Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY)
PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC)
TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT)
PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK)
ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,)

Plaintiffs,

VS.

) NO. 07-CV-2203

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)
LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
on behalf of themselves and all)
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

) NO. 07-CV-3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JAWED KARIM PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA TUESDAY, JUNE 9, 2009

JOB NO. 16798

	Page 2
1	KARIM, JAWED
2	JUNE 9, 2009
3	10:11 a.m.
4	
5	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JAWED KARIM,
6	held at the offices of WILSON, SONSINI,
7	GOODRICH & ROSATI, 601 California Avenue,
8	Palo Alto, California, pursuant to notice,
9	before R. CHAYO AYON, CLR, CSR License
10	No. 12372.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	KARIM, JAWED
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC.:
5	SHEARMAN & STERLING, LLP
6	BY: STUART J. BASKIN, ESQ.
7	599 Lexington Avenue
8	New York, New York 10022-6069
9	(212) 848-4000 stuart.baskin@shearman.com
10	
11	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC.:
12	JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
13	BY: SUSAN J. KOHLMANN, ESQ.
14	SCOTT B. WILKENS, ESQ.
15	1099 New York Avenue NW
16	Suite 900
17	Washington, D.C. 20001
18	(202) 639-6000 skohlmann@jenner.com
19	
20	FOR THE LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND PROSPECTIVE CLASS:
21	BERNSTEIN, LITOWITZ, BERGER & GROSSMAN, LLP
22	BY: JOHN BROWNE, ESQ.
23	1285 Avenue of the Americas
24	New York, New York 10019
25	(800) 380-8496 johnb@blbglaw.com

	Page 4
1	KARIM, JAWED
2	APPEARANCES (Continued.)
3	
4	FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and
5	GOOGLE, INC.:
6	MAYER BROWN, LLP
7	BY: MATTHEW D. INGBER, ESQ.
8	BRIAN WILLEN, ESQ.
9	1675 Broadway
10	New York, New York 10019-5820
11	(212) 506-2279 mingber@mayerbrownrowe.com
12	
13	FOR THE WITNESS:
14	DURIE, TANGRI, PAGE, LEMLEY, ROBERTS &
15	KENT, LLP
16	BY: MICHAEL H. PAGE, ESQ.
17	332 Pine Street, Suite 200
18	San Francisco, California 94104
19	(415) 362-6666 mpage@durietangri.com
20	
21	ALSO PRESENT:
22	KELLY TRUELOVE, Ph.D,
23	TRUELOVE RESEARCH, CONSULTANT FOR VIACOM
24	LOU MEADOWS, VIDEOGRAPHER
25	

		
		Page 5
	1	KARIM, JAWED
	2	PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA, JUNE 9, 2009
	3	10:11 a.m. to 5:23 p.m.
	4	
	5	PROCEEDINGS
10:11:37	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is today's
10:11:38	7	videotaped deposition of Jawed Karim, taken on June
10:11:44	8	9, 2009, at Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati,
10:11:49	9	601 California, San Jose, California excuse me
10:11:52	10	Palo Alto, California, in the matter of Viacom
10:11:58	11	International, Inc., vs. YouTube, Inc., and The
10:11:58	12	Football Association Premier League vs. YouTube,
10:12:00	13	Inc., et al., case number 07-CV-2103 and 07-CV-3582
10:12:10	14	in the United States District Court for the Southern
10:12:14	15	District of New York.
10:12:15	16	My name is Lou Meadows, and I represent
10:12:17	17	David Feldman Worldwide, located at 600 Anton
10:12:20	18	Boulevard, Suite 1100, in Costa Mesa, California.
10:12:22	19	We are now commencing at 10:11 a.m.
10:12:27	20	Will all counsel present please identify
10:12:29	21	themselves and state whom they represent for the
10:12:32	22	record.
10:12:32	23	MR. PAGE: Michael Page of Durie Tangri,
10:12:36	24	representing the witness.
10:12:36	25	MR. INGBER: Matthew Ingber, Mayer Brown,

