pardon?
09:50:41	19	MR. KRAMER: I join in that instruction.
09:50:43	20	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. But it's permissible
09:50:46	21	you can identify a discussion, but not the content?
09:50:49	22	MR. TANGRI: Correct, correct.
09:50:50	23	THE WITNESS: I just want to make sure I
09:50:51	24	understand.
09:50:51	25	MR. TANGRI: You you yes. If the

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 43
	1	R. BOTHA
09:50:51	2	answer yes, you can identify a discussion and the
09:50:54	3	participants, but not to disclose the substance of the
09:50:57	4	discussion, if the substance was
09:50:59	5	THE WITNESS: Understood.
09:51:00	6	MR. TANGRI: infected with lawyer
09:51:01	7	communications, for want of a better word.
09:51:04	8	THE WITNESS: Understood.
09:51:05	9	So at at some point in the fourth quarter
09:51:10	10	of 2005, Chad Hurley and myself met with an attorney
09:51:20	11	at Wilson Sonsini.
09:51:27	12	MS. CUNHA: Q. And who was that attorney?
09:51:29	13	A I believe it's Cathy Kirkman. I can't recall
09:51:33	14	her I hope I got her last name correct.
09:51:37	15	Q And what was discussed at that meeting?
09:51:39	16	MR. TANGRI: And at this point, I'm going to
09:51:43	17	instruct you not to answer the question based on
09:51:45	18	attorney-client privilege.
09:51:46	19	MR. KRAMER: Join.
09:51:46	20	THE WITNESS: Understood.
09:51:47	21	MS. CUNHA: Q. And are you going to follow
09:51:48	22	your attorney's advice and not answer the question?
09:51:51	23	A Yes.
09:51:52	24	Q Now, do you know how YouTube came to be
09:51:59	25	represented by Cathy Kirkland and Wilson Sonsini?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Page 44

		Page 44
	1	R. BOTHA
09:52:05	2	A I don't recall the specific connection
09:52:09	3	between so at the time that we invested or
09:52:12	4	the at the time that I first met with the team,
09:52:15	5	they had, I think, self-incorporated. Maybe they'd
09:52:18	6	used, you know, a website or some other service to do
09:52:21	7	their incorporation. They didn't have outside counsel
09:52:25	8	representation, and so we suggested that, as a matter
09:52:30	9	of good sort of standard practice, the company should
09:52:35	10	seek company counsel in the same fashion that we would
09:52:39	11	seek investor counsel when making an investment.
09:52:42	12	And I may have introduced them to a few
09:52:46	13	different law firms. I don't recall specifically
09:52:50	14	whether I was the one to introduce them to Stephen
09:52:55	15	Wells, who ended up being the company's outside
09:52:58	16	corporate counsel.
09:53:00	17	Q Did you know Ms. Kirkland prior to this time
09:53:05	18	period?
09:53:05	19	A I did not know Ms. Kirk Mrs. Kirkland?
09:53:11	20	MR. KRAMER: Kirkman.
09:53:12	21	THE WITNESS: Kirkman.
09:53:13	22	MR. KRAMER: Yes, K-I-R-K-M-A-N.
09:53:17	23	THE WITNESS: Yeah, Kirkman.
09:53:19	24	I did not know Cathy Kirkman before this.
09:54:10	25	MS. CUNHA: Let's mark this as the first

		Page 45
	1	R. BOTHA
09:54:12	2	Exhibit.
09:54:24	3	(Document marked Botha Exhibit 1
09:55:01	4	for identification.)
09:55:01	5	MS. CUNHA: And while we're there, let's just
09:55:03	6	mark this as the second exhibit.
09:55:11	7	MR. TANGRI: Thank you.
09:55:12	8	(Document marked Botha Exhibit 2
09:55:18	9	for identification.)
09:55:18	10	MS. CUNHA: Q. Showing you what's been
09:55:33	11	marked as Exhibit 1 and 2, have you had an opportunity
09:55:37	12	to look at those documents?
09:55:39	13	A I have looked at the documents.
09:55:40	14	Q Okay. Do you recognize them?
09:55:45	15	A I do recall these documents.
09:55:45	16	Q And you
09:55:48	17	A Well, I obviously saw them electronically as
09:55:52	18	e-mails, not these specific printouts, but
09:55:54	19	Q But you recognize them as printouts of of
09:55:57	20	e-mail chains in which you were one of the recipients?
09:56:00	21	A I do recognize.
09:56:02	22	Q And does seeing these two documents refresh
09:56:07	23	your recollection as to when this meeting that you
09:56:11	24	described with Cathy Kirkman took place?
09:56:15	25	MR. KRAMER: Objection to the extent it

