Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK _x VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC, Plaintiffs, NO. 07-CV-2103 vs. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC., Defendants. х THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, NO. 07-CV-3582 vs. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC., Defendants. х VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL WOLF NEW YORK, NEW YORK FRIDAY, APRIL 17, 2009 JOB NO.: 16687

	Page 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	APRIL 17, 2009
9	10:02 a.m.
10	
11	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL
12	WOLF, held at the offices of CAHILL GORDON $\&$
13	REINDEL, LLP, 80 Pine Street, New York, New
14	York, pursuant to subpoena, before JENNIFER
15	OCAMPO-GUZMAN, a Shorthand Reporter and
16	Notary Public of the State of New York.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS VIACOM INTERNATIONAL,
5	INC.:
б	JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
7	BY: SUSAN J. KOHLMANN, ESQ.
8	919 Third Avenue, 37th Floor
9	New York, New York 10022-3908
10	(212) 891-1690 skohlmann@jenner.com
11	
12	FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE,
13	LLC and GOOGLE, INC.:
14	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC
15	BY: BART E. VOLKMER, ESQ.
16	650 Page Mill Road
17	Palo Alto, California 94304-1050
18	650-565-3508 bvolkmer@wsgr.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 4
1	
2	APPEARANCES (Continued):
3	
4	FOR THE DEPONENT:
5	CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL, LLP
б	BY: ADAM ZUROFSKY, ESQ.
7	BY: CHRISTOPHER A. GORMAN, ESQ.
8	80 Pine Street
9	New York, New York 10005-1702
10	(212) 701-3137 azurofsky@cahill.com
11	(212) 701-3119 cgorman@cahill.com
12	
13	
14	ALSO PRESENT:
15	CARLOS KING, Videographer
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page 5
	1	
10:04:14	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape
10:04:15	3	number 1 of the videotaped deposition of
10:04:17	4	Michael Wolf in the matter Viacom
10:04:23	5	International, Inc., the Football
10:04:25	6	Association Premiere League Limited, et
10:04:25	7	al., versus YouTube Inc., YouTube, LLC
10:04:28	8	and Google, Inc.
10:04:29	9	This deposition is being held at 80
10:04:34	10	Pine Street, New York, New York on
10:04:35	11	April 17, 2009, at approximately 10:02
10:04:38	12	a.m.
10:04:38	13	My name is Carlos King from the
10:04:41	14	firm of David Feldman Worldwide, and I
10:04:44	15	am the legal video specialist. The
10:04:46	16	court reporter is Jennifer Ocampo-Guzman
10:04:48	17	in association with David Feldman
10:04:50	18	Worldwide.
10:04:51	19	Will counsel please introduce
10:04:52	20	themselves.
10:04:52	21	MR. VOLKMER: Bart Volkmer from
10:04:54	22	Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati
10:04:54	23	representing defendants Google and
10:04:58	24	YouTube.
10:04:58	25	MR. ZUROFSKY: Adam Zurofsky from

		Page 6
	1	
10:04:58	2	Cahill Gordon & Reindel, LLP, with my
10:05:00	3	associate Chris Gorman, representing the
10:05:01	4	witness.
10:05:02	5	MS. KOHLMANN: Susan Kohlmann from
10:05:06	6	Jenner & Block, LLP, representing
10:05:07	7	Viacom.
10:05:07	8	MR. VOLKMER: Good morning, Mr.
10:05:08	9	Wolf. Could you please state your name
10:05:10	10	and home address for the record, please?
10:05:14	11	THE WITNESS: Michael J. Wolf, 1010
10:05:14	12	Fifth Avenue.
10:05:17	13	MR. VOLKMER: I know that you've
10:05:17	14	been deposed before and I know you know
10:05:20	15	the routine, but I'd like to go over a
10:05:22	16	few of the ground rules today.
10:05:23	17	First, the court reporter is taking
10:05:25	18	down everything that we say, so please
10:05:27	19	answer audibly instead of nodding your
10:05:27	20	head or shaking your head.
10:05:31	21	THE WITNESS: Okay.
10:05:31	22	MR. VOLKMER: And your attorney may
10:05:32	23	be making objections throughout the
10:05:32	24	course of the deposition from time to
10:05:34	25	time, and even though he's making