		Page 6
	1	KARIM, JAWED
10:12:36	2	representing Google and YouTube.
10:12:40	3	MR. WILLEN: Brian Willen from Mayer Brown,
10:12:42	4	representing Google and YouTube.
10:12:42	5	MR. BASKIN: I'm Stuart Baskin from
10:12:45	6	Shearman & Sterling, representing Viacom.
10:12:49	7	MR. WILKINS: Scott Wilkins from Jenner &
10:12:49	8	Block, representing Viacom.
10:12:49	9	MS. KOHLMANN: Susan Kohlmann from Jenner &
10:12:58	10	Block, representing Viacom.
10:12:58	11	MR. BROWNE: John Browne from Bernstein,
10:12:59	12	Litowitz, Berger & Grossman on behalf of The
10:12:59	13	Premiere League and The Class.
10:13:04	14	MR. TRUELOVE: Kelly Truelove, consultant
10:13:06	15	for Viacom.
	16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. If there are
	17	no stipulations, the court reporter may now
	18	administer the oath.
	19	JAWED KARIM,
	20	called as a witness by the Plaintiffs and who,
	21	having been by me duly sworn, was thereupon examined
	22	and testified as hereinafter set forth.
	23	EXAMINATION
	24	BY MR. BASKIN:
10:13:20	25	Q. Good morning.

		Page 7
	1	KARIM, JAWED
10:13:20	2	A. Hi.
10:13:21	3	Q. How old are you?
10:13:27	4	A. Thirty.
10:13:31	5	Q. You are a very young-looking 30. Tell us a
10:13:41	6	little bit about yourself. The let me show you
10:13:44	7	your resume printed from, I think, your Web site,
10:13:48	8	and maybe you can tell us a little bit about
10:13:50	9	yourself.
10:13:52	10	MR. BASKIN: So why don't we mark as
10:13:54	11	Exhibit 1?
10:13:54	12	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
10:14:04	13	identification.)
10:14:04	14	MR. PAGE: I object that it calls for a
10:14:07	15	narrative. If you want to ask him a real question,
10:14:12	16	go ahead.
10:14:12	17	MR. BASKIN: I'll ask him questions.
10:14:34	18	BY MR. BASKIN:
10:14:35	19	Q. I take it you have an undergraduate degree
10:14:37	20	from the University of Illinois in Urbana-Champaign?
10:14:43	21	A. Yeah.
10:14:44	22	Q. And and what, in particular, in
10:14:47	23	connection with computer science and engineering,
10:14:50	24	what did you study there?
10:14:51	25	A. I was a undergraduate degree in computer

		Page 8
	1	KARIM, JAWED
10:14:58	2	science. So, you know, the curriculum was, you
10:15:07	3	know, operating systems, computer graphics,
10:15:13	4	networking. So kind of the whole broad curriculum.
10:15:19	5	Q. And what are you studying now with Stan
10:15:22	6	do you have a degree from Stanford now, a graduate
10:15:27	7	degree?
10:15:28	8	A. I have a Master's degree.
10:15:30	9	Q. And also in computer science?
10:15:31	10	A. Uh-huh.
10:15:32	11	Q. What in particular did you study at
10:15:34	12	Stanford?
10:15:34	13	A. So I studied it's called systems.
10:15:39	14	That's the area.
10:15:41	15	Q. And since I'm pretty technologically
10:15:48	16	illiterate, why don't you tell me what "systems" is.
10:15:50	17	A. Sure. So systems is basically sort of
10:15:55	18	the interface between operating systems, networking,
10:16:01	19	databases. It's kind of a combination of several
10:16:06	20	fields.
10:16:07	21	Q. By the way, is is Exhibit 1 your resume?
10:16:15	22	Does it look to you to be such?
10:16:17	23	A. I think it looks correct.
10:16:29	24	Q. Okay. Now, tell me a little bit about what
10:16:32	25	you did at PayPal back in the period you were

		Page 9
	1	KARIM, JAWED
10:16:35	2	associated with them, 2000 through 2005?
10:16:38	3	A. Uh-huh. So I helped to build the Web site.
10:16:47	4	And some of the stuff I did later was related to
10:16:58	5	scaleability was kind of my main contribution
10:17:05	6	because the system expanded to, you know, I think
10:17:08	7	like a hundred million users. And so I I was
10:17:10	8	part of the architecture on our team.
10:17:15	9	Q. And did you go to PayPal right out of
10:17:20	10	right out of college?
10:17:20	11	A. Well, actually during college, because I
10:17:25	12	was still an undergrad.
10:17:26	13	Q. When you were there?
10:17:27	14	A. Yeah.
10:17:28	15	Q. Now, when PayPal was sold what, in early
10:17:34	16	2002? Is that October 2002 PayPal was sold?
10:17:38	17	A. Uh-huh.
10:17:38	18	Q. Did you receive a payment in in
10:17:40	19	connection with the sale of PayPal?
10:17:42	20	A. I mean, there was I had stock options,
10:17:44	21	and so that didn't really change when it was sold.
10:17:47	22	I still had the same stock options.
10:17:49	23	Q. And how much were your stock options worth
10:17:52	24	on the sale of PayPal?
10:17:55	25	A. Upon?