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 46
	1	R. BOTHA
09:56:16	2	mischaracterizes the prior testimony, and it's vague.
09:56:23	3	THE WITNESS: As I mentioned before, I do not
09:56:25	4	recall the specific date of the meeting with Cathy
09:56:28	5	Kirkman, but these e-mails do specify the specific
09:56:32	6	date that was scheduled for a meeting.
09:56:34	7	I don't know whether the meeting actually
09:56:36	8	took place on that date without consulting a calendar.
09:56:42	9	It may have been rescheduled. I do not know.
09:56:43	10	MS. CUNHA: Q. And does seeing these two
09:56:45	11	documents refresh your recollection as to whether or
09:56:45	12	not that meeting took place prior to the closing of
09:56:50	13	Sequoia's investment in YouTube?
09:56:54	14	A Unfortunately, neither of these documents
09:56:57	15	cite the actual closing date of the investment in
09:57:01	16	YouTube, and as such, I cannot conclude whether or not
09:57:05	17	they occurred before or after.
09:57:16	18	Q Now, directing your attention to Exhibit 1,
09:57:21	19	there is an e-mail, the second from the top, from you
09:57:27	20	to Mr. Hurley, dated September 21st, 2005, at
09:57:32	21	2:54 p.m.; do you see that e-mail?
09:57:34	22	A I do see that.
09:57:35	23	Q And do you see you write to Mr. Hurley that,
09:57:38	24	"I will get you the contact information for two
09:57:40	25	different IP strategists, including the attorney at

		Page 91
	1	R. BOTHA
11:07:41	2	you see that?
11:07:41	3	A Give me a second, please. I do see that.
11:07:47	4	Q And I think you testified earlier that the
11:07:49	5	company moved its headquarters to Sequoia's space; do
11:07:55	6	you recall that testimony?
11:07:56	7	MR. TANGRI: Objection to the extent it
11:07:58	8	mischaracterizes the prior testimony.
11:08:01	9	You can answer.
11:08:01	10	THE WITNESS: I do recall the prior testimony
11:08:03	11	that YouTube took up space at Sequoia Capital for some
11:08:06	12	time.
11:08:06	13	MS. CUNHA: Q. And is that what you're
11:08:08	14	referring to as an incubation area
11:08:11	15	A The
11:08:11	16	Q in this investment memorandum?
11:08:14	17	A The incubation area at Sequoia Capital is a
11:08:19	18	space we set aside for entrepreneurs working on new
11:08:25	19	ideas, which you might refer to as an "entrepreneur
11:08:28	20	residence," or for recent investments where companies
11:08:29	21	do not yet have their own office space, and we can
11:08:32	22	essentially provide them with office space for free to
11:08:35	23	help them not deal with that friction as they're
11:08:39	24	trying to work on their business.
11:08:40	25	Q And is that, in fact, where YouTube moved?

		Page 92
	1	R. BOTHA
11:08:45	2	A You YouTube didn't move
11:08:47	3	MR. TANGRI: Objection.
11:08:47	4	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
11:08:49	5	MR. TANGRI: Go ahead.
11:08:49	6	THE WITNESS: YouTube did move to the Sequoia
11:08:51	7	Capital incubation area sometime following this, the
11:08:55	8	date of this investment memorandum, and before they
11:08:57	9	took up space in San Mateo later in the fourth quarter
11:09:01	10	of 2005.
11:09:02	11	MS. CUNHA: Q. Do you recall how long they
11:09:05	12	were in that space?
11:09:07	13	A Which space?
11:09:10	14	Q The Sequoia incubation space.
11:09:12	15	A I don't recall the specific duration. If I
11:09:14	16	had to estimate, it was probably four to six weeks, as
11:09:17	17	best I recall.
11:09:18	18	Q And that space is actually at Sequoia's
11:09:22	19	offices or at the same address of Sequoia's offices?
11:09:26	20	A It's at the same address as Sequoia's
11:09:28	21	offices.
11:09:29	22	Q So in that period of time that they were
11:09:31	23	there, were you working closely with YouTube while
11:09:34	24	they were in the incubation space?
11:09:36	25	A Could

Page 106 1 R. BOTHA 11:37:08 2 mischaracterizes his prior testimony. 11:37:10 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I -- I'll stick 11:37:13 to what I said the previous time. I gave a detailed 11:37:15 explanation. 11:37:16 MS. CUNHA: Q. Now, other than the Nike 11:37:19 video, do you recall if any of the other videos that 11:37:23 were amongst the most -- the most viewed in this 11:37:27 9 distribution analysis were professionally produced 11:37:31 10 content? 11:37:31 11 MR. TANGRI: Objection; mischaracterizes his 11:37:33 12 prior testimony and vague. 11:37:36 13 THE WITNESS: I recall the Nike video being a 11:37:38 14 professionally produced video, because we went to meet 11:37:41 15 with the people who produced and uploaded that video 11:37:45 16 on YouTube. 11:37:47 17 MS. CUNHA: Q. When did you do that? 11:37:53 18 I do not recall the specific date, but I Α 11:37:58 believe -- I believe it was at the end of 2005. 19 11:38:01 20 So in 2005, you were aware that companies 11:38:08 21 were creating content for promotional purposes and 11:38:14 22 posting it on the YouTube website; is that fair to 11:38:18 23 say? 11:38:19 2.4 It's fair to say that I was aware that Nike 11:38:22 25 had uploaded a video that they wanted to distribute

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 107
	1	R. BOTHA
11:38:25	2	for promotional purposes for a new shoe.
11:38:28	3	Q Were you aware of any other companies posting
11:38:31	4	promotional content on the YouTube website in the 2005
11:38:34	5	time period?
11:38:36	6	A I do not recall being aware of other
11:38:40	7	companies.
11:38:40	8	Q At some point, did you become aware of other
11:38:44	9	companies posting promotional content on the YouTube
11:38:46	10	website?
11:38:47	11	A At some point, I did become aware of of
11:38:52	12	other companies using YouTube for promotional purposes
11:38:59	13	for uploading content.
11:38:59	14	Q And, in fact, early on when Sequoia first
11:39:02	15	decided to invest, was part of the revenue model for
11:39:10	16	YouTube the notion that companies would use the
11:39:13	17	website to post promotional content?
11:39:17	18	A Sorry. Could you repeat the question,
11:39:18	19	please?
11:39:19	20	Q Sure.
11:39:20	21	When Sequoia was deciding to invest in
11:39:22	22	YouTube and was looking at potential revenue models,
11:39:25	23	was part of what Sequoia envisioned for the website in
11:39:29	24	the future was that the site would be used by
11:39:31	25	companies who would post promotional content?

		Page 153
	1	R. BOTHA
13:26:29	2	Lazy Sunday gained a lot of popularity and significant
13:26:37	3	video views. Chad Hurley noticed that this was a very
13:26:39	4	popular video and sent a message to Saturday Night
13:26:47	5	Live, or I don't know which specific media company
13:26:52	6	parent to whom he sent the communication, notifying
13:26:55	7	them that this video was on the service.
13:26:59	8	We, YouTube, did not know who the individual
13:27:02	9	was who uploaded the video clip, nor whether that
13:27:07	10	individual had the authority to upload that particular
13:27:11	11	video, but we notified the owners of that show that
13:27:17	12	this clip was available on YouTube and we didn't know
13:27:21	13	if it was authorized and if it was not authorized,
13:27:24	14	that they should please notify the company so that we
13:27:28	15	could take it down in compliance with the company's
13:27:31	16	takedown policies, and the company did not receive any
13:27:34	17	reply for six weeks thereafter.
13:27:37	18	MS. CUNHA: Q. Were you copied on that
13:27:38	19	communication?
13:27:40	20	A I do not believe I was copied on that
13:27:42	21	communication.
13:27:42	22	Q But somebody told you about the
13:27:45	23	communication?
13:27:47	24	A Chad Hurley reported this incident to me,
13:27:50	25	yes.