Page 84

1 Wolf 11:48:18 2 foundation. 11:48:21 3 Α. At the time I would have need --11:48:28 4 needed to see -- I mean, again, I don't know 11:48:33 5 whether I agree. On one side I believed that 11:48:35 6 it was an acquisition that would be 11:48:37 7 important; on the other side I would have 11:48:40 8 needed to see much more financial information 11:48:44 9 and information about the business model 11:48:47 10 before I could have gone ahead, and, and 11:48:50 11 believed that this was an acquisition that 11:48:52 12 Viacom should complete. 11:48:53 13 From a business perspective, the 0. 11:49:07 14 individuals listed at the top of this e-mail 11:49:08 15 came to the conclusion that such an 11:49:10 16 acquisition would be viable though, right? 11:49:13 17 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection to form, 11:49:14 18 foundation, vague. 11:49:15 19 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection. 11:49:16 20 MR. ZUROFSKY: The document speaks 11:49:17 21 for itself. Go on. 11:49:19 22 I don't see anywhere where it says Α. 11:49:21 23 "viable." 11:49:21 24 Q. What was the function of the group 11:49:30 25 that was convened over the second weekend in

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 85
	1	Wolf
11:49:33	2	July of 2006, to look at the YouTube, at a
11:49:37	3	potential YouTube acquisition?
11:49:39	4	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection to form,
11:49:40	5	asked and answered.
11:49:40	6	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
11:49:43	7	MR. ZUROFSKY: Yes, the last
11:49:44	8	document.
11:50:08	9	A. If you look at the previous
11:50:09	10	document, the document marked Wolf 6 ID 41709
11:50:17	11	it says, the fifth paragraph down, "The key
11:50:29	12	question we now need to consider, how could
11:50:33	13	we create a business model for YouTube?"
11:50:35	14	Q. Okay. And what was the answer from
11:50:41	15	that group that you convened?
11:50:46	16	A. Ultimately the answer was no.
11:50:48	17	Q. The group came back with the
11:50:51	18	answer, no, we can't create a business model
11:50:54	19	for YouTube?
11:50:56	20	A. To the best of my recollection, we
11:50:59	21	did not pursue the acquisition and so we did
11:51:04	22	not continue to have negotiations around the
11:51:07	23	acquisition, so the group did not say that we
11:51:13	24	should buy YouTube.
11:51:16	25	Q. You convened a group to come back

Page 86 1 Wolf 11:51:21 2 and answer the question of whether we, and 11:51:25 3 that's MTV Networks, could create a business 11:51:28 4 model for YouTube and they had a session over 11:51:30 5 the weekend and they reported back to you; is 11:51:32 6 that right? 11:51:32 7 Α. That's correct. 11:51:32 8 0. And you're saying that their 11:51:35 9 recommendation, after meeting over the 11:51:37 10 weekend, was that MTV Networks could not 11:51:40 11 build a business model --11:51:42 12 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 11:51:43 13 0. -- around YouTube? 11:51:44 14 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection, 11:51:45 15 misstates, foundation, form. 11:51:47 16 Go ahead. 11:51:48 17 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection. 11:51:54 18 THE WITNESS: Could you read me 11:51:55 back the question, please, it's just a 19 11:51:56 20 lot of questions so I'm having trouble 11:51:59 21 remembering which one I'm answering. 11:52:01 22 (A portion of the record was read.) 11:52:20 23 To the best, to the best of my Α. 11:52:26 2.4 recollection, they did not believe that we 11:52:35 25 could build a sufficient business model that

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 87
	1	Wolf
11:52:39	2	would justify an acquisition.
11:52:41	3	Q. But doesn't Mr. Cahan state at the
11:52:54	4	conclusion of that weekend, after meeting
11:52:56	5	with the individuals that we've been
11:52:58	6	discussing, that we all believe this is a
11:53:01	7	transformative acquisition that we should
11:53:03	8	pursue?
11:53:05	9	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection, the
11:53:05	10	document speaks for itself, asked and
11:53:07	11	answered.
11:53:07	12	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
11:53:09	13	MR. ZUROFSKY: Form.
11:53:09	14	A. I didn't write this memo, so I
11:53:12	15	don't know specifically what Mr. Cahan means.
11:53:15	16	Q. But he's reporting back to you the
11:53:19	17	results of a group consensus regarding an
11:53:25	18	acquisition of YouTube by Viacom, right?
11:53:28	19	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
11:53:29	20	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection,
11:53:30	21	misstates, foundation.
11:53:31	22	A. I don't know if that was the
11:53:46	23	consensus. He is reporting back.
11:53:48	24	Q. And he's reporting back, "We all
11:53:51	25	believe this is a transformative acquisition