		Page 10
	1	KARIM, JAWED
10:17:56	2	MR. PAGE: Object that it calls for
10:17:58	3	speculation.
10:17:58	4	THE WITNESS: I don't know really the exact
10:17:59	5	amount.
10:18:00	6	BY MR. BASKIN:
10:18:00	7	Q. Give me an approximate amount.
10:18:01	8	A. Upon the sale, I think I think it might
10:18:05	9	have been maybe .
10:18:10	10	Q. And your next immediate job after PayPal
10:18:12	11	was at YouTube? Or was was there a period of
10:18:16	12	time when you were employed at another Web site
10:18:19	13	called Trepia?
10:18:22	14	A. Let's see. So that's a company I founded.
10:18:26	15	Q. And it's it's not on this particular
10:18:35	16	resume, but when did you found found Trepia?
10:18:39	17	A. Let's see. I think it was I think it
10:18:46	18	might have been maybe 2002, maybe. 2003.
10:18:50	19	Q. Upon the sale of PayPal?
10:18:53	20	A. I think it was I think it was after the
10:18:58	21	sale of PayPal.
10:18:59	22	Q. And what was your position at Trepia?
10:19:04	23	A. It was founder and CEO.
10:19:10	24	Q. And what what what was or is is
10:19:12	25	Trepia still around today?

		Page 16
	1	KARIM, JAWED
10:27:23	2	go at it a different way.
10:27:24	3	When when YouTube was first starting up,
10:27:32	4	I take it there were three founders; is that
10:27:35	5	correct?
10:27:35	6	A. Yes.
10:27:38	7	Q. And you were one of the three; correct?
10:27:41	8	A. Uh-huh.
10:27:43	9	Q. And the second founder was named Chad
10:27:46	10	Hurley; correct?
10:27:47	11	A. Yes.
10:27:48	12	Q. And the third founder was Mr. Chen, Steven
10:27:53	13	Chen?
10:27:53	14	A. Uh-huh.
10:27:54	15	Q. Did you know all three of these gentlemen
10:27:56	16	from PayPal?
10:27:58	17	MR. PAGE: Two.
10:27:58	18	MR. BASKIN: Strike that. You're
10:28:00	19	absolutely right.
10:28:01	20	BY MR. BASKIN:
10:28:01	21	Q. Do you know the other two gentlemen from
10:28:03	22	PayPal?
10:28:04	23	A. Yes, uh-huh.
10:28:05	24	Q. And they'd worked with you at PayPal at the
10:28:10	25	time?

		Page 28
	1	KARIM, JAWED
10:45:20	2	Q. How did you go about strike that.
10:45:24	3	Did you personally collect the documents
10:45:27	4	that's been produced in this matter?
10:45:29	5	MR. PAGE: Objection, vague vague and
10:45:33	6	ambiguous.
10:45:34	7	Do you want to ask about the documents he's
10:45:38	8	produced in this matter?
10:45:39	9	THE WITNESS: I think
10:45:40	10	BY MR. BASKIN:
10:45:41	11	Q. With respect to the documents you produced
10:45:42	12	in this matter, how did you go about collecting
10:45:47	13	them?
10:45:47	14	A. I think I kept a copy of the consulting
10:45:50	15	agreement, and I just put them, you know, in a
10:45:53	16	folder.
10:45:53	17	Q. What about the various documents that bear
10:45:57	18	your JK and have been produced in this matter?
10:46:03	19	How did you collect those electronically?
10:46:06	20	MR. PAGE: Objection as vague and
10:46:11	21	ambiguous.
10:46:11	22	THE WITNESS: You know, those those were
10:46:14	23	all documents produced as a result of, you know, my
10:46:20	24	involvement with YouTube.
10:46:22	25	So for example, the e-mails, you know, any

		Page 29
	1	KARIM, JAWED
10:46:29	2	e-mails that that I wrote and received, those
10:46:31	3	were all in my e-mail file.
10:46:34	4	BY MR. BASKIN:
10:46:36	5	Q. E-mail I'm sorry. Were you done?
10:46:37	6	A. Yeah.
10:46:38	7	Q. The e-mail file on your own personal
10:46:42	8	computer?
10:46:42	9	A. So you're asking me where the e-mails came
10:46:47	10	from?
10:46:47	1.1	Q. Yes.
10:46:48	12	A. So the e-mails were a combination of two
10:46:52	13	e-mail accounts. I used my personal e-mail kind of
10:46:59	14	early on, before there was a YouTube, you know, kind
10:47:05	15	of e-mail address for everyone.
10:47:08	16	And then and then there was also the
10:47:14	17	e-mails that I used from the YouTube e-mail account.
10:47:18	18	So two so the e-mails were in two
10:47:21	19	accounts. Initially in the personal account, and
10:47:24	20	then later, once we had the YouTube e-mail, then I
10:47:28	21	think everyone used the YouTube e-mail account.
10:47:34	22	Q. And who searched your personal account
10:47:39	23	e-mail account for e-mails to produce in this
10:47:45	24	matter? Did you do it personally?
10:47:47	25	MR. PAGE: Objection as vague and

		Page 30
	1	KARIM, JAWED
10:47:48	2	ambiguous.
10:47:48	3	THE WITNESS: There was a collection
10:47:52	4	process at Wilson, Sonsini, I believe. And so I
10:48:00	5	I brought in, you know, all of my e-mails. And the
10:48:04	6	person responsible for the collection, I I worked
10:48:10	7	with him to extract the YouTube related e-mails from
10:48:14	8	all those e-mails.
10:48:15	9	BY MR. BASKIN:
10:48:15	10	Q. So you worked with a Wilson, Sonsini
10:48:18	11	lawyer?
10:48:19	12	A. There was a it was someone employed by
10:48:26	13	Wilson, Sonsini who was there who I worked with.
10:48:29	14	Q. In your YouTube e-mail account strike
10:48:33	15	that.
10:48:33	16	Did you follow the same procedure with
10:48:35	17	respect to your YouTube e-mail account?
10:48:37	18	A. With the YouTube e-mail account, the
10:48:46	19	procedure for collecting those e-mails was
10:48:50	20	different.
10:48:50	21	Q. Okay. And what was that procedure?
10:48:53	22	A. That procedure was to simply copy, you
10:48:59	23	know, sent and received e-mails in in all other
10:49:06	24	e-mail folders.
10:49:07	25	Q. And did you participate in that process?

		Page 31
	1.	KARIM, JAWED
10:49:10	2	A. Let's see. My participation was to simply,
10:49:18	3	you know, transfer the data.
10:49:18	4	Q. Um
10:49:22	5	MR. BASKIN: By the way, just for the
10:49:23	6	record, let's mark as Exhibit 3 a letter dated
10:49:33	7	June 14, 2006.
10:49:33	8	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 3 was marked for
10:49:42	9	identification.)
10:49:42	10	BY MR. BASKIN:
10:49:43	11	Q. I'll ask you to see if you can identify
10:49:45	12	that for us.
10:49:46	13	A. (Document review.)
10:50:41	14	Q. Does Exhibit 3 appear to you to be a copy
10:50:44	15	of the termination letter that you received from
10:50:46	16	Mr. Hurley on and around June 14, 2006?
10:50:51	17	A. I think so.
10:51:01	18	Q. And am I correct that as part of the
10:51:15	19	termination process, there was an adjustment made to
10:51:19	20	the 1.2 million shares that you had received under
10:51:22	21	your consulting agreement?
10:51:23	22	MR. PAGE: Object as vague and ambiguous.
10:51:44	23	THE WITNESS: I think I think the table
10:51:47	24	outlines a change.
10:51:49	25	BY MR. BASKIN:

