Highly Confidential

| 1> |
|---|
| ch & In-Streaming |
| d out pe |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| or |
| DATE: 2-(2-09 EXHIBIT# 2-1) DEPONENT: KOLDESTAN 1 CASE: Viacom, et al., v. YouTube, et al., The Football Association Premier League, et al., v. YouTube, et al., Case Nos. 07-CV-2203 and 07-CV-3582 A. Ignacio Howard, CSR, RPR, CCRR, CLR, No. 9830 |
| |

Expert - Ads, Lics

G00001-02439050

P.S. I did a little bit more investigation on Yahoo and its \$20.00 CPM (per Dave Goldberg). Please be aware that this is the *gross CPM* that does NOT take into account % monetizeable videos (i.e., 60%) and % sell thru rates (i.e., 60%). Also, this price represents the *traditional 15-30 pre-roll with companion banners near the player*. Therefore, Yahoo's eCPM for in-streaming ads for video is over \$7.00 (the apples-to-apples driver in our model is eCPM of ~

Partner Content Assumptions

Total 3-year revenue is (compared to in the previous version of the model). I projected that monetization using in-streaming begins

October 2007. Also, I raised the eCPM to in 2009 and included the user revenue share program. As in the prior version of the model, we are assuming that are signed partners. The forecasted of total video views also includes CYC.

Search Results Monetization Assumptions

Total 3-year revenue is ______ (compared to ______ in the prior version of the model). While the inventory of search PVs decreased from my last version of the model (now represents ______ of site video views), eCPM on search results pages increased to ______ in 2009 (compared to ______ in the prior version). Also, I adjusted upward some of the watch page assumptions to reflect the March-May numbers that we did not have previously. For details on CPM and STR assumptions by video and display, please see below.

As one way of sanity checking this over 3-years, I reverse-engineered the number of pods projected to sell YouTube. For Search on YouTube today, our team (Tress, Flaharty, Keri and Sam/Jen) have each averaged about per month selling search. Using this as a starting point, I sanity checked the revenue numbers by implying the number of pods selling YouTube. According to my assumptions, the number of pods in the field selling YouTube search is almost 40 after one-year. By end of 2009, the revenue numbers imply just over 100 pods selling YouTube. Based on the long-term Janus guidance that I've heard, this seemed reasonable to me. However, the implication is that the search PVs on the site will be almost sold out by the end of 2009 (the remaining to be monetized via remnant display).

PVA on search

- * STR after months of launch
- * After April 2008, TR STR increase per month as more and more agencies / marketers become accustomed to creating PVAs for the YouTube community

| * Gross CPM starts at (which is \$1.00 below rate-card), increasing MoM due to better targeting * Reaches by end of 2009 (this is the current target CPM on the rate-card after several tiers of targeting) |
|---|
| Tato card area several liers of targeting) |
| CTP on search |
| * Assumed half the STR as the PVA (affect 10 months of launch), due to limited inventory of CTP ads in the Google network * Reaches over by by the end of 2009 * Gross CPM starts at based on Google's site targeting statistics, increases MoM * Reaches almost by end of 2009 |
| |
| Direct display on search 300x250 |
| * Currently, STR for each of the 160x600 and 728x90 ad unit is With the 300x250, there's now only 1 ad unit and a more desirable one for marketers |
| * Reaches STR by the end of 2007, reaches by end of 2009 |
| * Yahoo's self thru rate on its media properties for "Class 1" banners (like the 300x250) is currently between 40% and 65%. |
| * Gross CPM starts at (which is below rate-card), increases MoM * Reaches almost by end of 2009 |
| Remnant on 300x250 on search |
| Averages per month for domestic display International inventory on .com includes run-of-site display and CTP |
| |
| Attachments: |
| Monetization Meeting_Financial -5-17-07- v2.ppt |

Reaches by the end of 2009





Financial Estimates for Scaleable Monetization Units 100 mits

| (\$ in mm) | 07 Quarte | | Annual | | 3-Year | |
|---|-----------|------|--------|------|--------|--|
| In Streaming Revenue Opportunity In Streaming Revenue Opportunity | u3 u4 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | Total | |
| Search Results Monetization Opportunity Domestic Video Ads Revenue | | | | | | |
| Domestic Display Ads Revenue Domestic Ads Revenue International Ads Revenue (Remnant) Search Results Monetization Opportunity | | | | | | |
| Key Metrics Overall — avg. video views per day (in mm) % Growth | | | | | | |
| Search Results — avg. page views per day (in mm) % of Video Views (Site + Embedded) | | | | | | |
| Partner Content — avg. video views per day (in mm) % of Overall | | | | | | |
| eCPM — In Streaming eCPM — Search Results Monetization | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |