Rubin Reply Exhibit 162

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC.,

COMEDY PARTNERS,

COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC.,

PARAMOUNT PICTURES

COPRORATION,

and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT

TELEVISION LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

youtube, Inc., Youtube, LLC, and
GOOGLE Inc.,

Defendants.

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO YOUTUBE'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC. ET AL.

Plaintiffs Viacom International, Inc., Comedy Partners, Country Music Television, Inc., Paramount Pictures Corporation, and Black Entertainment Television, LLC, by their attorneys Jenner & Block LLP and Shearman & Sterling LLP, hereby object to YouTube's Second Set of Interrogatories to Viacom International, Inc. (Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories) as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Plaintiffs make the following objections to specific Interrogatories by, among other things, incorporating by reference the following general objections ("General Objections"):

- 1. Plaintiffs object to the Second Set of Interrogatories in their entirety as exceeding the scope permissible under Local Rule 33.3(b), which states that interrogatories other than those seeking names of witnesses with relevant knowledge or information only if ordered by the court or if interrogatories are "a more practical method of obtaining the information sought than a request for production or a deposition." Defendants interrogatory requests are unduly burdensome and duplicative of their document requests.
- 2. Plaintiffs object to the Second Set of Interrogatories in their entirety as exceeding, with subparts, the limit of twenty-five Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order entered on August 9, 2007, and amended on October 10, 2007. Plaintiffs have already identified over 20,000 video clips that infringe its copyrights. Interrogatory 7 and Interrogatory 8 purport to request specific information about each of those thousands of infringing clips on a clip-by-clip basis and thus impermissibly exceed Defendants' twenty-five interrogatory limit.
- 2. Plaintiffs object to Defendants' definition of "Viacom," which includes entities listed in Paragraph 1 of the Definitions and those listed in Exhibit A to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories ("Exhibit A"). Paragraph 1 and Exhibit A make up a voluminous, globe-spanning listing of Plaintiffs' partners, affiliates, and subsidiaries. Defendants' inclusion of these entities is vexatious and improper. Plaintiffs further object to Defendants' definition of "Viacom" to the extent that it includes Plaintiffs' outside counsel, because searching for responsive information in the possession of Plaintiffs' outside counsel would be unduly burdensome.

Plaintiffs further object to Defendants' inclusion of Viacom's "agents," "representatives," "any other person acting or purporting to act on [Viacom's] behalf," or

"any other person otherwise subject to its control" in their definition of Viacom because those terms and/or phrases are overbroad and vague.

- 3. Plaintiffs object to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories to the extent that they seek to impose on Plaintiffs obligations or requirements beyond those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York.
- 4. Plaintiffs object to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information or request documents that are known to Defendants, a matter of public record, or otherwise publicly available.
- 5. In objecting to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs do not in any way waive or intend to waive but, rather, intend to preserve and are preserving:
 - a. all objections as to competency, relevancy, materiality, privilege and admissibility of evidence for any purpose of any information or document, or the subject matter thereof, in the trial of this or any other action or subsequent proceedings;
 - b. the right to object to the use of any information or document, or the subject matter thereof, in the trial of this or any other action or subsequent proceedings;
 - c. the right to elicit appropriate evidence, beyond the responses themselves, regarding the subjects referred to in or in response to any request;
 - d. the right to preserve the confidential or proprietary nature of any information or document, or the subject matter thereof, by mutual agreement or otherwise, as a condition of production; *and*
 - e. the right at any time to correct, supplement, or clarify any of the objections.

6. Plaintiffs' objections to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories shall not constitute an admission of any statement or conclusion implied in any of Defendants' Interrogatories.

OBJECTIONS TO SPECIFIC INTERROGATORIES

Subject to and without waiving any of the foregoing General Objections, which apply to each Interrogatory as if set forth fully below, Plaintiffs make the following specific objections:

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

For each Accused Video Clip, state whether the work reflected in the clip was published with a copyright notice on all authorized publicly distributed copies, and if so, set forth the content of the notice.

Objections to Interrogatory No. 7:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory exceeds the scope permissible under Local Rule 33.3(b). Absent a court order, Local Rule 33.3(b) allows interrogatories seeking information other than names of witnesses with knowledge of information relevant to the subject matter of the action, the computation of each category of damage alleged, and the existence, custodian, location and general description of relevant documents to be served only "if they are a more practical method of obtaining the information sought than a request for production or a deposition."

Without waiving any objections Plaintiffs might have to such requests, Plaintiffs further object that Interrogatory 7 is duplicative of Defendants' document requests, including but not limited to Document Requests 1 and 3. Seeking additional information

by interrogatory is redundant, unduly burdensome, and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order and the Local Rule.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

For each Accused Video Clip, state whether the same content is available for viewing on a website operated by You or pursuant to a license agreement with You, and if so, identify the website and state when the clip first became available on that site.

Objections to Interrogatory No. 8:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory exceeds the scope permissible under Local Rule 33.3(b) because it is not "a more practical method of obtaining the information sought than a request for production or a deposition." Plaintiffs object further that this Interrogatory is vague.

Without waiving any objections Plaintiffs might have to such requests, Plaintiffs further object that Interrogatory 3 is duplicative of Defendants' document requests, including but not limited to Document Requests 3, 17, and 18. Seeking additional information by interrogatory is redundant, unduly burdensome, and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order and the Local Rule.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Identify (by name, address, job title and employer) each individual who has knowledge of marketing or public relations efforts for Your content involving uploading video of such content to websites for online viewing, including without

limitation each individual involved in uploading or authorization for uploading of all videos that Viacom directly or indirectly caused to be uploaded to YouTube.

Objections to Interrogatory No. 9:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is burdensome, overly broad, vague, not limited to any period of time relevant to this litigation, and duplicative of Defendants' document requests. Plaintiffs also object that this Interrogatory requests information that may not be disclosed under foreign privacy laws. Subject to and without waiving the stated objections, Plaintiffs respond that the following Viacom employees are most knowledgeable about Viacom's uploading of content on websites for marketing and public relations purposes related to the United States and the regions of the United Kingdom, Germany, Benelux, Japan, and Mexico:

Name	Job Title or Division	Employer
Aitken, Stephanie	Counsel, Digital Media	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Alibhai, Seema	Director of Communications	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Arnold, Georgia	SVP, Social Responsibility	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Arsulich, Matt	Assistant Product Manager, Brand Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Bonnici, Kyle	Editorial Coordinator	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Brooks, Stephen	Senior Product Manager, Brand Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Bui-Van, Sao	VP Communications	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Cunin, Mary Beth	VP, Programming	MTV Networks

DeGuzman, Jennifer	Senior Director Communications	MTV Networks
Douglas, Juline	Coordinator, Consumer Marketing	MTV Networks
Esler, Amy	Director, Marketing	MTV Networks
Estrada, Jocelyn	Executive Director, Brand Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Farrell, Steve	VP, Digital Media Director	MTV Networks
French, Jackie	Producer, VP	MTV Networks
Gottlock, Brian	Counsel	MTV Networks
Griffith, Dylan	Head of Creative	MTV Networks Productions B.V.
Grossman, Sam	Director, Series Development	MTV Networks
Guillermo, Robert	Director	MTV Networks
Hack, Ben	Director of Marketing and Events, Viacom Brand Solutions Europe	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Hallie, Michelena	SVP, Deputy General Counsel, Litigation/Intellectual Property	MTV Networks
Hershon, Mandy	Publicity Manager	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Hucke, Vivien	Junior Manager, Consumer Marketing	MTV Networks Europe, Germany GmbH
James, Roger	VP, Business and Legal Affairs	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Jones, Heather	EVP, Creative & Content	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Kelman, Matt	Senior Counsel, Business and Legal Affairs	MTV Networks
Koenig, Paul	Attorney, Interactive	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Lawhorn-Summers, Kristin	Manager, Business Affairs Administration	Paramount Pictures Corporation

Lynn, David	Managing Director	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Meyer, Juan	Senior Director, Marketing	MTV Networks Mexico
Molko, Joseph	VP, Content Distribution/Marketing	MTV Networks
Morales, Cindy	Manager, Intellectual Property	MTV Networks
Morachnick, Tami	VP, Legal and Business Affairs	MTV Networks
Mühlemann, Catherine	Managing Director	MTV Networks
O'Ferrall, Philip Bourchier	VP, Digital Media	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Ono, Yukari	Business and Legal Affairs	MTV Networks Japan
Ortiz, Dan	Sales Communication Coordinator (formerly Manager of Interactive Marketing)	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Parent, Richard	VP, Counsel	MTV Networks
Peterson, Ona	Senior Producer	MTV Networks
Polaner, Melissa	SVP, Deputy General Counsel	MTV Networks
Powell, Amy	SVP, Interactive Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Ramon, Jennifer	Senior Product Manager, Brand Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Ruiz, Patricia	Senior Manager, Corporate Communications	MTV Networks Mexico
Silver, Jennifer	Senior Counsel	MTV Networks
Smith, Josh	Product Manager, Brand Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Stockx, Mark	Marketing Manager MTV and TMF	MTV Networks B.V.
Stone, Casey	Editorial Coordinator	Paramount Pictures Corporation

Stone, Leigh	Product Manager, Brand Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Studer, Syrinthia	Vice President, Brand Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Taylor, Kelly	VP, Marketing, Creative & Consumer Press	MTV Networks Europe, UK
Teifeld, Tamar	Editorial Manager	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Thomason, Aubrey	Vice President, Business Affairs & Legal	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Tripodi, David	Digital Media International	MTV Networks
Vargo, Susan	Senior Director, Theatrical	MTV Networks
Vega, Miguel Angel Vera	Director, New Media	MTV Networks Mexico
Wagenaar, Menno	VP, Head of MTV and TMV	MTV Networks B.V.
Williams, Brucetta	VP, Off-Channel Marketing	BET
Whiley, Dan	VP, Digital Media	MTV Networks Europe, U.K.
Wolffers, Kaja	Head of AV Productions	MTV Networks B.V.
Wulfe, Kym	VP, Business and Legal Affairs	MTV Networks

Plaintiffs will supplement this response in due course.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Identify (by name, address, job title and employer) each individual who has knowledge concerning DMCA compliance by Viacom UGC Websites, including without limitation the drafting and implementing of policies and procedures for complying with the DMCA, the implementation of any repeat infringer policy, and the disabling of access to material in response to takedown notices.

Objections to Interrogatory No. 10:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is burdensome, overly broad, vague, not limited to any period of time relevant to this litigation, and duplicative of Defendants' document requests. Subject to and without waiving stated objections, Plaintiffs, respond that the following individuals are most knowledgeable about Viacom's DMCA compliance by Viacom UGC Websites:

Name	Job Title	Employer
Carter-Jenkins, Tia	VP, Business Affairs	BET
Cooper, Donna	Senior Associate General Counsel	BET
Hallie, Michelena	SVP, Deputy General Counsel, Litigation/Intellectual Property	MTV Networks
Koenig, Paul	Attorney, Interactive	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Martin, Scott	EVP, Intellectual Property and Associate General Counsel	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Morachnick, Tami	VP, Legal and Business Affairs	MTV Networks
Morris, Jamie	Manager, Technology	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Powell, Amy	SVP, Interactive	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Solow, Warren	VP Information &	Viacom

	Knowledge Management	
Springer, Geoffrey	VP, Technology	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Wulfe, Kym	VP, Legal and Business Affairs	MTV Networks

Plaintiffs will supplement this response in due course.

September	X,	2008
-----------	----	------

Respectfully submitted,

Donald B. Verrilli, Jr. (DY-2252)

Susan J. Kohlmann (SK-1855)

William M. Hohengarten (WH-5233)

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

919 Third Avenue

37th Floor

New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 891-1690

Facsimile: (212) 891-1699

Stuart J. Baskin (SB-9936)

Stephen Fishbein (SF-34 10)

John Gueli (JG-8427)

SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 848-4000

Facsimile: (212) 848-7179

VERIFICATION FOR PLAINTIFFS VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., ET AL.

Information in Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories was provided by me and/or gathered at my direction from corporate records and personnel. I have reviewed the responses. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing responses as to Plaintiffs Viacom International Inc. et al. are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, based on my review of such information.

Executed on September 2, 2008, in	New York, ny.
	77/
Signati	ire. Chan

Name (print): Warren 50/6W

Title: NP, Information & Knackedge
Management

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on this $\underline{8}\text{th}$ Defendants' counsel electronic September, day of 2008, on by mail (viacomservice@mayerbrown.com; viacomteam@wsgr.com; google@faegre.com) pursuant to an agreement of the parties under Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D).

Sarah An Mayuri Sarah A. Maguire



VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC.,

COMEDY PARTNERS,

COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC.,

PARAMOUNT PICTURES

COPRORATION,

and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT

TELEVISION LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and
GOOGLE INC.,

Defendants.

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO YOUTUBE'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC. ET AL.

Plaintiffs Viacom International, Inc., Comedy Partners, Country Music Television, Inc., Paramount Pictures Corporation, and Black Entertainment Television, LLC, by their attorneys Jenner & Block LLP and Shearman & Sterling LLP, hereby amend their Objections and Responses to YouTube's Second Set of Interrogatories to Viacom International, Inc. (YouTube's Second Set of Interrogatories) as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the General Objections set forth in their September 8, 2008 Objections and Responses to YouTube's Second Set of Interrogatories.

OBJECTIONS TO SPECIFIC INTERROGATORIES

Subject to and without waiving any of the General Objections and the specific objections to each Interrogatory set forth in their September 8, 2008 Objections and Responses to YouTube's Second Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs provide the following specific amended responses and objections:

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

For each Accused Video Clip, state whether the work reflected in the clip was published with a copyright notice on all authorized publicly distributed copies, and if so, set forth the content of the notice.

Amended Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 7:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. Plaintiffs also object that this Interrogatory is overbroad, oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks highly detailed information. In addition, Plaintiffs object to the extent this Interrogatory seeks information contained in documents produced in discovery. Such information is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants, and it is unduly burdensome to require Plaintiffs to review the documents to identify particular information for Defendants.

Plaintiffs further object that Interrogatory 7 is duplicative of Defendants' document requests. Seeking additional information by interrogatory is redundant, unduly burdensome, and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order and the Local Rule.

Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: It is Viacom's policy that each work it publishes in the United States includes a copyright notice on all publicly distributed copies that complies with the form of notice set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 401, et seq. That policy applies to the Works in Suit, and Viacom is not aware of any exceptions to the policy with respect to the Works in Suit.

It would be unduly burdensome to locate and transcribe the text of the notice from every publicly distributed copy of every Work in Suit. Plaintiffs have already produced to Defendants a copy of each Work in Suit. Defendants can readily ascertain the existence and content of the copyright notices from those copies. Defendants can also readily access other publicly distributed copies of the Works in Suit and ascertain the existence and content of the copyright notices from those copies.

As an accommodation, in Attachment A hereto, Plaintiffs set forth the copyright notice appearing with Works in Suit published prior to March 1, 1989. Furthermore, in Attachment B hereto, Plaintiffs set forth the copyright notices appearing with a sample of 100 Works in Suit published on or after March 1, 1989.

In addition, by way of example, Plaintiffs state that episodes of South Park that have been viewable on the comedycentral.com and southparkstudios.com websites have been displayed with the following copyright notice: "© [year of first publication] Comedy Partners All Rights Reserved." Thus, the copyright notice for episodes that were first published in 1997 is "© 1997 Comedy Partners All Rights Reserved," and the copyright notice for episodes first published in 1998 is "© 1998 Comedy Partners All Rights Reserved," etc. Plaintiffs further state that episodes of The Colbert Report and

The Daily Show With Jon Stewart that have been viewable on comedycentral.com, colbertnation.com, and dailyshow.com, have been displayed with the following copyright notice: "©1995-[most recent year] Comedy Partners. All Rights Reserved." Thus, episodes of The Colbert Report and The Daily Show With Jon Stewart that have been viewable on comedycentral.com, colbertnation.com, and dailyshow.com in 2009 state "©1995-2009 Comedy Partners. All Rights Reserved."

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

For each Accused Video Clip, state whether the same content is available for viewing on a website operated by You or pursuant to a license agreement with You, and if so, identify the website and state when the clip first became available on that site.

Amended Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 8:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. Plaintiffs also object that this Interrogatory is overbroad, oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks highly detailed information. In addition, Plaintiffs object to the extent this Interrogatory seeks information contained in documents produced in discovery. Such information is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants, and it is unduly burdensome to require Plaintiffs to review the documents to identify particular information for Defendants. Plaintiffs object further that this Interrogatory is vague. Plaintiffs further object that Interrogatory 8 is duplicative of Defendants' document

requests. Seeking additional information by interrogatory is redundant, unduly burdensome, and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order and the Local Rule.

Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows:

Availability of Viacom Content on Viacom Websites

As a general matter under present practices, full episodes of MTV content are made available for viewing on the MTV website the morning after the premiere of the episode on air. The episode remains on the website for a week, is removed for three weeks, and is then reposted and remains online indefinitely. Many MTV series will make the first full episode of a series available on line several days in advance of the series' premiere on television. Short clips clips are made available for viewing on line at the time an episode premieres. A preview clip for each episode is made available for viewing on line several days before the episode premieres on air.

As a general matter under present practices, full episodes of VH1 content are made available for viewing on the VH1 website the morning after the episode premieres on air. The episode remains on the website for a week, is removed for three weeks, is then reposted for three weeks, and is subsequently removed from the on line library. Many VH1 series will make the first full episode of a series available for viewing on line several days in advance of the series' premiere on television. Short clips are made available for viewing on line at the time an episode premieres. A preview clip for each episode is made available for viewing on line several days before the episode premieres on air.

As a general matter under present practices, full episodes of CMT content and related clips are made available on line immediately following the premiere of the episode on air.

As a general matter under present practices, full length programming of LOGO content is made available on line the same day the programming premieres on line.

As a general matter under present practices, full episodes of Nickelodeon content are made available on line immediately after airing and remain online until the end of the season. At least one short clip of each episode is made available on line shortly before the episode airs.

As a general matter under present practices, full episodes of the Daily Show and Colbert Report are made available online within twenty-four hours after the episodes premiere on air. The episodes remain on line for up to 30 days and are then removed from the online library. Clips are made available online within twenty-four hours after the associated episode premieres on air and remain on line indefinitely.

As a general matter under present practices, full episodes of South Park are made available online within twenty-four hours after the episodes premiere on air. Clips are made available online within twenty-four hours after the associated episode premieres on air and remain on line indefinitely. All thirteen seasons of South Park are currently available online in full episode form. Episodes 101-1201 of South Park were made available on SouthParkStudios.com beginning on March 19, 2008. Subsequent episodes were made available on SouthParkStudios.com on the following dates:

1202 2008-03-19

1203 2008-03-26

1204 2008-04-02

1205 2008-04-09

```
2008-04-16
1206
1207
      2008-04-23
1208
      2008-10-08
1209
      2008-10-15
1210
      2008-10-22
1211
      2008-10-29
1212
      2008-11-05
1213
      2008-11-12
1214
      2008-11-19
1301
      2009-03-11
1302
      2009-03-18
1303
      2009-03-25
1304
      2009-04-01
1305
      2009-04-08
1306
      2009-04-15
1307
      2009-04-22
1308
      2009-10-07
1309
      2009-10-14
1310
      2009-10-21
      2009-10-28
1311
1312
      2009-11-04
1313
      2009-11-11
1314
      2009-11-18
```

As a general matter under present practices, for other Comedy Central shows, full episodes and three to six associated clips are made available online within twenty-four hours after the episodes premiere on air. The clips remain online indefinitely. The full episodes remain online for varying periods of time.

Attached as Exhibit C is a spreadsheet listing MTV, VH1, CMT, and LOGO full episodes that are currently available for viewing on line, as well as the date when each episode was first made available for viewing on line.

Availability of Viacom Content on Third Party Websites

Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing MTV Networks content that has been made available for viewing on third party websites, as well as the date when each piece of content was first made available on those sites.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Identify (by name, address, job title and employer) each individual who has knowledge of marketing or public relations efforts for Your content involving uploading video of such content to websites for online viewing, including without limitation each individual involved in uploading or authorization for uploading of all videos that Viacom directly or indirectly caused to be uploaded to YouTube.

Amended Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 9:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is burdensome, overly broad, vague, not limited to any period of time relevant to this litigation, and duplicative of Defendants' document requests. Plaintiffs also object that this Interrogatory requests information that may not be disclosed under foreign privacy laws.

Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs supplement their prior response with the names of the following additional Viacom employees who are knowledgeable about Viacom's uploading of content on websites for marketing and public relations purposes:

Name	Job Title	Employer
Ames, Todd	Senior Director, Marketing	Spike TV
	Director, Grassroots	
Apmann, Todd	Marketing	MTV Networks
Black, Marnie	Senior VP, Communications	MTV Networks

Bordo, Sara	Executive Director of Interactive Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Burrell, Damon	VP, Marketing	MTV Networks
Castaneda, Jeffrey	Senior Director of Communications, MTV2	MTV Networks
Cummins, Karen	VP, Consumer Ad Sales Marketing	TV Land
Exharos, Tina	EVP, Marketing	MTV Networks
French, David	Marketing	MTV Networks
Grove, Alyson	Media Coordinator	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Heacock, Jessica	Affiliate Sales and Marketing	MTV Networks
Hirschhorn, Jason	Chief Digital Officer MTVN	MTV Networks
Kadetsky, Deborah	VP, Digital Marketing	MTV Networks
Lam, Cuong	Marketing Manager	MTV Networks
Lin, Andrew	VP, Interactive Marketing	Paramount Vantage
Manning, Andrea	Communications	MTV Networks
Mitchell, Sono	Director, Advertising & Marketing	MTV Networks
Morriss, Jamie	Technical Director, Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Pollack, Marnie	Communications	MTV Networks
Riven, Julie	Marketing Director	MTV Networks
Shermans, Neil	Marketing	Spike TV
Simard, Stephanie	Director, Creative Marketing	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Spina, Dario	VP, Marketing and Promotions	Spike TV
Steele, Don	VP, Digital Marketing	MTV Networks
Stevenson, Sean	Manager Advertising & Marketing	Comedy Central
Strube, Amy	Music and Program Promotion	MTV Networks
Tipton, Kristina	Coordinator, Online Publicity	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Thurber, Jessica	Director, Media	Paramount Pictures

		Corporation
Urbont, Ariana	Senior Director	MTV Networks
Wahtera, Megan	Exec. Creative Director	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Waite, Matthew	Advertising Executive	Paramount Pictures UK
Whiley, Dan	VP, Digital Media	MTV Networks
Worsnup, Mickey	VP, Creative Marketing- Interactive	Paramount Pictures Corporation
Yeomans, Emily	Communications	MTV Networks

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Identify (by name, address, job title and employer) each individual who has knowledge concerning DMCA compliance by Viacom UGC Websites, including without limitation the drafting and implementing of policies and procedures for complying with the DMCA, the implementation of any repeat infringer policy, and the disabling of access to material in response to takedown notices.

Amended Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 10:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is burdensome, overly broad, vague, not limited to any period of time relevant to this litigation, and duplicative of Defendants' document requests. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs supplement their prior response with the names of the following additional Viacom employees who are knowledgeable about the takedown policies and procedures of Viacom UGC Websites:

Name	Job Title	Employer
Pier Borra	SVP, Technology, Kids & Family	MTV Networks
Michael D. Fricklas	EVP and General Counsel and	Viacom Inc.

	Corporate Secretary	
Andrew Han	VP, Business and Legal Affairs	MTV Networks
Jason Jordan	CFO, IFilm	MTV Networks
Laurie Lawrence-Dillon	VP, Legal	MTV Networks
Victoria Libin	Atom, Director of Legal Affairs	MTV Networks
Cindy Morales	Manager, Intellectual Property	MTV Networks
Mark C. Morril	SVP and Deputy General Counsel	MTV Networks
Stanley Pierre-Louis	VP and Associate General Counsel	Viacom Inc.

Brian Ross	VP, Business and Legal Affairs	MTV Networks
Yalda Sharif	Director, Business and Legal Affairs	MTV Networks
Pauline Wen	SVP, Business and Legal Affairs	MTV Networks

Respectfully submitted,

January 8, 2010

Susan J. Kohlmann (SK-1855)

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

919 Third Avenue

37th Floor

New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 891-1600 Facsimile: (212) 891-1699

William M. Hohengarten (WH-5233)

Michael B. DeSanctis (MD-5737)

Scott B. Wilkens (pro hac vice)

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

1099 New York Avenue, N.W.

Suite 900

Washington, DC 20001

Telephone: (202) 639-6000

Facsimile: (202) 639-6066

Stuart J. Baskin (SB-9936)

Stephen Fishbein (SF-3410)

John Gueli (JG-8427)

SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 848-4000

Facsimile: (212) 848-7179

VERIFICATION FOR PLAINTIFFS VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., ET AL.

Information in Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories was provided by me and/or gathered at my direction from corporate records and personnel. I have reviewed the responses. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing responses as to Plaintiffs Viacom International Inc. et al. are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, based on my review of such information.

Executed on January 8, 2010, in New York, New York.

Signature:

Name:

Stanley Pierre-Louis

Title:

Vice President & Associate General Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on this 3+4 day of January 2010, on Defendants' counsel by electronic mail pursuant to an agreement of the parties under Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D).

James C. Cox



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY)
PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC)
TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT)
PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK)
ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,)

Plaintiffs,

vs.

) NO.) 07-CV-2203

) NO.

)07-CV-3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)
LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs, vs.

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TODD APMANN
NEW YORK, NEW YORK
THURSDAY, July 23, 2009

JOB NO. 17255

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 450 7th Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

```
Page 2
 1
 2
       APPEARANCES:
 3
          FOR THE PLAINTIFFS VIACOM
 5
          INTERNATIONAL, INC.:
                JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
 6
                     SCOTT B. WILKENS, ESQ.
                     Swilkens@jenner.com
 7
                1099 New York Avenue, NW,
                Suite 900
 8
                Washington, D.C. 20001
                (202) 639-6000
10
11
12
          FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC.,
13
          YOUTUBE, LLC and GOOGLE, INC.:
                WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI, LLP
14
                ROSATI, ESQ.
                     BART E. VOLKMER, ESQ.
                BY:
15
                     Bvolkmer@wsqr.com
                650 Page Mill Road
16
                Palo Atlo, California 94304
17
18
       ALSO PRESENT:
19
       Carlos King, Videographer
20
21
22
                        ---000---
23
24
25
```

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 450 7th Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

		Page 19
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	Q. Right. The question was:
	3	When you were employed at MTV, did you
	4	have any knowledge of MTV uploading
10:20:24	5	clips to YouTube to promote its
	6	programming?
	7	A. Again, I can't speak for all
	8	of MTV. I can only speak for the
	9	projects that I worked on specifically.
10:20:37	10	I do not recall specific websites for
	11	that for those.
	12	Q. Is your testimony that,
	13	under oath today, that you can't recall
	14	any of the specific websites to which
10:20:59	15	MTV uploaded clips to promote its
	16	programming?
	17	MR. WILKENS: Objection.
	18	Mischaracterizes his testimony.
	19	A. I can recall that we
10:21:10	20	uploaded to a variety of websites, but
	21	I can't recall specifics.
	22	Q. If I wanted to find out all
	23	of the clips that MTV uploaded to
	24	YouTube to promote its programming, how
10:22:00	25	would I find that out?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.
450 7th Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

		Page 20
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	MR. WILKENS: Objection.
	3	Lacks foundation.
	4	A. Quite honestly, I do not
10:22:06	5	know how you would do that.
	6	Q. Is there a comprehensive
	7	list or a spreadsheet somewhere of all
	8	the assets that MTV uploaded to YouTube
	9	to promote its programming?
10:22:17	10	MR. WILKENS: Objection as
	11	to form.
	12	A. Honestly, I don't know.
	13	Q. Did you ever compile such a
	14	list?
10:22:24	15	A. I do not recall.
	16	Q. Do you remember anyone
	17	compiling such a list?
	18	A. I do not recall.
	19	Q. What if I wanted to find out
10:22:46	20	all the clips that any Viacom
	21	subsidiary had uploaded to YouTube, how
	22	would I go about finding out that data?
	23	MR. WILKENS: Objection.
	24	Lacks foundation.
10:22:56	25	A. I do not know.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.
450 7th Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

		Page 21
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	Q. Were you aware of a list
	3	somewhere where all of the assets that
	4	any Viacom subsidiary uploaded to
10:23:05	5	YouTube were compiled?
	6	MR. WILKENS: Same
	7	objection. And vague.
	8	A. I was not aware of any list.
	9	Q. Were the marketing
10:23:24	10	departments at MTV, Comedy Central,
	11	Nickelodeon, Paramount, any other
	12	Viacom subsidiaries, coordinated or did
	13	they operate independently?
	14	MR. WILKENS: Objection as
10:23:36	15	to form.
	16	A. I cannot speak for any of
	17	the MTV Networks channels. Except, I
	18	can only speak to the projects, you
	19	know, that I worked on for MTV.
10:23:50	20	Q. I am asking you a factual
	21	question. You were employed at MTV for
	22	a number of years and the question is:
	23	Were the marketing departments at the
	24	various Viacom subsidiaries coordinated
10:24:02	25	or did they operate independently?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.
450 7th Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

Page 22 1 TODD APMANN 2 MR. WILKENS: Objection as to form. Again, I can't speak to Α. 10:24:09 overarching Viacom or MTV Network strategies. Ο. When you were working at MTV, was the marketing department at MTV coordinated with the marketing 10:24:30 10 departments at other Viacom 11 subsidiaries? 12 Α. Again, I can't speak to the 13 overall MTV marketing department, nor the marketing departments for MTV 10:24:42 15 Networks or Viacom. 16 When you say "I can't speak 17 to the overall marketing department, 18 nor the marketing departments for MTV Networks or Viacom," why do you say you 19 10:24:59 20 can't speak to that? 21 MR. WILKENS: Objection. 22 I can't speak to them Α. 23 because I was not involved with them. 24 I do not know their strategies. 10:25:11 not know the overarching strategies for

		Page 23
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	any of them. I was not involved.
	3	Q. Did you have any exposure to
	4	the marketing departments at Comedy
10:25:19	5	Central?
	6	MR. WILKENS: Objection.
	7	A. I don't recall.
	8	Q. Did you have any exposure to
	9	the marketing department at Paramount?
10:25:25	10	MR. WILKENS: Objection as
	11	to form.
	12	A. I don't recall.
	13	Q. Did you have any exposure to
	14	the marketing department at CMT?
10:25:36	15	MR. WILKENS: Objection as
	16	to form.
	17	A. I don't recall.
	18	Q. Did you have any exposure to
	19	the marketing department at Black
10:25:44	20	Entertainment Television?
	21	MR. WILKENS: Same
	22	objection.
	23	A. I don't recall.
	24	Q. When you were employed at
10:26:09	25	MTV, who was in charge of viral

		Page 24
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	marketing at Comedy Central?
	3	MR. WILKENS: Objection.
	4	
10:26:18	5	A. I do not recall.
10:20:10		Q. Have you ever known that
	6	fact?
	7	MR. WILKENS: Objection.
	8	A. I do not recall.
	9	Q. Did you ever perform any
10:26:48	10	investigations regarding MTV's
	11	marketing activities at the direction
	12	of MTV or Viacom attorneys?
	13	MR. WILKENS: Objection. To
	14	the extent it calls for any kind
10:27:00	15	of legal communications with
	16	counsel, I instruct you not to
	17	answer that. But if you can
	18	answer without talking about
	19	conversations with counsel, please
10:27:08	20	go ahead and answer.
	21	A. I do not recall. No.
	22	Q. You don't recall performing
	23	any investigations at the direction of
	24	MTV or Viacom's attorneys; is that
10:27:25	25	correct?

		Page 25
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	A. No, I did not.
	3	Q. Do you know who at MTV, when
	4	you were employed there, was
10:28:23	5	responsible for directly uploading
	6	clips to YouTube to promote MTV
	7	programming?
	8	MR. WILKENS: Objection.
	9	Lacks foundation.
10:28:30	10	A. I do not recall. Even if
	11	there I don't I don't know.
	12	Q. Did you ever have any
	13	conversations with anyone at YouTube
	14	about possibly uploading promotional
10:28:49	15	material to YouTube?
	16	A. Honestly, I do not recall.
	17	Q. Did you ever have any
	18	conversations or E-mail correspondence
	19	with Kevin Donahue at YouTube about
10:29:17	20	potentially uploading MTV material to
	21	YouTube to promote MTV content?
	22	A. I do not recall.
	23	Q. Did you ever create a
	24	YouTube account with the user name,
10:29:48	25	MTV?

		Page 26
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	A. I do not recall.
	3	Q. Did you ever create a
	4	YouTube account with the user name,
10:30:02	5	MTV2?
	6	A. Do not recall.
	7	Q. Did MTV or its agents ever
	8	upload full episodes of MTV shows to
	9	YouTube?
10:30:23	10	A. I cannot speak for MTV in
	11	general. Again, I can only speak to
	12	the projects that I worked on, and I do
	13	not recall for the projects that I
	14	worked on.
10:30:39	15	Q. You don't recall if any MTV
	16	employee ever uploaded full episodes of
	17	programs to YouTube to promote the MTV
	18	programming?
	19	MR. WILKENS: Objection.
10:30:57	20	Asked and answered.
	21	A. Again, I can't speak to all
	22	of MTV. I can only speak to the
	23	projects that I worked on. I do not
	24	recall for the projects that I worked
10:31:08	25	on.

Page 27 1 TODD APMANN 2 Ο. Did MTV agents or employees ever upload full episodes of its programming to other EGC websites apart 10:31:49 from YouTube? Again, I cannot speak for Α. I can only speak for the projects that I worked on, and I do not recall for the projects that I worked on. 10:32:01 10 Why do you keep saying "I 11 can only speak to the projects I worked 12 on"? 13 MR. WILKENS: Objection. 14 Because I cannot speak for Α. 10:32:15 15 the others at MTV who -- and their 16 projects and responsibilities because I 17 do not have knowledge. 18 Q. Do you understand, sir, if 19 you have percipient knowledge of a fact 10:32:26 20 that occurred outside of the projects 2.1 that you worked on and you have a 22 memory of that fact, you need to 23 disclose those facts because you are 24 testifying under oath? 10:32:34 25 MR. WILKENS: Objection.

		Page 28
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	And I move to strike the
	3	argumentative portion of that.
	4	A. Yes.
10:32:38	5	Q. You understand that that's
	6	your obligation when you are testifying
	7	under oath, to give full and complete
	8	testimony about percipient facts that
	9	you are aware of?
10:32:46	10	A. Yes.
	11	MR. VOLKMER: I would like
	12	to mark Exhibit 2.
	13	(Whereupon, the
	14	aforementioned E-mail dated
	15	February 15, 2006 was marked as
	16	Apmann Exhibit 2 for
	17	identification as of this date by
	18	the Reporter.)
	19	MR. VOLKMER: Exhibit 2 is
10:33:58	20	an E-mail exchange E-mail
	21	message, I should say, produced in
	22	litigation by Google. It is Todd
	23	Apmann to Kevin Donahue. The date
	24	is February 15, 2006.
10:34:14	25	Q. What is this document, Mr.

		Page 29
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	Apmann?
	3	A. This document appears to be
	4	an E-mail.
10:34:29	5	Q. Do you recognize it?
	6	A. I recognize my name. I do
	7	not recall this E-mail.
	8	Q. Did you send it?
	9	MR. WILKENS: Objection.
10:34:45	10	A. Again, I do not recall.
	11	Q. Todd.Apmann@mtvstaff.com was
	12	your E-mail address when you were
	13	working at MTV in February of 2006,
	14	correct?
10:35:02	15	A. Yes, it was.
	16	Q. This is a message that
	17	appears to have been written by you to
	18	Kevin Donahue, correct?
	19	A. It does appear as that, yes.
10:35:17	20	Q. Do you have any reason to
	21	doubt that this is, in fact, an E-mail
	22	you sent to Mr. Donahue at YouTube?
	23	A. Again, doubt or not, I don't
	24	recall the details of around this time
10:35:43	25	from what it says on this E-mail.

Page 30 1 TODD APMANN 2 Ο. Do you have any reason to doubt this is an E-mail you sent to Mr. Donahue in February of 2006? 10:35:49 Again, you know, I don't recall from that time period. That is not responsive to the question that I asked. question that I asked is: Do you have 10:36:05 10 any reason to doubt that this is an 11 E-mail you sent to Mr. Donahue in 12 February of 2006? 13 MR. WILKENS: Objection. 14 Asked and answered and I move to 10:36:12 15 strike the argumentative portion 16 of that. 17 Α. Again, I don't recall from 18 that time period. Therefore, I can't 19 really give an answer as to a doubt or 10:36:25 20 not. 21 This E-mail message starts 22 off, "Great talking to you," 23 exclamation mark. 24 Does that appear to be a 10:36:46 25 greeting that you normally use in an

		Page 37
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	department, again, I am trying to
	3	remember exactly the time periods. A
	4	woman named Lana Kaufman reported to
10:58:33	5	me.
	6	Q. Anyone else?
	7	A. Those were the people that I
	8	dealt with.
	9	Q. You worked with Joe Armenia,
10:58:44	10	Tina Exarhos and Lana Kaufman. You
	11	can't remember anyone else that you
	12	worked with in this time frame,
	13	February 2006?
	14	MR. WILKENS: Are you asking
10:58:54	15	anyone else at MTV?
	16	Q. I am asking about anyone
	17	else.
	18	A. At MTV or in general?
	19	Q. MTV, MTV2, MTV Networks,
10:59:04	20	Viacom, Paramount, Comedy Central.
	21	Anyone within the Viacom organization.
	22	A. I am trying to recall who I
	23	dealt with at that time besides those
	24	main people. Let's see. A woman named
10:59:32	25	Marissa Grasso and then and my main

		Page 38
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	department. I am trying to think of
	3	who was there.
	4	Also someone no, he
11:00:01	5	wasn't there. A woman named Amy Strube
	6	(phonetic.) That's all I can recall at
	7	this moment in time from that time
	8	period.
	9	Q. What about Kun Long
11:00:26	10	(phonetic)?
	11	A. His name does sound
	12	familiar. I believe yes, I believe
	13	yes, his name does sound familiar.
	14	Q. For whom did Mr. Long work?
11:00:51	15	A. I believe he worked for Lisa
	16	Preston.
	17	Q. Which organization did Lisa
	18	Preston work in?
	19	A. I believe she worked for MTV
11:01:13	20	and MTV2.
	21	Q. And you worked for MTV and
	22	MTV2 as well?
	23	A. Yes.
	24	Q. Did you work in the same
11:01:24	25	department as Miss Preston?

Page 98 1 TODD APMANN Α. Yes. And this was an E-mail you Ο. sent in the ordinary course of your 12:51:02 business, right? Again, I don't remember specifics with Human Giant, but this does have my name and my E-mail address on here. 12:51:11 10 And you believe you wrote 11 this E-mail, right? 12 I can't speak to if I sent 13 it or not. I do not recall this E-mail, but that is my name on there. 12:51:22 15 Do you think there was any 16 possibility that you didn't write this 17 E-mail? 18 You know, again, I can't say 19 if I did or I didn't. I don't recall 12:51:31 20 this E-mail. 21 Do you think there is any 22 possibility that you didn't draft this 23 E-mail? 24 Again, I don't recall this Α. 12:51:43 25 E-mail so I don't -- I can't say if I

		Page 99
	1	TODD APMANN
	2	sent it or did not.
	3	Q. So you think there is a
	4	possibility you didn't draft this
12:51:56	5	E-mail?
	6	A. Again, I don't recall this
	7	E-mail. So and, you know, my name
	8	is on there. So that's all I can
	9	really speak to. I don't recall this
12:52:10	10	E-mail.
	11	Q. Giving your best testimony
	12	and recognizing that you are testifying
	13	under penalty of perjury, do you
	14	believe that you drafted the E-mail
12:52:27	15	that I have marked as Exhibit 4?
	16	A. No. Again, I don't recall
	17	this E-mail. My name is on there. It
	18	is a possibility. I don't recall this
	19	E-mail.
12:52:38	20	Q. Given the contents of the
	21	E-mail, the recipients, given the fact
	22	that this E-mail was produced by Viacom
	23	in litigation, isn't it a near
	24	certainty, sir, that you drafted this
12:52:52	25	E-mail?

Page 100 1 TODD APMANN Again, no, I don't recall Α. this E-mail. That is my name on there. That's Joe's name on there. So it is a 12:53:06 possibility that I sent it. again, I don't recall. Ο. It is more than a possibility, isn't it? Isn't it a near certainty that you drafted this E-mail, 12:53:14 10 Mr. Apmann? 11 Α. Again, I don't recall this 12 E-mail. I can only say that is my name 13 and my E-mail address on there. That is my boss and my boss' boss on there 12:53:25 15 as well. 16 You write, "Attached is a 17 list of sites to which I recommend 18 linking the Human Giant clips." 19 Do you remember providing 12:53:37 20 Miss Exarhos or Mr. Armenia with a list 21 of sites to which you were seeking to 22 leak clips of the Human Giant? 23 Again, I don't recall Α. 24 specifics about Human Giant. 12:53:49 25 Q. But have you had a chance to



Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY)
PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC)
TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT)
PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION LLC,)

Plaintiffs,

vs.

) Case No.) 1:07-CV-2103

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF NICOLE BROWNING

New York, New York

Wednesday, October 7th, 2009

REPORTED BY:

ERICA RUGGIERI, CSR, RPR

JOB NO: 17867

	Page 2
1	
2	
3	
4	October 7, 2009
5	10:30 a.m.
6	
7	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF NICOLE
8	BROWNING, held at the offices of Skadden
9	Arps Slate Meagher & Flom, LLP, 4 Times
10	Square, New York, New York, pursuant to
11	notice, before Erica L. Ruggieri,
12	Registered Professional Reporter and
13	Notary Public of the State of New York.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	
2	APPEARANCES
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4	JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
5	BY: SUSAN KOHLMANN, ESQ.
6	919 Third Avenue
7	New York, NY 10022-3908
8	skohlmann@jenner.com
9	
10	FOR THE DEFENDANTS
11	MAYER BROWN, LLP
12	BY: CHRISTINE M. HERNANDEZ, ESQ.
13	JOHN MANCINI, ESQ.
14	1675 Broadway
15	New York, New York 10019
16	Chernandez@mayerbrown.com
17	
18	SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
19	BY: DAVID SUSSMAN, ESQ.
20	Four Times Square
21	New York, New York 10036
22	David.sussman@skadden.com
23	
24	ALSO PRESENT: CARLOS KING, Videographer MARK MORELL, Viacom
25	THERE HOLDED, VIGOOM

		Page 21
	1	BROWNING
	2	Q. And who did you report to as
	3	executive vice president in affiliate
	4	sales and marketing?
10:46:28	5	A. Mark Rosenthal.
	6	Q. Did you come to hold another
	7	position at MTV Networks?
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q. Okay. And what position was
10:46:42	10	that?
	11	A. President affiliate sales and
	12	marketing.
	13	Q. And did your duties expand at
	14	all in that role?
10:46:48	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. How so?
	17	A. Well, I was more involved as
	18	well internally, on the various executive
	19	teams for the networks, the senior
10:47:10	20	executive management team.
	21	Q. Do you know approximately when
	22	you became president?
	23	A. I would say maybe around 2000,
	24	2001.
10:47:30	25	Actually, I'm not even really
I		

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

450 Seventh Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

		Page 22
	1	BROWNING
	2	sure. It could have been the late '90s or
	3	early 2000.
	4	Q. Well, again, I'll say I have
10:47:41	5	seen some references that suggest that you
	6	ended that position December 2000.
	7	Does that ring a bell at all?
	8	A. Okay. If you saw something that
	9	said that, then I'm sure that was right.
10:47:51	10	Q. And who did you report to, as
	11	president of affiliate sales and
	12	marketing?
	13	A. There were three different
	14	people at different times.
10:48:01	15	Q. Okay. And the first was?
	16	A. Mark Rosenthal.
	17	Q. And do you remember
	18	approximately how long you reported to
	19	him?
10:48:09	20	A. Until he left.
	21	Q. And when was that?
	22	A. I am not exactly sure when he
	23	left. I don't remember exactly when he
	24	left.
10:48:28	25	Q. And the next person that you

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

450 Seventh Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

NO. 07-CV-2103

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

X

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al., On behalf of themselves and all Others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

NO. 07-CV-3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAMON BURRELL NEW YORK, NEW YORK TUESDAY, APRIL 14, 2009

JOB NO.: 16730

Page
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
APRIL 14, 2009
10:09 a.m.
10
VIDEOTHED BEFOREITON OF BILLON
BURRELL, held at the offices of WILSON
SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC, 1301 Avenue of
the Americas, New York, New York, pursuant to
notice, before JENNIFER OCAMPO-GUZMAN, a
Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the
17 State of New York.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

		Page 9
	1	Burrell
10:14:36	2	Q. Besides meeting with Mr. Wilkens,
10:14:42	3	did you do anything else to prepare for the
10:14:44	4	deposition today?
10:14:48	5	A. No.
10:14:53	6	Q. And can you explain what your
10:14:54	7	current job responsibilities are?
10:14:59	8	A. I'm responsible for developing
10:15:03	9	marketing plans for the promotion of
10:15:05	10	television shows and events, for MTV.
10:15:10	11	Q. And for the television shows, what
10:15:14	12	types of marketing does MTV employ to promote
10:15:17	13	those shows?
10:15:23	14	A. Multiple tactics. Never the same
10:15:26	15	thing for any show.
10:15:27	16	Q. What are some of those multiple
10:15:31	17	tactics?
10:15:32	18	A. Television campaigns, print
10:15:38	19	campaigns, radio campaigns, events, online
10:15:47	20	campaigns.
10:15:48	21	Q. And who do you report to?
10:15:56	22	A. I report to Tina Exarhos.
10:16:02	23	Q. How many direct reports do you
10:16:03	24	have?
10:16:05	25	A. Six.

		Page 10
	1	
		Burrell
10:16:12	2	Q. And can you list them out for me,
10:16:15	3	please?
10:16:16	4	A. Wendy Perez, Amie Esler, Jolina
10:16:30	5	Hoang, Julie Sun, Lauren Weinstein; and Amy
10:16:42	6	Strube, who has just left, so I'm looking to
10:16:45	7	replace her.
10:16:46	8	Q. Why did Ms. Strube leave the
10:16:54	9	company?
10:16:56	10	A. Career opportunity.
10:16:58	11	Q. Can you be any more specific than
10:17:00	12	that?
10:17:02	13	A. I wouldn't I wouldn't know any
10:17:04	14	other reason besides additional career
10:17:07	15	opportunity for her.
10:17:07	16	Q. She didn't tell you where she was
10:17:11	17	going?
10:17:12	18	A. Yes.
10:17:12	19	Q. Where was she going?
10:17:14	20	A. She is now employed at Music
10:17:17	21	Choice.
10:17:17	22	Q. The five individuals that you just
10:17:24	23	listed who are still at the company, which
10:17:26	24	individuals have, as part of their job
10:17:33	25	responsibilities, online marketing in their
1		

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

		Page 11	
	1	Burrell	
10:17:36	2	portfolio of work?	
10:17:37	3	A. All of them.	
10:17:41	4	Q. All of them engage in online	
10:17:44	5	marketing for MTV?	
10:17:45	6	A. Yes.	
10:17:58	7	Q. What jobs have you previously held	
10:18:00	8	at MTV?	
10:18:01	9	A. Director of marketing for MTV.com.	
10:18:12	10	Q. Any others?	
10:18:16	11	A. That's it.	
10:18:17	12	Q. Do you know if MTV ever bought	
10:18:23	13	advertising space on the YouTube website?	
10:18:32	14	A. I don't remember.	
10:18:44	15	MR. VOLKMER: I would like to mark	
10:19:00	16	Burrell Exhibit 1.	
10:19:02	17	(Exhibit Burrell-1, E-mail dated	
10:19:02	18	1/9/07, Bates No. VIA00183065, marked	
10:19:02	19	for identification, this date.)	
10:19:02	20	Q. This is an e-mail from January 9,	
10:19:05	21	2007, from Tina Exarhos to Damon Burrell.	
10:19:08	22	The subject is "confidential," and the text	
10:19:10	23	of the e-mail says, "Carole thinks we	
10:19:13	24	shouldn't buy YouTube right now."	
10:19:15	25	Mr. Burrell, do you know what	

97aca7dc-2c44-40e4-9a23-7371076034a3



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY)
PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC)
TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT)
PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION LLC,)

Plaintiffs,

vs.) Case No.

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

) 1:07CV02103

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY CASTANEDA

New York, New York

Tuesday, September 29th, 2009

REPORTED BY:

ERICA RUGGIERI, CSR, RPR

JOB NO: 17745

	Page 2
1	
2	
3	
4	September 29th, 2009
5	9:36 a.m.
6	
7	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY
8	CASTANEDA, held at the offices of Mayer
9	Brown, 1675 Broadway, New York, New York,
10	pursuant to notice, before Erica L.
11	Ruggieri, Registered Professional Reporter
12	and Notary Public of the State of New
13	York.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page 3
1		
2	A P P E A	R A N C E S
3		
4	FOR THE P	LAINTIFFS:
5	JENN]	ER & BLOCK, LLP
6	BY:	SCOTT B. WILKENS, ESQ.
7		1099 New York Avenue, NW
8		Suite 900
9		Washington, DC 20001-4412
10		swilkens@jenner.com
11		
12	FOR THE D	EFENDANTS:
13	MAY	ER BROWN, LLP
14	BY:	CHRISTINE M. HERNANDEZ, ESQ.
15		1675 Broadway
16		New York, New York 10019
17		Chernandez@mayerbrown.com
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	ALSO PRESI	ENT:
24	CARLO	S KING, Videographer
25		

Page 85 1 CASTANEDA 2 Not that I can recall. Α. 3 Were any of your superiors aware Q. of your use of mosjef73 to upload 11:32:14 authorized clips to YouTube? My superiors in the press department or MTV2 or? 8 Within MTV2 in general. Q. 9 Α. Yes, I believe so. Yeah. 11:32:23 10 Q. Who do you believe was aware of 11 your use of mosjef73? 12 Α. I believe members of the 13 marketing team at MTV2. 14 And who would that be? Ο. 11:32:44 15 Α. As far as I can remember, Kung 16 Lam, Lisa Preston, Todd Apmann, David 17 Cohen, GM of MTV2. That's all I can 18 remember at this point. 19 Do you know if Tina Escaros was Ο. 11:33:09 20 aware of your use of mosjef73? 21 Α. No. 22 Do you believe that a user, Q. 23 seeing clips posted from the account 24 mosjef73, would understand them to be 11:33:54 25 approved MTV marketing materials?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

450 Seventh Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585



Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION LLC,

Plaintiffs,

VS.
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,
and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)
LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
on behalf of themselves and all)
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.)
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and)
GOOGLE, INC.,)

Defendants.

) Case No. 07CV3582

) Case No. 07CV2203

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TINA EXARHOS

NEW YORK, NEW YORK

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2009

REPORTED BY: ERICA RUGGIERI, CSR, RPR

JOB NO: 16507

	Page 2
1	
2	
3	
4	February 23, 2009
5	9:36 a.m.
6	
7	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TINA
8	EXARHOS, held at the offices of Wilson
9	Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 1301 Avenue of,
10	New York, New York, pursuant to notice,
11	before before Erica L. Ruggieri,
12	Registered Professional Reporter and
13	Notary Public of the State of New York.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	
2	APPEARANCES
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4	JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
5	BY: SCOTT B. WILKENS, ESQ
6	1099 New York Avenue, NW
7	Washington, D.C. 20001
8	(202) 639-6000
9	Swilkens@jenner.com
10	
11	FOR THE DEFENDANTS
12	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC
13	BY: BART E. VOLKMER, ESQ.
14	650 Page Mill Road
15	Palo Alto, CA 94304
16	(650) 493-9300
17	Bvolkmer@wsgr.com
18	- and -
19	MAYER BROWN, LLP
20	BY: JASON KIRSCHNER, ESQ.
21	1675 Broadway
22	New York, New York 10019
23	(212) 506-2500
24	Jkirschner@mayerbrown.com
25	

```
Page 4
 1
 2
        APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
 3
        ALSO PRESENT:
            MICHELENA HALLIE, MTV Networks
            CARLOS KING, Videographer
 6
 8
10
11
12
13
14
15
                          * * *
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 10
	1	T. EXARHOS
	2	A. I did not.
	3	Q. And where do you work? In what
	4	location?
09:46:50	5	A. I work at MTV Networks'
	6	headquarters at 1515 Broadway.
	7	Q. And can you explain what your
	8	job responsibilities are?
	9	A. I oversee all marketing
09:47:03	10	activities for MTV music television and
	11	MTV2. Those are my primary
	12	responsibilities, but I have oversight of
	13	marketing for MTV.woman and MTVU.
	14	Q. What about VH1?
09:47:23	15	
03117120	16	·
	17	marketing.
	18	Q. Who's responsible for VH1 on the
	19	marketing side?
09:47:33	20	A. A man by the name of Nigel
09:47:33		Coxhegan.
	21	Q. And what about CMT, who is
	22	responsible for marketing at CMT?
	23	A. A woman by the name of Dee
	24	McLoughlin.
09:47:43	25	Q. And how about Logo?

		Page 14
	1	T. EXARHOS
	2	Q. How many direct reports do you
	3	have, if it's a manageable number?
	4	A. Yeah, it is. I just want to
09:51:23	5	think and make sure I get it right.
	6	Five.
	7	Q. And could you list them for me,
	8	please?
	9	A. Sure, I can. Kevin Mackall,
09:51:36	10	Jeffrey Keaton, Duncan McDonald, Damon
	11	Burrell and Vivian Castello.
	12	Q. In the past two years have you
	13	had any of your direct reports leave the
	14	company?
09:52:04	15	A. I have.
	16	Q. And who were they?
	17	A. Two other direct reports, Lisa
	18	Preston and Joe Armenia.
	19	Q. And why did Ms. Preston and
09:52:26	20	Mr. Armenia leave MTV?
	21	A. They both left because of
	22	downsizing at the company.
	23	Q. And when was that?
	24	A. Two years ago.
09:52:40	25	Q. How long have you been employed

Page 103 1 T. EXARHOS 2 Who at MTV will reach out to a Ο. 3 third party to start up a viral marketing campaign? 12:10:41 There would be different people that either -- generally, that work for me that would be responsible for a specific 8 priority. So there are a number of different people who might be responsible 12:10:52 10 for doing that. 11 And who are those people? Q. 12 Α. Today or? 13 Q. Correct, today. 14 Α. Today it would be Damon Burrell. 12:11:05 15 And there are two or three other people 16 who work for Damon, Jolena Wong, and I 17 don't know the exact spelling, and Wendy 18 Perez. And there might be people from the 19 communications department as well, who I 12:11:36 20 don't know, but there might be some people 21 from that department who would also work 22 with third-party agencies. 23 Is there anyone besides Jolena, Ο. 24 Wendy and Damon who work with those 12:11:46 25 agencies?

Page 104 1 T. EXARHOS 2 Α. Today? 3 Q. Today. Those Not that I can think of. Α. 12:11:55 5 are the primary people at this time. 6 And over the past two years, who else at MTV has worked with the 8 third-party viral marketing agencies? 9 There are a number of different Α. 12:12:06 10 people. So you want me to list them? 11 If you could list them. Ο. 12 Α. Sure. Lisa Preston, Todd 13 Apmann, Cuong Lam, Joe Armenia. And there 14 might have been one or two other people a 12:12:32 15 little bit lower down, but I don't 16 remember names. 17 Ο. Does MTV develop the viral 18 marketing strategy and tell the third 19 party to implement it, or does the third 12:12:46 20 party collaborate with MTV in implementing 21 the strategy? 22 Objection. MR. WILKENS: 23 Each campaign is different. Α. So 24 we direct our agencies, but we hire them 12:13:03 25 for their expertise as well. So there are



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)

LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)

on behalf of themselves and all)

others similarly situated,)

Plaintiffs,)

vs.) Case No.)

707CV3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and)

GOOGLE, INC.,)

Defendants.)

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN FARRELL NEW YORK, NEW YORK
TUESDAY, JULY 14, 2009

JOB NO. 17249

	Page 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	July 14, 2009
6	10:09 a.m.
7	
8	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN
9	FARRELL, held at the offices of Mayer Brown,
10	LLP, 1675 Broadway, New York, New York,
11	pursuant to notice, before Rebecca
12	Schaumloffel, Registered Professional
13	Reporter and Notary Public of the State of
14	New York.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page 46
	1	FARRELL
	2	Bates stamp label G0001-04941959,
	3	and mark this for the witness'
	4	identification as Farrell
10:47:24	5	Exhibit 3.
	6	(Whereupon, the
	7	aforementioned document,
	8	G0001-04941959, was marked as
	9	Farrell Exhibit 1 for
	10	identification as of this date by
	11	the Reporter.)
	12	Q. Have you reviewed this
	13	document?
	14	A. Yes.
10:47:47	15	Q. Do you know what this
	16	document is?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. What is it?
	19	A. Another E-mail to YouTube.
10:47:55	20	Q. And what are you
	21	communicating to YouTube by this
	22	document?
	23	A. That the user by the name of
	24	gumboy16 is posting episodes of Afro
10:48:14	25	Samurai.

		Page 47
	1	FARRELL
	2	Q. I believe in the document it
	3	says, "without permission"?
	4	A. Yes.
10:48:19	5	MS. CUNHA: Well
	6	Q. What investigation did you
	7	do prior to sending this E-mail to
	8	determine that gumboy16 lacked
	9	permission to post these episodes?
10:48:36	10	A. I don't recall.
	11	Q. I believe you strike
	12	that.
	13	Did the marketing department
	14	keep a list of user names for YouTube
10:48:54	15	that did have permission to post
	16	various Spike clips on YouTube?
	17	A. I don't know.
	18	Q. Who would know that?
	19	A. Probably the marketing
10:49:03	20	department.
	21	Q. Was it the marketing
	22	department that gave you the
	23	information that this user name was not
	24	authorized?
10:49:10	25	A. I don't recall.

		Page 48
	1	FARRELL
	2	Q. Who in the marketing
	3	department would know whether or not a
	4	user had authority to post content to
10:49:25	5	YouTube?
	6	A. Specifically, I don't know.
	7	Q. Do you know if anyone ever
	8	gave any list, besides this E-mail, to
	9	YouTube of accounts YouTube user
10:49:51	10	accounts that were not authorized to
	11	post Spike content to YouTube?
	12	A. No, I don't know.
	13	Q. Do you know who would know?
	14	A. No, I don't.
10:50:37	15	MR. MANCINI: I would next
	16	like to mark as Farrell Exhibit 4
	17	a document bearing Bates stamp
	18	label VIA0518608 through '617 and
	19	ask the witness to review that.
10:51:00	20	(Whereupon, the
	21	aforementioned documents,
	22	VIA0518608 through '617, were
	23	marked as Farrell Exhibit 4 for
	24	identification as of this date by
10:51:47	25	the Reporter.)

		Page 55
	1	FARRELL
	2	Q. What is the Video Game
	3	Awards?
	4	A. It's a program that Spike
11:05:53	5	makes.
	6	Q. Am I correct that somebody
	7	appears to have taken a video on their
	8	phone and posted it on YouTube?
	9	A. That's what it seems like.
11:06:15	10	Q. There is an exchange between
	11	you and Scott Fishman beginning on page
	12	'041. It talks about how many hits
	13	these videos are getting on YouTube; is
	14	that correct?
11:06:36	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. Then, on the prior page,
	17	'040, again, the E-mails are printed in
	18	reverse order.
	19	Now, later in the
11:06:57	20	discussion, Kevin Kay responds to a
	21	group of folks, including yourself,
	22	quote, "I don't know why we would have
	23	to take this down."
	24	Do you see that reference?
11:07:10	25	A. Yes.

		Page 56
	1	FARRELL
	2	
	3	Q. Who is Kevin Kay?
		A. That's the president of
44 05 40	4	Spike.
11:07:13	5	Q. Was he the president at this
	6	time?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. Do you know in between your
	9	exchange and Kevin's response, did
11:07:21	10	somebody indicate that this clip should
	11	be taken down from YouTube?
	12	A. I don't recall.
	13	Q. But from Kevin's response,
	14	is it fair to say that was an issue
11:07:33	15	under consideration?
	16	A. Yes.
	17	Q. And Kevin goes on to state,
	18	in the second down full paragraph,
	19	quote, "but for what it is worth, my
11:07:43	20	opinion is that we need buzz and that
	21	gamers get buzz from the Internet and
	22	creates buzz and raise awareness and
	23	makes me think as a viewer, if I tune
	24	into the show, I will see more cool
11:07:55	25	stuff. The goal is to go after the

Page 57 1 FARRELL 2 This is how you get them." fans. Do you see that reference? Α. Yes. 11:08:01 Is it fair to say what Kevin Ο. is articulating is the reasons why you would want to leave this stuff up on YouTube; is that correct? MS. CUNHA: Objection to 11:08:09 10 Calls for speculation. 11 Α. It is the reasons he feels 12 he would want to leave it up there. 13 Then Pete Jacobs responds to Ο. Mr. Kay immediately above, in the 11:08:28 15 second full paragraph, "I agree that it 16 is a great buzz builder. I think that 17 the problem is that these videos do 18 nothing to make the show cool. I feel 19 that people will now just wait for the 11:08:42 20 trailer to appear online. Knowing that 21 this link is getting E-mailed and 22 posted on fan sites, I think we can 23 still cash in on the buzz by pulling it 24 People who follow the link will down. 11:08:51 25 get a video removed message. News will

		Page 58
	1	FARRELL
	2	
	3	spread it closed down and will keep
		people talking about it for an extra
	4	day."
11:08:59	5	Do you see Mr. Jacobs'
	6	response?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. Who is Pete Jacobs?
	9	A. Pete Jacobs was a video game
11:09:08	10	marketing consultant who worked with
	11	Spike.
	12	Q. And Mr. Kay responds to Pete
	13	Jacobs and others, immediately above,
	14	saying, quote, "Yeah, but pulling it
11:09:20	15	down is saying to the fans that we are
	16	not cool. Besides, if you see it, it
	17	looks shitty. Don't you want to tune
	18	into the show to see what it really
	19	looks like?"
11:09:33	20	Do you see that reference?
	21	A. Yes.
	22	Q. Do you know if this clip was
	23	ever taken down?
	24	A. I don't recall.
11:09:47	25	Q. Did you ultimately agree
		2. Dia jou arcimacci y agree



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY)
PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC)
TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT)
PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK)
ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,)

Plaintiffs,)
NO. 07-CV-2203)
VS.
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,)
and GOOGLE, INC.,)

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ERIK FLANNIGAN NEW YORK, NEW YORK THURSDAY, OCTOBER 16, 2008

BY: REBECCA SCHAUMLOFFEL, RPR, CLR JOB NO. 16002

Page 2
1
OCTOBER 16, 2008
9:30 a.m.
4
5 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
6 ERIK FLANNIGAN, taken at the offices of
WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI, 1301
8 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New
9 York, pursuant to notice, before REBECCA
schaumloffel, clr, rpr.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

```
Page 3
 1
 2
       APPEARANCES:
          FOR THE PLAINTIFFS VIACOM
 5
               INTERNATIONAL, INC.:
                SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
 6
                     JOHN GUELI, ESQ.
                By:
                By: KRISTIN FITZMAURICE, ESQ.
 7
                599 Lexington Avenue
                New York, New York 10022
 8
                (212) 848-4744
                jgueli@shearman.com
 9
               kfitzmaurice@shearman.com
10
11
12
          FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC.,
13
               YOUTUBE, LLC and GOOGLE, INC.:
                WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
14
                     DAVID H. KRAMER, ESQ.
                By:
                     CAROLINE WILSON, ESQ.
15
                650 Page Mill Road
                Palo Alto, California 94304
16
                (650) 849-3311
               Dkramer@wsgr.com
17
                Cwilson@wsqr.com
18
19
20
       ALSO PRESENT:
2.1
       Michelena Hallie, MTV Networks
22
       Manuel Abreu, Videographer
23
24
25
```

		Page 82
	1	FLANNIGAN
	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
	3	Q. I mean to be focused on what
	4	you are aware of for MTVN. You said
11:15:03	5	Deep Focus, you said Iced Media.
	6	A. Yep.
	7	Q. And said you heard of
	8	Fanscape and Wiredset, but you don't
	9	recall whether or not MTVN has used
11:15:13	10	them?
	11	A. I mean, again MTVN, that is
	12	sort of knowledge outside of my
	13	purview. I guess, yes, I don't I
	14	mean, I don't know for certain whether
11:15:27	15	we have used those firms or not.
	16	Q. Are there any other firms
	17	that you can recall that MTV has used
	18	for purposes of viral marketing of
	19	video content online?
11:15:41	20	A. Not that I can recall.
	21	Q. Viacom has engaged in viral
	22	marketing with content on the YouTube
	23	service, right?
	24	A. Today?
11:16:02	25	Q. Today.

		Page 83
	1	FLANNIGAN
	2	A. I don't know.
	3	Q. But in the past?
	4	A. In the past, yes.
11:16:06	5	Q. And when Viacom uploads
	6	Viacom content to services like
	7	YouTube, the content is authorized to
	8	be on the service by Viacom, right?
	9	A. Well, I am hesitating only
11:16:34)	because using Viacom is the subject of
11		that sentence. I mean, we are talking
12	:	about individual people who are
13		employees of Viacom, but I think
14		generally speaking, that is true.
11:16:51	j	Q. And when Viacom hires
16	i	services to upload content on its
15	,	behalf to YouTube, again, that content
18	}	is authorized to be on the YouTube
19)	service, right?
11:17:04 20)	A. Well, the first in the
21		question, I don't think we have hired
22	}	someone to put content on the YouTube
23		service as if that was the only job
24		they had. So, we don't hire people to
11:17:26 25	;	do that. We hire people to market our

Page 84 1 FLANNIGAN content to multiple places. So I will rephrase the Q. question. It is a fair point. 11:17:37 When Viacom hires an agent to market its content to various places and that agent puts the content on YouTube, the content is then authorized to be on the YouTube service by Viacom, 11:17:47 10 right? 11 Α. Correct. 12 How would you go about Ο. 13 determining if a video clip you encountered on the YouTube service that 11:17:57 15 contained Viacom content had been 16 uploaded with Viacom's authorization? 17 Α. How would I go about it? 18 Q. Yes. Well, probably in two ways. 19 Α. 11:18:20 20 One is I would ask our digital 21 marketing staff, whoever had the 22 relationship with the third party to 23 identify the sort of uploader or 24 uploader ID or whatever the user ID is 11:18:40 25 of the account they are using to post

Page 88 1 FLANNIGAN 2 Because there is no good or Α. bad reason. The information that I was referring to as the boilerplate could 11:21:59 be communicated with or without them saying their name is Viacom boy 01. They are not mutually exclusive. Have you ever received a Ο. written report of viral marketing 11:22:16 10 activities conducted by Viacom or its 11 agents? 12 Α. Sure. 13 How frequently would you say Ο. you received that report? 11:22:22 15 Α. That report? 16 A report. How frequently Ο. 17 would you say you received a report? 18 I probably see things like Α. that once a month or so. 19 11:22:38 20 What format? Ο. 21 Α. Sometimes I am shown slides 22 at a meeting. Sometimes I am sent a 2.3 PowerPoint dec that shows how many 24 click-throughs back to our website were 11:22:50 25 generated by that clip. Information

		Page 89
	1	FLANNIGAN
	2	like that.
	3	Q. Is there some regular report
	4	you receive?
11:22:56	5	A. There is not.
	6	Q. Who would you ask for
	7	reports on the viral marketing
	8	activities of your organization?
	9	A. It would be several
11:23:09	10	different people depending on the
	11	brand.
	12	Q. Can you name them for me and
	13	the brand?
	14	A. At Comedy Central, my first
11:23:23	15	
	16	point of contact now would be Deena
	17	Stern at the network. There are people
	18	that work for her that I can also ask.
		Don Steele who works for me
	19	has a group functioning in digital
11:23:40	20	marketing. He has several folks that
	21	report into him at the some of the
	22	websites.
	23	At Spike, Neil Shermans runs
	24	marketing for the network, so I would
11:23:54	25	ask him.

		Page 90
	1	FLANNIGAN
	2	At TV Land, Karen Cummins
	3	runs marketing, so I would ask her.
	4	Q. Is that it?
11:24:07	5	A. Basically, yes.
	6	Q. Is there some documents that
	7	would tell you or me all of the content
	8	uploaded by Viacom representatives to
	9	the YouTube service in connection with
11:24:19	10	Viacom's viral marketing activities?
	11	A. I don't know.
	12	Q. Is there some database that
	13	contains that information?
	14	A. Not that I know of.
11:24:28	15	Q. Who would know if that
	16	information exists?
	17	Better question, who would
	18	you ask if you wanted to find out
	19	whether that information exists?
11:24:40	20	A. For MTV Networks?
	21	Q. Yes.
	22	A. I would ask Don Steele who
	23	works for me as the person closest to
	24	it.
11:25:05	25	Q. You said that with respect



Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION LLC,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

) Case No. 1:07CV02103

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)
LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
on behalf of themselves and all)
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

) Case No. 07CV3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF MICHELE GANELESS NEW YORK, NEW YORK

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2008

	Page 2
1	
2	
3	
4	Novemeber 3, 2008
5	9:49 a.m.
6	
7	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MICHELE
8	GANELESS, held at the offices of Wilson
9	Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, LLP, 1301
10	Avenue of the Americas, New York,
11	New York, pursuant to notice, before Erica
12	L. Ruggieri, Registered Professional
13	Reporter and Notary Public of the State of
14	New York.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Page 3
 1
 2
       APPEARANCES
 3
       FOR THE LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND PROSPECTIVE
       CLASS:
 4
            JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
 5
                  SUSAN J. KOHLMANN, ESQ.
            919 Third Avenue
 6
            New York, New York 10022
            (212) 891-1690
 7
            Skohlmann@jenner.com
       FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE,
 9
       LLC and GOOGLE, INC.:
10
           FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC.,
           YOUTUBE, LLC and GOOGLE, INC.:
11
            WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, LLP
                 DAVID H. KRAMER, ESQ.
            BY:
12
                  BART E. VOLKMER, ESQ.
            650 Page Mill Road
13
            Palo Alto, California 94304
             (650) 565-3508
14
            Dkramer@wsgr.com
       Bvolkner@wsgr.com
15
16
17
18
       ALSO PRESENT:
           SALLIAN BROWN, Videographer
19
           MICHELENA HALLIE, MTV Networks
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 8
	1	M. GANELESS
	2	Q. Who is your current employer,
	3	Ms. Ganeless?
	4	A. MTV Networks.
09:44:11	5	Q. Is that a company, MTV Networks,
	6	or is that a division of a Viacom entity?
	7	A. I believe it's a division of
	8	Viacom.
	9	Q. So who pays your salary?
09:44:22	10	A. I don't know, specifically.
	11	Q. So you don't know whose name is
	12	on your W-2?
	13	A. No.
	14	Q. It's okay. What is your current
09:44:33	15	title with MTV?
	16	A. President Comedy Central.
	17	Q. And what are your
	18	responsibilities in that role?
	19	A. I oversee the day-to-day
09:44:41	20	operations of Comedy Central, mostly
	21	programming and marketing.
	22	Q. By Comedy Central, you are
	23	referring to the television network?
	24	A. Yes.
09:44:48	25	Q. You were promoted to that

		Page 9
	1	M. GANELESS
	2	position last year, right?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. And what was your prior
09:44:55	5	position, to president?
	6	A. General manager of Comedy
	7	Central.
	8	Q. Have your responsibilities
	9	changed in any way?
09:45:00	10	A. No.
	11	Q. So a new title?
	12	A. Correct.
	13	Q. Got it. To whom do you now
	14	report?
09:45:07	15	A. Doug Herzog.
	16	Q. And what's his title?
	17	A. President of the MTV
	18	entertainment group.
	19	Q. Who are your direct reports at
09:45:18	20	Comedy Central?
	21	A. Peter Risafi, David Bernath,
	22	Jennifer Porter, Mitch Fried.
	23	Q. Can you give me the titles for
	24	each one of those people, please?
09:45:36	25	A. Peter Risafi is the senior vice

Page 10 1 M. GANELESS 2 president of marketing. David Bernath is 3 the senior vice president of programming. Jennifer Porter is the vice president of 09:45:46 integrated marketing. And Mitch Fried is the senior vice president of Comedy Central live entertainment. 8 What's integrated marketing? Q. 9 It's marketing that we do with Α. 09:45:58 10 our advertising partners, creating 11 promotions for advertisers. 12 There's an abbreviation that I 0. 13 have seen in a number of documents that 14 have been produced to us by Viacom in this 09:46:10 15 case. That's ASM or AS&M. 16 Do you know what that is a 17 reference to? 18 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection as to 19 form. 09:46:17 20 You may answer. 2.1 Α. No. 22 Q. So have you seen the 23 abbreviation AS&M in documents that you 24 review at MTV? 09:46:31 25 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection to

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY:

PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION,:

INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES :

CORPORATION, AND BLACK :

ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC, : CASE NO.

: 07-CV-2203

Plaintiffs,

VS.

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, AND :

GOOGLE, INC.,

:

Defendants.

Videotaped deposition of DEBORAH KADETSKY, taken on behalf of the Defendants, in the above-entitled matter before Suzanne Stotz, a Certified Shorthand Reporter (License No. 1845) and Notary Public of the State of New York, taken at the offices of MAYER BROWN, LLP, 1675 Broadway, New York, New York, on Tuesday, August 18, 2009, commencing at 10:08 a.m.

JOB No. 17414

	Page 2
1 7	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	
]	FOR PLAINTIFFS:
3	
4	SHEARMAN & STERLING, LLP BY: KIRSTEN CUNHA, ESQ.
5	And BY: JEENA SHAH, ESQ.
6	599 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022-6069
7	212-848-4000 kirsten.cunha@shearman.com
8	jeena.shah@shearman.com
9	
10	FOR DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE and GOOGLE:
11	MAYER BROWN, LLP
	BY: ANDREW H. SCHAPIRO, ESQ.
12	And
13	BY: CHRISTINE M. HERNANDEZ, ESQ. 1675 Broadway
14	New York, New York 10019-5820 212-506-2672
15	aschapiro@mayerbrown.com
15	chernandez@mayerbrown.com
16	
17	ALSO PRESENT:
	Sallean Browne, Videographer
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			Page 13
10:13:40	1	Q.	Who is that?
10:13:40	2	A.	Her name is Kristen Frank.
10:13:45	3	Q.	I asked you who you first reported
10:13:47	4	to, and I a	sked you who you report to now. Did
10:13:49	5	I skip over	any change in the organizational
10:13:52	6	chart in be	tween?
10:13:52	7	Α.	Tina Imm is no longer with the
10:13:56	8	company.	
10:13:56	9	Q.	So the people to whom you have
10:13:57	10	reported di	rectly were Tina Imm and now to
10:14:04	11	Nigel	
10:14:06	12	A.	Cox-Hagan.
10:14:07	13	Q.	hyphenated last name that I am
10:14:09	14	forgetting,	and there's been no one else?
10:14:11	15	A.	No.
10:14:12	16	Q.	And who are your direct reports
10:14:15	17	now, if any	?
10:14:15	18	A.	I have two direct reports, one
10:14:18	19	woman named	Sonia Ocasio, and one gentleman
10:14:21	20	named Josep	h Ternesky.
10:14:24	21	Q.	Have they always been your direct
10:14:26	22	reports dur	ing your time at VH1?
10:14:28	23	A.	During my time at VH1, yes.
10:14:30	24	Q.	Have you had anyone else as a
10:14:32	25	direct repo	rt?

			Page 14
10:14:32	1	Α.	I have also had a coordinator who
10:14:34	2	is no long	er with the company.
10:14:35	3	Q.	Do you sometimes have interns at
10:14:37	4	VH1?	
10:14:38	5	Α.	We do, yes.
10:14:39	6	Q.	Do they sometimes help you with
10:14:41	7	viral mark	eting?
10:14:42	8	Α.	They sometimes support our team's
10:14:45	9	efforts in	a variety of ways.
10:14:47	10	Q.	Including helping with viral
10:14:49	11	marketing?	
10:14:51	12	Α.	On occasion, yes.
10:14:53	13	Q.	When you provide reports to when
10:15:05	14	you provid	ed reports to Ms. Imm or now to Nigel
10:15:13	15	Cox-Hagan,	I assume you try to be accurate and
10:15:19	16	careful, c	orrect?
10:15:20	17	Α.	Absolutely.
10:15:22	18	Q.	And you never I assume you've
10:15:26	19	never inte	ntionally misled them about anything?
10:15:29	20	Α.	Absolutely not.
10:15:31	21	Q.	Have you ever created any YouTube
10:15:33	22	accounts?	
10:15:34	23	Α.	Yes, I have.
10:15:38	24	Q.	Can you tell me the names of the
10:15:39	25	YouTube ac	counts, if you remember them, that

		Page 34
10:41:05	1	Q. My question to you a moment ago was
10:41:07	2	are you aware of other people doing that, and
10:41:09	3	you said I'm not sure. So I'm showing you a
10:41:13	4	document. My question is: This document,
10:41:17	5	which ultimately you received, which included
10:41:19	6	the e-mails that came before it, discusses
10:41:23	7	leaking footage from a premier, correct?
10:41:28	8	MS. CUNHA: Objection to form.
10:41:29	9	A. This discusses asking the questions
10:41:32	10	about using our content and putting it out
10:41:36	11	there, yes.
10:41:38	12	Q. The answer is yes, correct?
10:41:40	13	A. The answer is other people are
10:41:42	14	asking about, quote, unquote, their version of
10:41:45	15	leaking content.
10:41:50	16	Q. Ms. Kadetsky, on the second page of
10:41:53	17	this e-mail Scott Lapatine says to Michelle
10:42:03	18	Clark, "What dates did you have in mind? Our
10:42:06	19	blog launches in April, so we probably want it
10:42:09	20	for the VH1 blog if it is around that time."
10:42:12	21	MS. CUNHA: Where are you?
10:42:13	22	MR. SCHAPIRO: In the middle of the
10:42:14	23	Bates number ending in 513.
10:42:19	24	Q. And he says, "Otherwise, I think
10:42:21	25	your best bet is to 'leak' it to youtube.com

		Page 35
10:42:26	1	and let it get passed around virally that way,
10:42:29	2	including e-mailing it to delisted and
10:42:32	3	fourfour." Do you see that?
10:42:35	4	A. I do.
10:42:35	5	Q. Did I read that correctly?
10:42:37	6	A. You did.
10:42:37	7	Q. And Ms. Clark says, "Hmm. It would
10:42:41	8	be cool to do it like two or three weeks
10:42:43	9	before, or I can wait for the VH1 blog.
10:42:46	10	Whatever you think works best." That's from
10:42:47	11	Michelle Clark, correct?
10:42:49	12	A. Yes.
10:42:49	13	Q. And you told me she was someone who
10:42:51	14	worked at VH1?
10:42:52	15	A. Yes.
10:42:52	16	Q. And Mr. Lapatine says to her,
10:42:55	17	"Well, since SL6 premier 3/19," March 19th,
10:43:01	18	"I won't make you sit on the premier footage,
10:43:03	19	because I think it could build significant buzz
10:43:06	20	by getting out there early. Plus, it doesn't
10:43:08	21	prevent us from doing other sneaks. Does
10:43:11	22	leaking footage from the premier interfere with
10:43:13	23	any VSPOT plans. Just want to make sure
10:43:17	24	everyone is on the same page." It says that,
10:43:17	25	correct?
1		

Page 36 10:43:19 Α. Yes. 10:43:20 2 Ο. And that's when you get added, 10:43:20 correct? 10:43:23 Α. Yes. 10:43:23 And that's because someone thought Ο. 10:43:25 you needed to be looped into this conversation, 10:43:25 correct? 10:43:27 That is correct. Α. 10:43:27 To make sure that everyone is on 10:43:31 10 the same page, right? 10:43:31 11 То --Α. 10:43:34 12 Q. The last question from Mr. Lapatine 10:43:36 13 is, "I just want to make sure everyone is on 10:43:40 14 the same page, "right? 10:43:40 15 Α. Yes. 10:43:40 And obviously it makes sense. 10:43:41 17 want to make sure the right hand knows what the 10:43:45 left hand is doing at VH1, correct? 10:43:47 19 MS. CUNHA: Objection. 10:43:48 20 Α. What I reference in my response is 10:43:49 21 that I would like to talk to them about how 10:43:49 22 we're both working on this type of marketing. 10:43:52 23 Ο. Correct. Because you want to make 10:43:54 2.4 sure that you are not doing anything that gets 10:43:56 25 in their way or they're not doing anything that

_		
		Page 37
10:43:58	1	gets in your way, that's at least one reason
10:44:00	2	you want to coordinate, correct?
10:44:03	3	A. That is correct.
10:44:05	4	Q. And I assume you had people that
10:44:09	5	knew that Deb Kadetsky is someone at VH1 who
10:44:13	6	has some knowledge and experience in this area,
10:44:15	7	correct?
10:44:17	8	A. Yes.
10:44:18	9	Q. So it's logical that someone might
10:44:22	10	say I think Deb needs to be looped into this,
10:44:24	11	correct?
10:44:24	12	A. Yes.
10:44:25	13	Q. So Benjamin Taylor looped you in
10:44:25	14	and says, "We have plans/agreements in the
10:44:28	15	works for a sneak, the first episode, and
10:44:32	16	exclusive packages going out for episodes."
10:44:36	17	That's what he said, correct?
10:44:40	18	MS. CUNHA: He is on the second
10:44:41	19	page.
10:44:41	20	MR. SCHAPIRO: I am on the page
10:44:43	21	ending 12.
10:44:45	22	A. I see. Yes, and this is an e-mail
10:44:51	23	from quite a while ago, but and I can't
10:44:53	24	speak to what Ben was specifically referring
10:44:55	25	to, but we tended to put this content on our
1		



Wed, 1 Mar 2006 13:22:31 -0500 Date: Kadetsky, Deborah < Deborah.Kadetsky@vh1staff.com> To: Cantwell, Erica < Erica. Cantwell@vh1staff.com> Cc: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE Subject: Very cool! Let's talk Friday-how about 1pm? Michelle Clark Senior Publicist VH1 Communications 1515 Broadway 20th Fl. Rm. 2019 NY, NY 10036 212-846-5576 michelle.clark@vh1staff.com Kadetsky, Deborah >From: >Sent: Wednesday, March 1, 2006 12:58 PM >To: Clark, Michelle - VH1 Cantwell, Erica >Cc: **RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE** >Subject: >No problem - I'm pretty wide open Friday. >And I did learn that we can do whatever we want to create a myspace page! Clark, Michelle - VH1 >From: Wednesday, March 01, 2006 12:39 PM >Sent: >To: Kadetsky, Deborah Cantwell, Erica >Cc: >Subject: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE >Hey Deborah, >It's been a little crazy on this end. Do you have time tomorrow or Friday to chat? >Michelle Clark >Senior Publicist >VH1 Communications >1515 Broadway 20th Fl. Rm. 2019 >NY, NY 10036 >212-846-5576 >michelle.clark@vh1staff.com > Kadetsky, Deborah From: > Thursday, February 23, 2006 11:24 AM To: Clark, Michelle - VH1 > Cc: Cantwell, Erica > Subject: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE > > Great - Let's all have a chat, so that we're not doing double duty between press & marketing. > > > Clark, Michelle - VH1 > From: Thursday, February 23, 2006 11:23 AM Sent:

Clark, Michelle - VH1 < Michelle.Clark@vh1staff.com>

From:

```
To: Kadetsky, Deborah
     Cc: Cantwell, Erica
>
     Subject: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE
>
     Erica Cantwell is actually our go to person on this stuff, but I'd love to talk to you about my shows
as long as we can keep her in the loop.
     ERICA-is this ok w/ you?
>
     Michelle Clark
>
>
     Senior Publicist
     VH1 Communications
>
     1515 Broadway 20th Fl. Rm. 2019
>
     NY, NY 10036
>
     212-846-5576
>
     michelle.clark@vh1staff.com
>
>
>
>
          From:
                     Kadetsky, Deborah
                     Thursday, February 23, 2006 11:20 AM
          Sent:
>
          To: Clark, Michelle - VH1
>
          Subject: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE
          Hey michelle, let's touch base on the best way to get these types of things out on blogs...are
you free later this afternoon, or tomorrow? It'd just be nice to meet you in person and talk about what
we're both working on in terms of viral marketing :)
          Thx,
>
          deb
>
>
>
          From:
                     Taylor, Benjamin
>
>
          Sent:
                     Wednesday, February 22, 2006 5:17 PM
>
          To: Lapatine, Scott; Clark, Michelle - VH1
          Cc: Carbone, Tony; Kadetsky, Deborah
          Subject: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE
>
          In terms of going out early with footage we have plans/agreements in the works for a sneak
the first episode and exclusive packages going out for episodes - Deb K. needs to be looped in on this
conversation.
          Thanks
>
          ~b
>
>
>
>
          From:
                     Lapatine, Scott
                     Wednesday, February 22, 2006 5:07 PM
>
          Sent:
          To: Clark, Michelle - VH1
>
          Cc: Taylor, Benjamin; Carbone, Tony
>
>
          Subject: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE
          Well, since SL6 premiere 3/19 I won't make you sit on the premiere footage, 'cause I think it
could build significant buzz by getting out there early. Plus, it doesn't prevent us from doing other
```

Highly Confidential VIA 10404512

sneaks. Does leaking footage from the premiere interfere with any VSPOT plans? Just wanna make sure

```
everyone's on the same page.
           From:
                      Clark, Michelle - VH1
           Sent:
                      Wednesday, February 22, 2006 5:00 PM
           To: Lapatine, Scott
           Subject: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE
           Hmm. It would be cool to do It like 2 or 3 weeks before. OR I can wait for the VH1 blog.
           Whatever you think works best.
           Michelle Clark
           Senior Publicist
           VH1 Communications
           1515 Broadway 20th Fl. Rm. 2019>
           NY, NY 10036
           212-846-5576
           michelie.clark@vh1staff.com
>
>
                From:
                           Lapatine, Scott
                Sent:
                           Wednesday, February 22, 2006 4:57 PM
                To: Clark, Michelle - VH1
                Subject: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE
                What dates did you have in mind? Our blog launches in April, so we'd probably want it
for the VH1blog if It's around that time.
                Otherwise, I think your best best is to 'leak' it to youtube.com and let it get passed
around virally that way, including e-mailing it to disted and fourfour.>
>
                          Clark, Michelle - VH1
               From:
>
                          Wednesday, February 22, 2006 4:56 PM
>
                Sent:
               To: Lapatine, Scott
>
               Subject: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE
>
               I had another question for you about "leaking" some SL6 episodes to a blog.
>
               I think DListed or Four Four would be perfect. What's the process?
>
               Michelle Clark
>
               Senior Publicist
>
               VH1 Communications
>
               1515 Broadway 20th Fl. Rm. 2019
>
>
               NY, NY 10036
>
               212-846-5576
>
               michelle.clark@vh1staff.com
                     From:
                               Lapatine, Scott
                     Sent:
                               Wednesday, February 22, 2006 4:40 PM
                     To: Clark, Michelle - VH1
                     Cc: Kadetsky, Deborah; Taylor, Benjamin
                     Subject: RE: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE
```

Highly Confidential

>	T think Dah made one for Elever Of Leves Dah is this your demain?
>	I think Deb made one for Flavor Of Love; Deb, is this your domain?
>	
>	From: Clark, Michelle - VH1
>	
>	Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2006 2:55 PM
>	To: Lapatine, Scott
>	Subject: SUPERGROUP/MYSPACE
>	
>	Hey Scott,
>	I know you're a similar sort of page for VH1.com is in the works, but I wanted to
see if you co	ould help me go about creating a MySpace page for SuperGroup.
>	
>	Let me know. Thanksi
>	
>	Michelle Clark
>	Senior Publicist
	Senior Publicist VH1 Communications
>	VH1 Communications
> >	VH1 Communications 1515 Broadway 20th Fl. Rm. 2019
> > >	VH1 Communications
> > > >	VH1 Communications 1515 Broadway 20th Fi. Rm. 2019 NY, NY 10036 212-846-5576
> > > >	VH1 Communications 1515 Broadway 20th Fi. Rm. 2019 NY, NY 10036
> > > >	VH1 Communications 1515 Broadway 20th Fi. Rm. 2019 NY, NY 10036 212-846-5576
> > > > > >	VH1 Communications 1515 Broadway 20th Fi. Rm. 2019 NY, NY 10036 212-846-5576
> > > >	VH1 Communications 1515 Broadway 20th Fi. Rm. 2019 NY, NY 10036 212-846-5576

VIA 10404514



Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, AND BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC, PLAINTIFFS,

CASE NO. 07-CV-2103

VS.

YOUTUBE INC., YOUTUBE, LLC AND GOOGLE, INC.,

DEFENDANTS.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., ET AL., ON BEHALF OF THEMSELVES AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,

> CASE NO. PLAINTIFFS, 07-CV-3582 VS.

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, AND GOOGLE, INC.,

DEFENDANTS.

_____ VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF AMY POWELL TAKEN ON TUESDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2009

JOB NO. 18310