		Page 106
	1	KARIM, JAWED
13:07:51	2	Exhibit 27?
13:07:52	3	A. I think so.
13:07:53	4	Q. And accordingly, did you receive Exhibit 27
13:07:59	5	on or around in and around September 2, 2005?
13:08:02	6	MR. PAGE: Object as to form.
13:08:05	7	THE WITNESS: I think I probably did
13:08:07	8	receive this e-mail.
13:08:13	9	MR. BASKIN: Shall we take a short break,
13:08:16	10	or make it a lunch break, for that matter. It's
13:08:18	11	1:10.
13:08:18	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. The
13:08:21	13	time is 1:07 p.m.
13:08:21	14	(Lunch recess taken from 1:07 p.m. to
13:08:21	15	2:02 p.m.)
14:02:57	16	AFTERNOON SESSION
14:02:57	17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record. The time
14:02:58	18	is 2:02 p.m. Please continue.
14:03:20	19	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:03:21	20	
14:03:25	21	
14:03:28	22	
14:03:30	23	
14:03:35	24	
14:03:38	25	

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

		Page 107
	1	KARIM, JAWED
14:03:41	2	
14:03:44	3	
14:03:44	4	
14:03:47	5	
14:03:49	6	
14:03:51	7	
14:03:56	8	
14:03:56	9	Q. Did you work did you perform work during
14:04:16	10	your time at YouTube on the administrative part of
14:04:23	11	the Web site, the administrative interface?
14:04:27	12	MR. INGBER: Objection, vague and
14:04:30	13	ambiguous.
14:04:30	14	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by
14:04:32	15	"administrative"?
14:04:33	16	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:04:34	17	Q. Well, am I correct that a that part of
14:04:40	18	the software that part of the design of the Web
14:04:43	19	site was an administrative component of the Web
14:04:49	20	site, which only high level executives of YouTube
14:04:54	21	had access to?
14:04:56	22	MR. INGBER: Objection, vague and
14:04:57	23	ambiguous.
14:04:57	24	MR. PAGE: And compound.
14:04:58	25	THE WITNESS: There was an interface where

		Page 118
	1	KARIM, JAWED
14:17:18	2	MR. PAGE: Objection, misstates
14:17:18	3	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:17:20	4	Q. Is that your testimony?
14:17:21	5	MR. PAGE: prior testimony.
14:17:22	6	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say
14:17:24	7	"oversimplification," but simplification.
14:17:28	8	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:17:28	9	Q. So how many let me just so we're
14:17:30	10	talking about the same thing, let me show you what
14:17:34	11	we'll mark as Exhibit 29.
14:17:34	12	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 29 was marked for
14:18:12	13	identification.)
14:18:12	14	THE WITNESS: Thanks.
14:18:14	15	(Document review.)
14:18:32	16	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:18:32	17	Q. Is Exhibit 29 strike that.
14:18:36	18	Was Exhibit 29 sent by you in and around
14:18:43	19	April 20, 2005?
14:18:44	20	A. Yes.
14:19:07	21	Q. Now, I take it this is the e-mail that you
14:19:09	22	referenced a few seconds ago; is that correct?
14:19:12	23	MR. PAGE: Objection, misstates his
14:19:14	24	testimony.
14:19:15	25	THE WITNESS: I don't know if it was this

	1	Page 119
14:19:19		KARIM, JAWED
	2	particular e-mail.
14:19:20	3	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:19:20	4	Q. Well, towards the bottom of Exhibit 29,
14:19:30	5	there's a sentence that begins, "If videos get
14:19:31	6	flooded with porn" and then it goes on to talk
14:19:32	7	about "we could always approve videos first
14:19:36	8	before they are shown anywhere. That's a one-line
14:19:40	9	code change."
14:19:41	10	Do you see that, sir?
14:19:42	11	A. Uh-huh.
14:19:43	12	Q. Is that the sentence that you're telling us
14:19:46	13	is now a simplification?
14:19:49	14	A. I mean, you know, most changes are not
14:19:53	15	one-line code changes. It's used as an expression
14:19:56	16	to say that something is fairly simple, but it may
14:20:00	17	not be one line.
14:20:01	18	Q. But as I understand it, to make this change
14:20:05	19	would have been for someone like you, would have
14:20:08	20	been a very simple thing to undertake; right?
14:20:13	21	MR. INGBER: Objection, vague and
14:20:15	22	ambiguous.
14:20:15	23	THE WITNESS: It would have been a pretty
14:20:17	24	simple change.
14:20:18	25	BY MR. BASKIN:

r		
		Page 120
	1	KARIM, JAWED
14:20:18	2	Q. Now, was there a decision made within
14:20:24	3	YouTube in and around April of 2005 not to permit
14:20:31	4	the administrative interface to block videos from
14:20:37	5	going from being viewed prior to review?
14:20:42	6	MR. PAGE: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
14:20:47	7	THE WITNESS: Um, so this was discussed
14:20:55	8	before the Web site launched. So actually,
14:20:59	9	everything we discuss at this point is really
14:21:01	10	subject to, you know, changing, and we were
14:21:06	11	considering different possibilities.
14:21:09	12	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:21:09	13	Q. My question is: Was a decision made
14:21:14	14	when the administrative interface went live, was a
14:21:19	15	decision made not to write the code to permit review
14:21:24	16	of videos by the interface prior to their being
14:21:29	17	displayed to the public?
14:21:31	18	A. Um, I don't know if so you're asking me
14:21:39	19	about the initial kind of implementation of the
14:21:43	20	of this interface?
14:21:45	21	I think it probably varied. I think, you
14:21:49	22	know this is before the Web site launched. I
14:21:52	23	think we probably tried out different approaches.
14:21:55	24	So I wouldn't be surprised if we had tried both of
14:21:58	25	those approaches.

		Page 121
	1	KARIM, JAWED
14:22:00	2	Q. Well, one possibility was to review videos
14:22:03	3	before they became publicly available; correct?
14:22:05	4	A. That's one of the possibilities.
14:22:07	5	Q. And was that possibility ever adopted by
14:22:10	6	YouTube, to your knowledge?
14:22:11	7	MR. INGBER: Objection, vague.
14:22:13	8	THE WITNESS: I don't remember, but I think
14:22:14	9	it's very possible that we used both approaches.
14:22:17	10	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:22:18	11	Q. When did you use both approaches,
14:22:21	12	Mr. Karim?
14:22:22	13	MR. PAGE: Objection, misstates his prior
14:22:24	14	testimony.
14:22:27	15	MR. INGBER: Same objection.
14:22:28	16	THE WITNESS: I don't remember exactly when
14:22:30	17	but these the approaches changed, I'm pretty
14:22:32	18	sure.
14:22:32	19	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:22:32	20	Q. Can you tell us any period of time when the
14:22:37	21	interface was set up to review videos before they
14:22:42	22	became publicly available?
14:22:44	23	A. I don't know the time frame. I think it's
14:23:01	24	very likely that that was the case for some time.
14:23:03	25	Q. What time, sir?

		Page 133
	1	KARIM, JAWED
14:35:59	2	Q. When you were involved with the site.
14:36:00	3	A. Until what date?
14:36:01	4	Q. Until you ceased being involved with the
14:36:04	5	site.
14:36:05	6	MR. INGBER: Objection as to "involved."
14:36:06	7	THE WITNESS: Define "involved."
14:36:08	8	MR. INGBER: Vague as to "involved."
14:36:10	9	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:36:11	10	Q. Until until the period of time when you
14:36:13	11	were terminated. From your start start with the
14:36:16	12	site until when you were terminated from the site,
14:36:21	13	could a YouTube user upload a video and switch it
14:36:27	14	from public to private at his will?
14:36:30	15	A. I'm not certain about the time after I was
14:36:32	16	no longer full-time with the company, after
14:36:36	17	September 2005, but before that I know that users
14:36:38	18	could do that.
14:36:39	19	Q. Now, am I right that if a content provider
14:36:48	20	wanted to search for its content on YouTube, it had
14:36:51	21	no access to what was switched to private videos;
14:36:54	22	correct?
14:36:54	23	MR. INGBER: Objection, vague and
14:36:56	24	ambiguous, calls for speculation.
14:36:57	25	THE WITNESS: What time frame?

		Page 134
	1	KARIM, JAWED
14:36:58	2	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:36:59	3	Q. Let's take your your past time frame.
14:37:03	4	Up until September 2005, am I correct that
14:37:06	5	a content provider who wanted to search the site for
14:37:11	6	its intellectual property had no access to the
14:37:15	7	private videos?
14:37:16	8	MR. INGBER: Same objections.
14:37:20	9	THE WITNESS: What is the objection?
14:37:21	10	MR. INGBER: Vague and ambiguous, calls for
14:37:29	11	speculation.
14:37:29	12	THE WITNESS: I mean, private videos, you
14:37:33	13	couldn't, you know, have access to if it wasn't
14:37:36	14	being shared with the person who if it wasn't
14:37:40	15	shared with you. So you couldn't just find it on
14:37:44	16	the site.
14:37:45	17	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:37:47	18	Q. Now, do you know whether you had private
14:38:19	19	videos on your site that had been reviewed thousands
14:38:23	20	of times by by viewers?
14:38:27	21	MR. INGBER: Vague and ambiguous as to the
14:38:29	22	term "your site."
14:38:33	23	BY MR. BASKIN:
14:38:34	24	Q. With respect to the videos that you
14:38:38	25	uploaded, sir, to your private video site on

		Page 178
	1	KARIM, JAWED
16:10:44	2	A. I see Exhibit 45.
16:10:47	3	Q. Who is Charles Chariya?
16:10:49	4	A. I think he's an acquaintance of Steve, but
16:10:52	5	I have not met him.
16:10:54	6	Q. Was he involved at at at Yahoo, do
16:10:59	7	you know?
16:10:59	8	A. I know that he worked at Yahoo. That's all
16:11:05	9	I know, though.
16:11:06	10	Q. Do you recall reviewing Exhibit 45?
16:11:10	11	A. I actually do not remember seeing this
16:11:13	12	before.
16:11:14	13	Q. Okay. Finally, let me hand you what we'll
16:11:31	14	mark as Exhibit sorry 47.
16:11:31	15	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 47 was marked for
16:11:54	16	identification.)
16:11:54	17	THE WITNESS: (Document review.)
16:12:15	18	BY MR. BASKIN:
16:12:16	19	Q. Can you identify for us, sir, what is
16:12:19	20	Exhibit 47?
16:12:19	21	A. It's a document I wrote.
16:12:24	22	Q. And did you write it on or about the date
16:12:28	23	set forth on the document?
16:12:29	24	A. Yes.
16:12:30	25	Q. And for what purpose did you write this

		Page 179
	1	KARIM, JAWED
16:12:36	2	document?
16:12:37	3	A. I just wrote this to communicate some
16:12:49	4	ideas.
16:12:50	5	Q. And to whom did you communicate these
16:12:53	6	ideas?
16:12:53	7	MR. PAGE: Objection, assumes facts.
16:12:57	8	THE WITNESS: This was handed out by me at
16:13:01	9	a board meeting and but it was only handed out.
16:13:07	10	It was not discussed. So I don't know if anyone
16:13:09	11	other than me actually ever read this.
16:13:11	12	BY MR. BASKIN:
16:13:11	13	Q. You you were at a board meeting that
16:13:13	14	occurred on the day after you wrote this memo;
16:13:16	15	correct?
16:13:16	16	A. Yes.
16:13:17	17	Q. And you distributed Exhibit 47 to the
16:13:23	18	members of the board?
16:13:24	19	A. Yes.
16:13:25	20	Q. And but there was no discussion taken at
16:13:31	21	the meeting at the time?
16:13:31	22	A. No.
16:13:32	23	Q. Was there was there scheduled to be a
16:13:53	24	discussion of Exhibit 47 at the board meeting?
16:13:56	25	MR. PAGE: Objection, calls for

	···········	
		Page 180
	1	KARIM, JAWED
16:14:00	2	speculation.
16:14:00	3	THE WITNESS: I remember handing it out at
16:14:04	4	the board meeting, and I I was just an
16:14:10	5	observer on the board. I was not never a member
16:14:12	6	of the board.
16:14:13	7	And I remember, you know, the members,
16:14:16	8	they're saying, you know, "Thanks. We'll check it
16:14:18	9	out."
16:14:19	10	BY MR. BASKIN:
16:14:20	11	Q. And going into the board meeting, was a
16:14:27	12	discussion of your memo a scheduled item for the
16:14:30	13	board meeting?
16:14:31	14	A. No, it was not.
16:14:32	15	Q. What caused you to hand it out at the board
16:14:35	16	meeting?
16:14:35	17	A. So between board meetings, I would
16:14:45	18	sometimes, you know, have some ideas. And then I
16:14:50	19	thought it would be better organized to assemble
16:14:56	20	them into one document that I could then hand out at
16:14:59	21	the board meeting.
16:15:00	22	Q. So was it your practice to hand out
16:15:05	23	memoranda from time to time at the board meeting?
16:15:07	24	MR. INGBER: Objection, mischaracterizes
16:15:11	25	his testimony.