```
Page 2
 1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
       VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY
       PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION,
       INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION,
       AND BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION,
 5
       LLC,
 6
                        PLAINTIFFS,
                                                    CASE NO.
                                                    07-CV-2103
 7
                  VS.
       YOUTUBE INC., YOUTUBE, LLC AND
 8
       GOOGLE, INC.,
 9
                       DEFENDANTS.
10
11
       THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER
12
       LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., ET AL.,
       ON BEHALF OF THEMSELVES AND ALL
13
                                                ) CASE NO.
       OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,
                                                ) 07-CV-3582
14
                        PLAINTIFFS,
15
                    VS.
16
       YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, AND
       GOOGLE, INC.,
17
                        DEFENDANTS.
18
19
20
            Videotaped deposition of AMY HOWELL, taken on
21
       behalf of the Defendants, at 350 South Grand Avenue,
22
       Suite 2500, Los Angeles, California, on Tuesday,
       December 15, 2009, at 9:25 a.m., before NIKKI ROY,
23
24
       CSR. No. 3052.
25
```

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4	
5	JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
6	BY: SUSAN J. KOHLMANN, ESQ. 919 Third Avenue
7	37th Floor New York, New York 10022-3908
8	212.891.1600 skohlmann@jenner.com
9	
10	FOR DEFENDANT GOOGLE:
11	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
12	BY: BART E. VOLKMER, ESQ. 650 Page Mill Road
13	Palo Alto, California 94304-1050 650.565.3508
14	bvolkmer@wsgr.com
15	
16	ALSO PRESENT:
17	PAUL KOENIG, Paramount REBECCA PRENTICE, General Counsel, Paramount
18	SCOTT McNAIR, Videographer
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page 35
09:57:01	1	BY MR. VOLKMER:
09:57:11	2	Q. Do you recall in early 2006 Megan Crowell
09:57:14	3	recommending that Paramount upload materials to
09:57:19	4	YouTube for viral marketing purposes?
09:57:21	5	A. No.
09:57:21	6	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
09:57:22	7	THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
09:57:23	8	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection as to form.
09:57:24	9	You can answer.
09:57:25	10	THE WITNESS: No, I don't recall.
09:57:40	11	MR. VOLKMER: Let's mark Exhibit 2.
09:57:43	12	(Powell Exhibit 2, document
09:57:43	13	bearing Bates numbers VIA 00366274 through
09:57:43	14	VIA 00366287, marked for identification, as
09:57:50	15	of this date.)
09:57:50	16	MS. KOHLMANN: Thank you.
09:57:53	17	MR. KOENIG: Thank you.
09:58:02	18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
09:58:03	19	BY MR. VOLKMER:
09:58:31	20	Q. Exhibit 2 is an e-mail exchange from
09:58:37	21	February 2006. And in the last message in the thread
09:58:43	22	Megan Crowell writes to Amy Powell on February 25th,
09:58:47	23	2006.
09:58:47	24	Do you recognize this document, Ms. Powell?
09:58:50	25	A. I don't.

r		
		Page 36
09:58:50	1	Q. This is an e-mail, though, that you received
09:59:00	2	in the course of your employment at Paramount, right?
09:59:03	3	A. It appears so.
09:59:04	4	Q. And who is Megan Crowell?
09:59:07	5	A. Megan Crowell was someone who worked for me
09:59:11	6	several years ago.
09:59:13	7	Q. She no longer works for Paramount?
09:59:15	8	A. No.
09:59:15	9	Q. And at your direction she gives a number of
09:59:29	10	suggestions about using websites for viral marketing
09:59:34	11	purposes. And in the second bullet point she says:
09:59:37	12	We recommend YouTube, Vimeo and
09:59:42	13	VidiLife as sites to post our
09:59:43	14	contents for viral distribution. In
09:59:46	15	these instances the best promotion
09:59:48	16	can be gained by posting
09:59:51	17	behind-the-scenes footage or content
09:59:53	18	from the cutting room floor so users
09:59:56	19	feel they find something unique
09:59:59	20	rather than a traditional trailer.
10:00:00	21	Do you see that?
10:00:01	22	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
10:00:01	23	You can answer.
10:00:01	24	THE WITNESS: I see that sentence, yes.
	25	

		Page 37
10:00:03	1	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:00:03	2	Q. Do you know if Paramount followed up on this
10:00:05	3	recommendation from Ms. Crowell?
10:00:08	4	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection as to form.
10:00:10	5	You can answer.
10:00:10	6	THE WITNESS: Are you asking about that
10:00:11	7	specific suggestion or the entire e-mail?
10:00:11	8	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:00:16	9	Q. How about the suggestion that Paramount post
10:00:21	10	its content to YouTube for viral distribution?
10:00:24	11	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
10:00:26	12	You can answer.
10:00:26	13	THE WITNESS: In certain instances, yes.
10:00:35	14	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:00:37	15	Q. What about the suggestion to post
10:00:40	16	behind-the-scenes footage and footage that looked
10:00:42	17	like it came from the cutting room floor, did
10:00:45	18	Paramount follow up on that suggestion?
10:00:47	19	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection; document speaks
10:00:47	20	for itself.
10:00:54	21	You can answer.
10:00:55	22	THE WITNESS: Those are two different pieces
10:00:58	23	of material.
10:01:00	24	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:01:00	25	Q. What about the latter, footage that looked

		Page 38
10:01:03	1	like it came from the cutting room floor, do you know
10:01:05	2	if Paramount ever followed up on that suggestion?
10:01:09	3	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
10:01:13	4	THE WITNESS: In some instances, yes.
10:01:17	5	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:01:22	6	Q. Can you describe the circumstances in which
10:01:24	7	Paramount posted material that looked like it had
10:01:26	8	come from the cutting room floor?
10:01:31	9	A. As part of our marketing campaign, in some
10:01:37	10	instances we will create a featurette or a packaged
10:01:43	11	piece which illustrates a filmmaker at work and
10:01:47	12	includes content from the film that that filmmaker is
10:01:51	13	working on which is from the cutting room floor and
10:01:54	14	is then packaged, produced and distributed VIA our
10:01:58	15	approved marketing arm.
10:02:04	16	Q. Can you give me some specific examples in
10:02:05	17	which Paramount employed that tactic, posting
10:02:08	18	materials to viral video sites that looked like they
10:02:12	19	had come from the cutting room floor?
10:02:14	20	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection; misstates the
10:02:15	21	testimony.
10:02:16	22	You can answer.
10:02:16	23	THE WITNESS: I don't have specific examples
10:02:21	24	for you.
	25	

		Page 40
10:03:32	1	you know if Paramount generally assigned appropriate
10:03:36	2	metadata to videos that it uploaded to YouTube to
10:03:40	3	promote its content?
10:03:41	4	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection as to form.
10:03:43	5	You can answer.
10:03:44	6	THE WITNESS: We assign metadata that
10:03:48	7	describes approved clips when uploading to YouTube as
10:03:52	8	we do with all websites.
10:03:56	9	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:03:56	10	Q. And can you give me some examples of the
10:03:59	11	appropriate metadata that would accompany an upload
10:04:04	12	to YouTube?
10:04:06	13	A. Depending on the clip, the title of the
10:04:11	14	film, the filmmaker, talent, et cetera.
10:04:17	15	Q. The third sub-bullet that we've been looking
10:04:39	16	at says:
10:04:40	17	Encouraging our internal team to
10:04:41	18	rank, view and comment on these
10:04:41	19	placements and in parens not
10:04:44	20	using Paramount e-mail accounts to
10:04:48	21	gain higher position in clip
10:04:48	22	galleries.
10:04:49	23	Do you know if Paramount employed that
10:04:51	24	tactic when uploading materials and posting materials
10:04:55	25	that had uploaded to YouTube?

		Page 41
10:04:58	1	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
	_	
10:04:59	2	You can answer.
10:04:59	3	THE WITNESS: I do not.
10:05:01	4	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:05:03	5	Q. Do you know why Paramount would not want to
10:05:06	6	use its own e-mail accounts to rank, view and comment
10:05:09	7	on content that it had uploaded to YouTube?
10:05:11	8	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection; lacks foundation.
10:05:14	9	You can answer.
10:05:14	10	THE WITNESS: This was not my e-mail
10:05:16	11	suggestion.
10:05:20	12	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:05:20	13	Q. Right. But this is an e-mail that you
10:05:21	14	received in the course of your employment from
10:05:25	15	someone that reported to you, correct?
10:05:27	16	A. That is correct.
10:05:28	17	Q. And you understood what she was talking
10:05:31	18	about when she wrote this e-mail, right?
10:05:33	19	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
10:05:35	20	THE WITNESS: As her e-mail illustrates,
10:05:38	21	she's listing a number of suggestions for
10:05:43	22	incorporating sites in our marketing campaign.
10:05:46	23	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:05:46	24	Q. Right. And why would it why would it be
10:05:48	25	the case that Paramount would not use its own e-mail

		Page 42
10:05:51	1	accounts to rank, view and comment on content that it
10:05:54	2	had placed on YouTube?
10:05:55	3	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection; misstates the
10:05:57	4	record; lacks foundation.
10:05:58	5	You can answer.
10:05:59	6	THE WITNESS: It's not my suggestion. I
10:06:03	7	don't know.
10:06:05	8	BY MR. VOLKMER:
01:23:03	9	Q. You can't think of any reasons as to why
10:06:08	10	Paramount would employ that tactic?
10:06:10	11	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
10:06:11	12	You can answer.
10:06:11	13	THE WITNESS: It's not my suggestion. I
10:06:14	14	don't know.
10:06:14	15	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:06:15	16	Q. Do you know if Paramount actually followed
10:06:17	17	up on that suggestion and used e-mail accounts that
10:06:21	18	were not associated with Paramount to rank, view or
10:06:25	19	comment on promotional materials that it had uploaded
10:06:27	20	to YouTube?
10:06:28	21	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection; asked and
10:06:29	22	answered.
10:06:29	23	You can answer it again.
10:06:31	24	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
	25	

		Page 50
10:15:05	1	THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.
10:15:06	2	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:15:07	3	Q. And can describe how Paramount used YouTube
10:15:10	4	to promote the Heartbreak Kid?
10:15:13	5	A. We uploaded
10:15:14	6	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection. You can answer.
10:15:15	7	THE WITNESS: We uploaded the approved
10:15:17	8	trailer, clips, TV spots to YouTube.
10:15:22	9	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:15:34	10	Q. What are TV spots?
10:15:36	11	A. Pardon me?
10:15:37	12	Q. What are TV spots?
10:15:39	13	A. Marketing material that is created for
10:15:45	14	television campaigns.
10:15:49	15	Q. What about the film Stardust, did Paramount
10:16:02	16	use YouTube to promote that film?
10:16:05	17	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
10:16:06	18	You can answer.
10:16:06	19	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
10:16:08	20	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:16:09	21	Q. How about Beowulf?
10:16:12	22	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection as to form.
10:16:14	23	THE WITNESS: I believe we uploaded the
10:16:16	24	approved materials.
	25	

		Page 51
10:16:22	1	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:16:24	2	Q. The Spiderweb Chronicles, did Paramount use
10:16:27	3	YouTube to promote that film?
10:16:29	4	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection as to form.
10:16:30	5	You can answer.
10:16:30	6	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
10:16:31	7	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:16:33	8	Q. How about Drillbit Taylor?
10:16:37	9	MS. KOHLMANN: Same objection.
10:16:39	10	You can answer.
10:16:39	11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
10:16:40	12	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:16:45	13	Q. How about Stop Loss?
10:16:47	14	MS. KOHLMANN: Same objection.
10:16:49	15	You can answer.
10:16:49	16	THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.
10:16:51	17	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:16:51	18	Q. And can you describe how Paramount used
10:16:54	19	YouTube to promote the film Stop Loss?
10:16:56	20	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
10:16:58	21	You can answer.
10:16:58	22	THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge,
10:17:01	23	we had a paid advertising campaign with YouTube.
10:17:06	24	BY MR. VOLKMER:
10:17:09	25	Q. And can you describe that campaign, please.

		Page 91
11:28:34	1	A. Yes.
11:28:34	2	Q. If you turn to the page that ends in 857,
11:28:45	3	and there's a message that Kristina Tipton sent on
11:28:48	4	January 31st at 1:09. She references in the first
11:28:58	5	sentence the Rasputia versus Trump clip that we were
11:29:02	6	discussing earlier and a clip called Lloyd the Dog.
11:29:08	7	Do you know what the Lloyd the Dog clip was?
11:29:10	8	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection; document speaks
11:29:10	9	for itself.
11:29:12	10	You can answer.
11:29:13	11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that clip.
11:29:15	12	BY MR. VOLKMER:
11:29:36	13	Q. You respond at 1:18 p.m. on February 1st to
11:29:40	14	Ms. Tipton, you say:
11:29:43	15	I'm really concerned because
11:29:45	16	these clips have been uploaded as if
11:29:46	17	from the official film from the
11:29:48	18	studio. I thought we were clear with
11:29:50	19	Scott that it was to be uploaded from
11:29:51	20	his personal account and not
11:29:52	21	associated with the film.
11:29:54	22	Please clarify asap.
11:29:58	23	Why were you concerned in this e-mail that
11:30:00	24	users would think that the marketing material came
11:30:02	25	from the studio?

		Page 92
11:30:03	1	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
11:30:06	2	You can answer.
11:30:06	3	THE WITNESS: Again, these are the0, this is
11:30:08	4	the same clip we've been referencing which I don't
11:30:11	5	recall. I do not know what the Lloyd the Dog clip
11:30:13	6	is.
11:30:14	7	BY MR. VOLKMER:
11:30:29	8	Q. You don't remember a marketing clip created
11:30:32	9	by your department that contained a talking dog and
11:30:35	10	there was time code added to the clip and that was
11:30:38	11	clip was uploaded to various video sharing sites to
11:30:43	12	promote the Norbit film?
11:30:45	13	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
11:30:46	14	You can answer.
11:30:46	15	THE WITNESS: I don't.
11:30:47	16	BY MR. VOLKMER:
11:30:47	17	Q. You don't remember a clip that was created
11:30:48	18	by your department that featured Donald Trump and his
11:30:54	19	tirade against Rosie O'Donnell spliced in with
11:30:58	20	footage from Norbit? You don't recall that clip at
11:31:01	21	all?
11:31:01	22	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection; asked and
11:31:02	23	answered.
11:31:02	24	THE WITNESS: I don't. You already asked me
11:31:04	25	that.

		Page 93
11:31:04	1	BY MR. VOLKMER:
11:31:15	2	Q. So Ms. Tipton's message below are the links
11:31:21	3	where the Rasputia she says:
11:31:24	4	Below are the links where the
11:31:26	5	Rasputia versus Trump and the Lloyd
11:31:29	6	the Dog clips have been uploaded so
11:31:30	7	far.
11:31:31	8	That sentence doesn't make any sense to you
11:31:33	9	because you don't know what she's referring to,
11:31:35	10	Rasputia versus Trump and Lloyd the Dog?
11:31:40	11	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection; misstates the
11:31:40	12	record.
11:31:41	13	You can answer.
11:31:41	14	THE WITNESS: I don't know that I would say
11:31:44	15	it doesn't make sense. What she's saying is below
11:31:47	16	are the links to the two different clips that have
11:31:50	17	been uploaded so far.
11:31:54	18	BY MR. VOLKMER:
11:31:55	19	Q. And for those clips that are referenced by
11:31:59	20	Ms. Tipton
11:31:59	21	A. Uh-huh.
11:32:00	22	Q you were really concerned because they
11:32:04	23	appeared to have been uploaded as if from the studio;
11:32:07	24	is that right?
11:32:08	25	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection; document speaks

		Page 94
11:32:08	1	for itself.
11:32:10	2	You can answer.
11:32:11	3	THE WITNESS: The e-mail reads:
11:32:12	4	I'm really concerned because
11:32:14	5	these clips have been uploaded as if
11:32:15	6	from the official film from the
11:32:19	7	studio.
11:32:20	8	BY MR. VOLKMER:
11:32:20	9	Q. What was the basis for that concern?
11:32:22	10	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
11:32:23	11	THE WITNESS: Again, without reviewing the
11:32:24	12	clips, I cannot answer your question.
11:32:27	13	BY MR. VOLKMER:
11:33:31	14	Q. The clip that's referenced here, Rasputia
11:33:33	15	versus Trump, was uploaded by Iced Media using an
11:33:43	16	account, Park My Vibe. Are you familiar with that
11:33:45	17	YouTube account?
11:33:46	18	A. No.
11:33:47	19	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
11:33:48	20	THE WITNESS: Pardon me. No, not.
11:33:49	21	BY MR. VOLKMER:
11:33:49	22	Q. You don't know that account?
11:33:50	23	A. No, I do not.
11:33:51	24	Q. Do you know if it's affiliated at all with
11:33:55	25	Iced Media?

Page 95 11:33:55 I don't know the account. Α. 11:33:56 2 If you saw on YouTube a clip containing Q. 11:34:01 Paramount footage uploaded from the account Park My 11:34:05 Vibe, would you know whether or not it was 11:34:06 5 authorized? 11:34:07 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection. 11:34:11 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 11:34:13 8 BY MR. VOLKMER: 11:34:13 9 If you saw on YouTube a clip Q. 11:34:16 10 containing Paramount footage uploaded from the 11:34:20 11 account Park My Vibe, would you know whether or not 11:34:22 12 it was authorized? 11:34:24 13 I would need to review the clip. Α. 11:34:25 14 Why would you need to review the clip to Q. 11:34:44 make a determination about whether Paramount 15 11:34:46 16 materials uploaded to the account Park My Vibe are 11:34:50 17 authorized? 11:34:51 18 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection. 11:34:52 19 You can answer. 11:34:52 20 THE WITNESS: Without reviewing the clip, I 11:34:56 21 wouldn't know if it was approved material or not. 11:34:58 22 BY MR. VOLKMER: 11:35:06 23 So you need to review the clip itself to Q. make a determination about whether or not the 11:35:07 24 11:35:11 material is authorized? 25

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY)
PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC.)
TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT)
PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK
ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,)

Plaintiffs,

vs.) NO. 07-CV-2203

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)
LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.) NO. 07-CV-3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TAMAR TEIFELD PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2009

JOB NO. 16515

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

	Page 2
1	FEBRUARY 18, 2009
2	9:12 a.m.
3	
4	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TAMAR TEIFELD,
5	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, LLP,
6	601 California Ave., Palo Alto, California,
7	pursuant to notice, and before me,
8	ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CLR, RPR, CSR
9	License No. 9830.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC.:
4	SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
5	By: KIRSTEN NELSON CUNHA, Esq.
6	599 Lexington Avenue
7	New York, New York 10022-6069
8	(212) 848-4000 kirsten.cunha@shearman.com
9	
10	FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC and
11	GOOGLE, INC.:
12	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, LLP
13	By: MICHAEL H. RUBIN, Esq.
14	CAROLINE WILSON, Esq.
15	650 Page Mill Road
16	Palo alto, California 94304
17	(650) 493-9300 mrubin@wsgr.com
18	
19	ALSO PRESENT:
20	PARAMOUNT PICTURES
21	By: PAUL KOENIG, Esq.
22	5555 Melrose Avenue
23	Hollywood, California 90038-3197
24	(323) 956-5882 paul_koenig@paramount.com
25	