		Page 181
	1	KARIM, JAWED
16:15:11	2	THE WITNESS: I think it was the only time
16:15:13	3	I did that, but I would verbally discuss things at
16:15:14	4	board meetings that I had developed over the
16:15:16	5	previous month.
16:15:18	6	BY MR. BASKIN:
16:15:18	7	Q. And was there a reason you recall why you
16:15:21	8	decided to communicate to the board in writing on
16:15:28	9	March 23, 2006, rather than orally?
16:15:32	10	A. Because I had accumulated a lot of
16:15:35	11	different things.
16:15:36	12	Q. Did you propose at the board meeting that
16:15:44	13	the board discuss the the items set forth in
16:15:48	14	Exhibit 47?
16:15:48	15	A. I remember saying, you know, "Here are some
16:15:52	16	things that I wanted to talk about."
16:15:57	17	Q. And did someone make the decision not to
16:15:59	18	discuss Exhibit 47 at the board meeting?
16:16:02	19	MR. INGBER: Objection, calls for
16:16:03	20	speculation.
16:16:03	21	MR. PAGE: Join.
16:16:06	22	THE WITNESS: It was I handed it out,
16:16:10	23	and Steve said, "Thanks, I will I will look at
16:16:16	24	it," and then the meeting proceeded, but not with
16:16:22	25	this.

		Page 182
	1	KARIM, JAWED
16:16:23	2	BY MR. BASKIN:
16:16:24	3	Q. And did there come a time in a subsequent
16:16:28	4	board meeting when you had occasion to discuss
16:16:31	5	Exhibit 47 with the board members?
16:16:33	6	A. No. This was never discussed.
16:16:39	7	Q. Did there come a time when any member of
16:16:42	8	the board contacted you to discuss any of the topics
16:16:46	9	set forth in Exhibit 47?
16:16:47	10	A. No.
16:16:48	11	Q. Did there come a time when Mr. Hurley
16:16:50	12	discussed with you the matters set forth in
16:16:54	13	Exhibit 47?
16:16:54	14	MR. PAGE: Objection, asked and answered.
16:16:56	15	MR. INGBER: Objection, asked and answered.
16:16:58	16	MR. PAGE: Stop doing that.
16:16:59	17	THE WITNESS: This was never brought up by
16:17:03	18	anyone again after I handed it out.
16:17:05	19	BY MR. BASKIN:
16:17:05	20	Q. So if I understand, after you handed it out
16:17:09	21	at the board meeting, no human being associated with
16:17:13	22	YouTube talked to you about this exhibit; is that
16:17:15	23	correct?
16:17:15	24	A. That's correct.
16:17:16	25	Q. And did any human being associated with

		
		Page 183
	1	KARIM, JAWED
16:17:20	2	YouTube ever e-mail you in connection with this
16:17:22	3	exhibit?
16:17:23	4	A. Not that I remember.
16:17:24	5	Q. Um, in subsequent board meetings, did you
16:17:30	6	attempt orally to raise any of the items set forth
16:17:34	7	in Exhibit 47?
16:17:37	8	MR. PAGE: Objection, assumes facts.
16:17:40	9	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
16:17:41	10	BY MR. BASKIN:
16:17:42	11	Q. And at any prior board meeting, did you
16:17:46	12	discuss the topics set forth in Exhibit 47 orally?
16:17:50	13	MR. PAGE: Objection, compound.
16:17:51	14	THE WITNESS: I didn't.
16:17:53	15	BY MR. BASKIN:
16:18:27	16	Q. I have one more document to show you, sir.
16:18:31	17	MR. WILLEN: You said that last time.
	18	MR. BASKIN: Yeah, I know. I made a
	19	mistake last time.
	20	(Discussion held off the record.)
	21	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 48 was marked for
	22	identification.)
	23	BY MR. BASKIN:
16:20:09	24	Q. Let me hand out Exhibit 48.
16:20:31	25	A. (Document review.)