```
Page 4
    APPEARANCES (Continued.)
 2
 3
          ALSO PRESENT: Lou Meadows, Videographer.
                               ---000---
 6
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			Page 55
	1		TEIFELD
10:18:48	2	Q	This was e-mailed by Kristina Tipton to the
10:18:54	3	team.	It says "Hi Team"; do you see that?
10:18:54	4	А	(Witness nods head.)
10:18:57	5	Q	I'd like to walk through and figure out who
10:19:00	6	some of	these people are.
10:19:02	7	А	Okay.
10:19:02	8	Q	Could you explain again who Mickey Worsnup
10:19:05	9	is?	
10:19:06	10	A	He's a creative director.
10:19:07	11	Q	And he works at Paramount?
10:19:09	12	A	Yes.
10:19:09	13	Q	And he's still there?
10:19:10	14	A	Yes.
10:19:10	15	Q	Who is Bryan Warman?
10:19:13	16	A	Bryan Warman is another creative director.
10:19:15	17	Q	Is he also still with Paramount?
10:19:17	18	А	Yes.
10:19:18	19	Q	And Megan Megan Wahtera we've discussed.
10:19:22	20		Who is Phil Pirrello?
10:19:25	21	А	Phil Pirrello was Mickey's I don't
10:19:28	22	remembe	r his exact title, but junior producer,
10:19:30	23	basical	ly.
10:19:32	24	Q	Who is Stephanie Simard?
10:19:35	25	А	Stephanie works with Megan Wahtera.

			Page 56
	1		TEIFELD
10:19:39	2	Q	Do you work with Stephanie?
10:19:41	3	А	Just along the same lines as I work with
10:19:44	4	Megan.	
10:19:44	5	Q	Who is Carolyn Hu?
10:19:47	6	А	She used to be Bryan Warman's junior.
10:19:53	7	Q	She's no longer with Paramount?
10:19:56	8	А	No.
10:19:56	9	Q	Who is Sara Bordo?
10:19:58	10	А	Sara was the director of online media.
10:20:02	11	Q	No longer with the company?
10:20:04	12	А	Correct.
10:20:05	13	Q	Do you know when she left?
10:20:10	14	А	Sara left probably about a year ago, but I'm
10:20:12	15	not sur	e exactly.
10:20:13	16	Q	What does the director of online media
10:20:16	17	positio	n entail?
10:20:17	18	А	Buying media advertising online.
10:20:21	19	Q	So paid advertisement?
10:20:24	20	А	Yes.
10:20:24	21	Q	Who does that job now?
10:20:35	22	А	Robb Dickehut.
10:20:35	23	Q	Who is Megan Crowell?
10:20:37	24	А	Megan Crowell was Sara Bordo's coordinator.
10:20:42	25	Q	Working under Sara?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

			Page 57
	1		TEIFELD
10:20:43	2	A	Correct.
10:20:44	3	Q	Who is Kristina Griswold?
10:20:47	4	A	Also Sara Bordo's coordinator.
10:20:52	5	Q	David Toth?
10:20:53	6	A	He was our ad trafficker.
10:20:57	7	Q	What is an ad trafficker?
10:20:59	8	A	He would deliver our ads to the websites that
10:21:01	9	we were	buying media on.
10:21:02	10	Q	Is he no longer with the company?
10:21:04	11	A	He's no longer with the company.
10:21:06	12	Q	Who is doing that job now? Do you know?
10:21:07	13	A	I don't know.
10:21:08	14	Q	And who is the person CCed here, Amy Powell?
10:21:14	15	A	She's our boss.
10:21:15	16	Q	And she's who hired you?
10:21:17	17	A	Yes.
10:21:17	18	Q	What is her title?
10:21:19	19	A	Senior vice president of online marketing.
10:21:24	20	Q	And to whom does she report?
10:21:28	21	А	I'm not sure exactly.
10:21:34	22	Q	At the top of this e-mail, it appears as
10:21:38	23	though	you forwarded this to another e-mail.
10:21:41	24	А	Uh-huh.
10:21:42	25	Q	T-A-M-A-R-L-O-V-E-S-U @gmail.com?

Page 164 1 TET FELD 14:06:01 2 webmasters and the viral video websites that you work 14:06:05 with and to which you upload video content because you 14:06:08 believe it will provide a promotional benefit to the 14:06:12 5 film you're working on; is that right? 14:06:14 We -- yeah, we select people that we send 14:06:17 content to. 14:06:18 How about when you upload videos directly? 14:06:23 Do you do so in connection with sites and viral video 14:06:29 10 websites that you believe will provide a promotional 14:06:32 11 benefit to the film? 14:06:36 12 Yes. 14:06:43 13 Has it ever occurred that a video uploaded by 14:06:50 14 your team or authorized to be uploaded by your team 14:06:55 15 but uploaded by a third party in connection with a 14:06:57 16 promotion has been taken down by Viacom for 14:07:03 17 allegations of copyright infringement? 14:07:06 18 What was the original question? Sorry. Am I 14:07:08 aware of it? 19 14:07:09 20 It was a long one. 14:07:11 21 Do you know whether that's ever occurred, 14:07:13 22 whether it's ever happened that your team, in the 14:07:15 23 context of marketing, works -- sorry -- marketing 14:07:19 2.4 movies have uploaded a video clip to YouTube, for 14:07:24 25 example, and then some other component of Viacom had

		Page 165
	1	TEIFELD
14:07:29	2	sent a takedown notice to remove the video?
14:07:32	3	A I don't recall.
14:07:35	4	Q Has it ever occurred with any website where
14:07:40	5	there's been confusion around the authorization of the
14:07:45	6	video clip that's been posted by the site?
14:07:47	7	A Yes.
14:07:47	8	MS. CUNHA: Objection to form.
14:07:49	9	MR. RUBIN: You're allowed to answer when she
14:07:52	10	objects. You didn't do anything wrong.
14:07:54	11	MS. CUNHA: She already had answered before I
14:07:57	12	objected.
14:07:57	13	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
14:07:58	14	MR. RUBIN: She'll tell you she wants you to
14:07:59	15	wait before you answer. It's a hard thing to do.
14:08:01	16	Q What websites has that happened in connection
14:08:05	17	with?
14:08:05	18	A There's a lot of websites where that's
14:08:08	19	happened.
14:08:08	20	Q Can you name any?
14:08:09	21	A Yes. IESB, LatinoReview, MovieWeb.
14:08:16	22	Q And it may have happened on YouTube. You
14:08:18	23	just can't recall a specific incident?
14:08:24	24	A What may have happened?
14:08:25	25	Q That you've uploaded that someone has

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

Page 171 1 TETFEID 14:14:03 2 I don't know. I haven't read through 14:14:05 whatever rules they have on there. 14:14:08 Have you ever -- 17 -- have you ever had 14:14:15 5 occasion to communicate with anyone who runs a website 14:14:18 about finding a way to ensure that the website 14:14:27 operator knows the content being uploaded is coming 14:14:30 directly from the studio? 14:14:32 Α Say that again. 14:14:35 10 Have you ever communicated directly with a 14:14:38 11 website operator regarding how to ensure that the 14:14:41 12 website operator could know that content was coming 14:14:46 13 directly from the studio? 14:14:48 14 Α Yes. 14:14:48 15 With which website operators have you had 14:14:52 16 that communication? 14:14:53 17 A lot of different website operators. 14:14:55 18 Have you had that communication with YouTube? Q 14:14:56 I don't recall if we discussed that. 19 Α 14:15:00 20 (Document marked Teifeld Exhibit 42 14:15:01 21 for identification.) 14:15:01 22 MR. RUBIN: I'd like to introduce Exhibit 14:15:03 23 No. 42. Exhibit No. 42 is marked VIA01305320. 14:15:21 2.4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14:15:22 25 MR. RUBIN: Q. Do you recognize this

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

		Page 175
	1	TEIFELD
14:18:36	2	site?
14:18:37	3	A The presence of authorized content on their
14:18:39	4	site?
14:18:41	5	Q Uh-huh.
14:18:44	6	A I don't recall.
14:18:45	7	Q You don't recall doing so?
14:18:47	8	A I
14:18:47	9	MS. CUNHA: Objection.
14:18:48	10	THE WITNESS: don't recall the last time I
14:18:50	11	did it.
14:18:50	12	MR. RUBIN: Q. Was it within the past month?
14:18:57	13	A Are you asking me if I've let a website know
14:19:01	14	that they have approved materials on their site?
14:19:04	15	Q Yes.
14:19:04	16	A I have not had to do that in the last month.
14:19:06	17	Q Six months?
14:19:13	18	A I don't recall.
14:19:14	19	Q Let me clarify this, at least clarify it for
14:19:23	20	myself.
14:19:23	21	Is it your testimony that you have sent
14:19:27	22	notices to websites, including YouTube, indicating
14:19:32	23	that the content uploaded by a given user name is
14:19:36	24	authorized by Paramount?
14:19:38	25	A Yes.
i		

		Page 176
	1	TEIFELD
14:19:38	2	Q To whom do you send those at YouTube?
14:19:42	3	A I don't remember who I sent it to.
14:19:43	4	Q Did you send it in connection with every user
14:19:46	5	account used by Paramount?
14:19:49	6	A I don't remember.
14:19:50	7	Q Did you send it in connection with every
14:19:53	8	video uploaded by Paramount to YouTube?
14:19:59	9	A I don't recall.
14:20:00	10	Q Do you recall a single instance in which a
14:20:04	11	video was uploaded and for which notice of its
14:20:08	12	authorization was not provided by you to YouTube?
14:20:16	13	A I don't recall.
14:20:16	14	Q 20. Actually, let's do 16.
14:21:27	15	(Document marked Teifeld Exhibit 43
14:21:28	16	for identification.)
14:21:28	17	MR. RUBIN: I'd like to introduce Exhibit
14:21:31	18	No. 43, a document bearing Bates No. VIA01988198
14:21:41	19	through VIA01988201.
14:21:57	20	That's the wrong I'm referring to the
14:22:00	21	wrong document.
14:22:01	22	The Bates number of this document,
14:22:05	23	Exhibit 43, is VIA0125
14:22:10	24	MS. CUNHA: That's not what I have.
14:22:11	25	MR. RUBIN: I'm jumping around here.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585



Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY)
PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC)
TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT)
PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK)
ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION LLC,)
Plaintiffs,)

vs.) Case No.
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,) 07CV-2103
and GOOGLE, INC.,)

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)
LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
on behalf of themselves and all)
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,)
vs.) Case No.
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and) 07CV-3582
GOOGLE, INC.,)

Defendants.)

DEPOSITION OF KRISTINA TIPTON

NEW YORK, NEW YORK

Thursday, October 29, 2009

REPORTED BY: ERICA RUGGIERI, CSR, RPR

JOB NO: 17863

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 450 Seventh Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

		Page 71
	1	TIPTON
	2	A. No. I don't recall that.
	3	MS. REES: Exhibit 17.
	4	(Tipton Exhibit 17, e-mail
11:53:44	5	thread regarding Norbit clips,
	6	marked for identification, as of
	7	this date.)
	8	(Witness reviews document.)
	9	Q. Can you identify Exhibit 17?
11:55:26	10	A. It appears to be an e-mail
	11	thread between Amy Powell, myself, Brian
	12	Moerman and Carolyn Hu regarding Norbit
	13	clips.
	14	Q. And who is Carolyn Hu?
11:55:37	15	A. She was she worked with Brian
	16	on the website for they were a team,
	17	working on websites together at that
	18	point.
	19	Q. In the middle of the page there
11:55:56	20	appears to be an e-mail from you to Amy
	21	Powell, cc'ing Brian Moerman and Carolyn
	22	Hu, that talks about placing a trailer on
	23	YouTube, after it goes off exclusive on
	24	Yahoo
11:56:15	25	A. Yes.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 72
	1	TIPTON
	2	Q is that correct?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. Why did you think that Paramount
11:56:20	5	should place a trailer on YouTube?
	6	A. I believe because it would get
	7	additional views and exposure on YouTube.
	8	Q. Did you have any understanding
	9	in this time frame of the popularity of
11:56:44	10	YouTube, as opposed to other viral video
	11	sites?
	12	A. Yes, roughly.
	13	Q. What was your understanding?
	14	A. I believe at the time YouTube
11:56:55	15	was the largest video site for user
	16	videos.
	17	MS. REES: Exhibit 18.
	18	(Tipton Exhibit 18, e-mail
	19	chain regarding a clip for Norbitz,
11:57:48	20	marked for identification, as of
	21	this date.)
	22	(Witness reviews document.)
	23	Q. Can you identify Exhibit 18?
	24	A. It appears to be an e-mail chain
12:00:06	25	between Amy Powell, Brian Moerman, Carolyn

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.



Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----X

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 07-CV-2103

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 07-CV-3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

-----X

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MEGAN WAHTERA
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
FRIDAY, DECEMBER 4, 2009

JOB NO. 18262

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	Page 2
1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
2	DECEMBER 4, 2009
3	10:27 A.M.
4	
5	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MEGAN
6	WAHTERA, at WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, 1 Market
7	Plaza, San Francisco, California, pursuant to notice,
8	before me, KATHERINE E. LAUSTER, CLR, CRR, RPR, CSR
9	License No. 1894.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 450 Seventh Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

```
Page 3
 1
       MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
 2
       APPEARANCES:
 3
       FOR THE PLAINTIFFS, VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., and
       the WITNESS:
            JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
 5
            By: SCOTT B. WILKENS, ESQ.
            1099 New York Avenue, NW
 6
            Suite 900
            Washington, DC 20001
 7
            T.202.639.6000
            F.202.661.4832
 8
            swilkens@jenner.com
 9
                    and
10
            PARAMOUNT PICTURES MOTION PICTURE GROUP
            INTERACTIVE MARKETING
11
            By: PAUL KOENIG, ESQ.
            5555 Melrose Avenue
12
            Hollywood, California 90038-3197
            T.323.956.5882
13
            F.323.862.2875
            paul koeniq@paramount.com
14
15
       FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and
       GOOGLE, INC.:
16
            WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI
17
            By: MICHAEL H. RUBIN, ESQ.
                 CAROLINE WILSON, ESQ.
18
            650 Page Mill Road
            Palo Alto, California 94304-1050
19
            T.650.493.9300
            F.650.493.6811
20
            mrubin@wsgr.com
            cwilson@wsgr.com
21
22
      Also Present: JOSEPH SKORMAN, Videographer
2.3
24
25
```

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 27
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:47:42	2	it?
10:47:43	3	A. I can't be sure.
10:47:43	4	Q. Right, because you're under oath,
10:47:44	5	Miss Wahtera.
10:47:47	6	MR. WILKENS: Objection
10:47:48	7	THE WITNESS: I understand.
10:47:48	8	MR. WILKENS: to the form of the
10:47:49	9	question.
10:47:49	10	BY MR. RUBIN:
10:47:50	11	Q. You know that; right?
10:47:50	12	A. Yes.
10:47:50	13	MR. WILKENS: Objection.
10:47:50	14	BY MR. RUBIN:
10:47:50	15	Q. So I want to get clear clarity in your
10:47:51	16	testimony
10:47:51	17	A. Uh-huh.
10:47:52	18	Q as we sit here right now.
10:47:54	19	A. Uh-huh.
10:47:54	20	Q. You have uploaded content owned by
10:47:56	21	Paramount Pictures to YouTube; correct?
10:47:58	22	A. Correct.
10:47:59	23	Q. Is it your testimony that you have always
10:48:01	24	done so to accounts that YouTube knew?
10:48:04	25	A. I can't be sure.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 28
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:48:05	2	Q. You can't be sure.
10:48:05	3	A. (Witness nods head.)
10:48:06	4	Q. Why can't you be sure?
10:48:07	5	A. Because I just don't remember every piece
10:48:10	6	of content I uploaded.
10:48:12	7	Q. How many pieces of Paramount content have
10:48:14	8	you uploaded to YouTube?
10:48:15	9	A. I don't know.
10:48:16	10	Q. More than one?
10:48:17	11	A. Yes.
10:48:17	12	Q. More than five?
10:48:19	13	A. Yes.
10:48:20	14	Q. More than ten?
10:48:21	15	A. I don't know.
10:48:21	16	Q. Where would you go to find that
10:48:23	17	information out?
10:48:24	18	A. Probably look back on my e-mails.
10:48:32	19	Q. Who else in your department uploads
10:48:34	20	content to YouTube?
10:48:37	21	A. That I'm certain about?
10:48:39	22	Q. Yes.
10:48:41	23	A. Tamar Teifeld, Kyle Bennicci, Kristina
10:48:47	24	Tipton, Stephanie Simard, and that's all I can be
10:48:54	25	sure about at the moment.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 29
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:48:55	2	Q. Where would you go to find out with
10:48:57	3	certainty the list of people in your department that
10:49:00	4	upload content to YouTube?
10:49:02	5	A. There is no list that I would that I
10:49:04	6	have.
10:49:06	7	Q. Paramount Pictures does not keep a list of
10:49:08	8	the people who upload content to YouTube
10:49:10	9	A. Not that I
10:49:10	10	Q in the course of their employment?
10:49:10	11	A. Not that I'm aware of.
10:49:15	12	Q. Who would be aware of that?
10:49:17	13	A. I don't know.
10:49:27	14	Q. You indicated that you had two direct
10:49:29	15	reports; right?
10:49:30	16	A. I currently do, yes.
10:49:31	17	Q. Correct.
10:49:32	18	A. Uh-huh.
10:49:33	19	Q. Do you have any direct superiors?
10:49:34	20	A. Yes.
10:49:34	21	Q. Who is your direct superior?
10:49:35	22	A. I'm reporting to Amy Powell.
10:49:37	23	Q. Do you know who Amy Powell reports to?
10:49:38	24	A. Amy Powell currently reports in to Megan
10:49:44	25	Colligan.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 33
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:52:43	2	A. Correct.
10:52:44	3	Q that you uploaded?
10:52:45	4	A. Yes, correct.
10:52:47	5	Q. Do you recall a Paramount film by the name
10:52:52	6	of "The Last Kiss"?
10:52:53	7	A. Yes.
10:52:54	8	Q. Did you work on the marketing campaign for
10:52:56	9	that film?
10:52:57	10	A. Yes.
10:52:59	11	Q. Approximately when did that campaign take
10:53:01	12	place?
10:53:07	13	A. This is awful, but I've worked on so many
10:53:09	14	films, I don't remember the year that that one was.
10:53:12	15	I want to say a few years ago, but I can't be
10:53:14	16	certain.
10:53:15	17	Q. Do you tend to work on most Paramount
10:53:18	18	films?
10:53:18	19	A. My job is that I split titles with three
10:53:21	20	different creative executives, so I work on a third
10:53:26	21	of them. But my outside of I do more things,
10:53:32	22	so I I assist other groups with sometimes when
10:53:36	23	it's not my specific film.
10:53:37	24	Q. You pitch in on the
10:53:38	25	A. Correct.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 34
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:53:39	2	
10:53:41	3	A. Correct.
10:53:41	4	Q specifically assigned to?
10:53:43	5	A. Correct.
10:53:43	6	Q. Who are the other two creative directors?
10:53:48	7	A. Mickey Warsnup and Bryan Warman.
10:53:51	8	Q. And you don't know if they've uploaded
10:53:53	9	content to YouTube?
10:53:55	10	A. I don't know.
10:53:56	11	Q. "The Last Kiss" was released in September
10:54:10	12	of 2006; right?
10:54:11	13	A. I don't know.
10:54:14	14	Q. What was your role in that campaign?
10:54:18	15	A. I think I was a director at that point, my
10:54:22	16	title, so it was overseeing the creatives for "The
10:54:27	17	Last Kiss" that went online.
10:54:33	18	Q. And by by your answer, you mean
10:54:36	19	overseeing the Paramount content
10:54:39	20	A. Correct.
10:54:39	21	Q from the film that was placed onto the
10:54:42	22	Internet; right?
10:54:43	23	A. The content from the film
10:54:45	24	Q. Correct.
10:54:45	25	A or the content that we created for the

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 35
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:54:48	2	film?
10:54:49	3	Q. Both.
10:54:50	4	A. It wasn't my responsibility to oversee
10:54:52	5	content from the film, but to help place
10:54:56	6	strategically online, yes.
10:54:59	7	Q. You were involved with the placement of
10:55:00	8	content from the film
10:55:03	9	A. Correct.
10:55:03	10	Q onto the Internet; right?
10:55:05	11	A. Correct.
10:55:06	12	Q. Actual clips of the movie; right?
10:55:11	13	A. I don't remember, specifically, "The Last
10:55:13	14	Kiss," but that does fall into my realm of
10:55:18	15	responsibility. But I don't specifically remember
10:55:20	16	"The Last Kiss."
10:55:22	17	MR. RUBIN: I'd like to introduce Wahtera
10:55:23	18	1.
10:55:25	19	(Wahtera Exhibit Number 1 was marked for
10:55:25	20	identification.)
10:55:37	21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10:55:38	22	BY MR. RUBIN:
10:55:41	23	Q. Miss Wahtera, Exhibit 1 is a document
10:55:46	24	Viacom produced in this litigation bearing Bates
10:55:49	25	number VIA00366904 through -05.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 36
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:55:55	2	A. Uh-huh.
10:56:02	3	Q. Do you recognize this document?
10:56:03	4	A. No.
10:56:05	5	Q. Please take a moment to look it over.
10:56:18	6	A. Okay.
10:56:20	7	Q. Having now reviewed it, do you recognize
10:56:22	8	this document?
10:56:23	9	A. No.
10:56:25	10	Q. Do you see that it includes e-mails sent
10:56:29	11	and received by the e-mail address you described?
10:56:32	12	A. Yes.
10:56:34	13	Q. Do you doubt that you sent and received
10:56:36	14	these e-mails?
10:56:37	15	A. No, I don't.
10:56:39	16	Q. So you agree that these are authentic
10:56:42	17	e-mails sent and received by you?
10:56:44	18	A. Yes
10:56:44	19	MR. WILKENS: Objection to the form.
10:56:45	20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10:56:45	21	BY MR. RUBIN:
10:56:48	22	Q. You simply don't recall it?
10:56:50	23	A. Correct.
10:57:00	24	Q. I'd like to bring your attention to the
10:57:02	25	second page of the document. It's an e-mail

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 37
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:57:06	2	exchange between you and Amy Powell, and this is
10:57:11	3	earlier starts with Amy Powell at an e-mail address,
10:57:14	4	breep6@mac.com?
10:57:19	5	A. Yes.
10:57:20	6	Q. Are you familiar with that e-mail address?
10:57:24	7	A. Yes.
10:57:24	8	Q. Well, whose e-mail address is that?
10:57:26	9	A. Zach Braff's.
10:57:27	10	Q. Who is Zach Braff?
10:57:29	11	A. An actor.
10:57:30	12	Q. Is he an actor that was in the movie "The
10:57:32	13	Last Kiss"?
10:57:34	14	A. Yes, he's also a writer and a producer. I
10:57:35	15	don't know I cannot recall if he was on this
10:57:38	16	film.
10:57:47	17	Q. Do you see that on June 15th, 2006, Amy
10:57:51	18	Powell wrote to Zach Braff and said:
10:57:57	19	"I just wanted to know if you're okay with
10:57:59	20	us posting the LK montage to your profile
10:58:02	21	on YouTube, or do you want us to post from
10:58:05	22	an anonymous source?
10:58:08	23	A. Yes, I see that.
10:58:10	24	Q. What does it mean "to post from an
10:58:12	25	anonymous source"?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 38
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:58:15	2	A. In this instance, or
10:58:17	3	Q. In this instance.
10:58:18	4	A. I'm not sure.
10:58:19	5	Q. What does it mean to post from an
10:58:21	6	anonymous source generally at Paramount Pictures?
10:58:26	7	MR. WILKENS: Objection to form.
10:58:27	8	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
10:58:27	9	BY MR. RUBIN:
10:58:28	10	Q. Have you ever posted from an anonymous
10:58:31	11	source?
10:58:31	12	A. Yes. Do you want me to describe that
10:58:33	13	instance?
10:58:34	14	Q. Yes, but I'd also like to be clear that,
10:58:36	15	if you've posted from an anonymous source, and you
10:58:41	16	work at Paramount Pictures, you do understand my
10:58:41	17	question.
10:58:42	18	MR. WILKENS: Objection. Argumentative.
10:58:43	19	MR. RUBIN: Scott, you've been wasting my
10:58:44	20	time with specious form objections. That objection
10:58:46	21	was specious. Let's move on. We've already been
10:58:50	22	delayed today.
10:58:50	23	MR. WILKENS: It wasn't specious.
10:58:51	24	MR. RUBIN: Let's move on.
10:58:51	25	MR. WILKENS: It wasn't specious and I
		-

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 39
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:58:52	2	will make proper objections. That was an
10:58:55	3	argumentative statement.
10:58:56	4	MR. RUBIN: You're entitled to make proper
10:58:59	5	objections all day. You've not made one yet.
10:59:02	6	MR. WILKENS: I disagree.
10:59:02	7	MR. RUBIN: And I know you do. You've
10:59:03	8	wasted multiple hours of our deposition time
10:59:03	9	throughout the course of this case with specious
10:59:03	10	objections, specious instructions. This deposition
10:59:07	11	is not going to be another one of them.
10:59:10	12	MR. WILKENS: I disagree with that too.
10:59:11	13	MR. RUBIN: Disagree all you want, Scott.
10:59:14	14	MR. WILKENS: You're right
10:59:14	15	MR. RUBIN: The record
10:59:14	16	MR. WILKENS: I can.
10:59:15	17	MR. RUBIN: The record speaks for itself
10:59:17	18	and it's voluminous.
10:59:19	19	MR. WILKENS: And the judge will find that
10:59:20	20	that was an argumentative statement.
10:59:22	21	MR. RUBIN: I believe Judge Stanton can
10:59:24	22	read the transcript.
10:59:26	23	BY MR. RUBIN:
10:59:27	24	Q. Ms. Wahtera, you indicated a moment ago
10:59:30	25	that you yourself have uploaded from an anonymous

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 40
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
10:59:32	2	source.
10:59:35	3	A. Yes, I have.
10:59:36	4	Q. What do you think what does what
10:59:36	5	does that mean? What is your understanding of what
10:59:37	6	that means, to upload from an anonymous source?
10:59:41	7	A. In that instance I created an e-mail
10:59:43	8	account, which I believe was Yahoo, and uploaded a
10:59:47	9	clip from the "Heartbreak Kid."
10:59:49	10	Q. What's anonymous about that?
10:59:52	11	A. It didn't have Paramount associated with
10:59:56	12	it.
10:59:56	13	Q. And it's the only instance in which you
10:59:58	14	are aware of anyone at Paramount ever uploading a
11:00:01	15	clip from an anonymous source?
11:00:03	16	A. That I can recall right now.
11:00:05	17	Q. Or that you're aware of, ever.
11:00:07	18	MR. WILKENS: Objection to the form.
11:00:07	19	THE WITNESS: That I can recall.
11:00:08	20	BY MR. RUBIN:
11:00:16	21	Q. That wasn't my question. I'm asking
11:00:17	22	whether you're aware of anyone ever uploading a
11:00:21	23	video from an account that wasn't associated with
11:00:24	24	Paramount Pictures.
11:00:25	25	MR. WILKENS: Objection. Asked and

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 41
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
11:00:25	2	answered.
11:00:26	3	THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of what I did
11:00:28	4	on this one.
11:00:28	5	BY MR. RUBIN:
11:00:29	6	Q. You're not aware of what anyone else did
11:00:31	7	at Paramount Pictures?
11:00:32	8	MR. WILKENS: Objection to the form.
11:00:33	9	THE WITNESS: Not in that without
11:00:34	10	with regard to uploading from an anonymous source
11:00:38	11	anonymous sources, no, not that I can recall.
11:00:47	12	BY MR. RUBIN:
11:00:48	13	Q. Apparently Amy Powell is, according to
11:00:50	14	this question; right?
11:00:51	15	A. Uh-huh.
11:00:57	16	Q. And according to this e-mail, Amy Powell
11:01:05	17	sent the e-mail to you, asking you to have Zach
11:01:12	18	pardon me asking you to "have Kirk
11:01:14	19	A. Uh-huh.
11:01:15	20	Q post to Zach Braff's YouTube page
11:01:20	21	tonight." Do you see that?
11:01:21	22	A. Yes.
11:01:22	23	Q. What do you understand that to mean?
11:01:25	24	A. Kirk ran Zach Braff's website and YouTube
11:01:29	25	pages.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 61
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
11:17:31	2	A. Uh-huh.
11:17:32	3	Q on July 25th
11:17:34	4	A. Okay.
11:17:34	5	Q from Real Pie, forwarding the e-mail
11:17:39	6	that Real Pie had received from YouTube
11:17:43	7	A. Uh-huh.
11:17:43	8	Q that Paramount Pictures has had
11:17:46	9	sought to have this video removed.
11:17:49	10	A. Where does it say that?
11:17:52	11	Oh, yeah. At the bottom?
11:17:53	12	Q. (Reading:)
11:17:54	13	"Dear member:"
11:17:54	14	A. Uh-huh.
11:17:54	15	Q. (Reading:)
11:17:55	16	"This is to notify you that we have
11:17:57	17	removed or disabled access to the
11:17:59	18	following material as a result of a
11:18:01	19	third-party notification by Paramount
11:18:02	20	Pictures claiming that this material is
11:18:05	21	infringing."
11:18:06	22	A. Yes, I see that.
11:18:07	23	Q. Do you see that?
11:18:08	24	A. Correct, yes.
11:18:09	25	Q. Why did Paramount Pictures send a DMCA

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 62
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
11:18:12	2	takedown notice for a video that it authorized to
11:18:15	3	have uploaded?
11:18:17	4	A. I'm not sure.
11:18:18	5	Q. Why would it do that?
11:18:19	6	A. I'm not sure.
11:18:27	7	Q. Doesn't make sense, does it?
11:18:29	8	MR. WILKENS: Objection to the form.
11:18:30	9	THE WITNESS: I don't know, because I
11:18:31	10	don't I'm not sure why it happened. If I knew
11:18:37	11	why it happened, maybe it would make sense, but
11:18:39	12	right now I'm not sure.
11:18:40	13	BY MR. RUBIN:
11:18:41	14	Q. Okay. But at the the last e-mail your
11:18:43	15	response was "So strange"; right?
11:18:44	16	A. Uh-huh.
11:18:45	17	Q. You thought this was a strange thing to
11:18:47	18	have happened, didn't you?
11:18:49	19	A. According to what I wrote there, yes.
11:18:50	20	Q. And as you still sit here today, do you
11:18:53	21	still think it's strange?
11:18:55	22	A. I don't recall this this specific
11:18:57	23	instance, so I'm not sure.
11:18:58	24	Q. I'm asking you what your opinion is today.
11:18:59	25	MR. WILKENS: Objection

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 63
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
11:19:00	2	THE WITNESS: I don't have an opinion
11:19:01	3	today. I don't remember this incident.
11:19:03	4	BY MR. RUBIN:
11:19:03	5	Q. So in 2006, when you learned of this, you
11:19:04	6	thought it was strange, and in 2009, you don't have
11:19:07	7	an opinion about it?
11:19:08	8	MR. WILKENS: Objection to the form.
11:19:10	9	THE WITNESS: I don't I mean
11:19:11	10	THE REPORTER: One at a time.
11:19:11	11	THE WITNESS: I don't remember if we found
11:19:11	12	out why this was taken down, if there was a
11:19:13	13	conclusion about it. I don't remember.
11:19:17	14	BY MR. RUBIN:
11:19:17	15	Q. You said you were looking into it; right?
11:19:20	16	A. According to this e-mail, yes.
11:19:23	17	Q. And the video's not down anymore, is it?
11:19:27	18	A. That is accurate.
11:19:28	19	Q. Do you recall what happened?
11:19:29	20	A. I don't recall.
11:19:57	21	Q. Do you see that Kirk at Real Pie
11:20:00	22	A. Uh-huh.
11:20:00	23	Q told you that you had only told them to
11:20:04	24	take the teaser down, that is, that you had only
11:20:08	25	told YouTube to take the teaser down?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		1
		Page 72
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
11:27:18	2	was it just 'Paramount Pictures'?"
11:27:25	3	BY MR. RUBIN:
11:27:25	4	Q. So Kevin Donahue had no idea which account
11:27:29	5	you had uploaded this to, did he?
11:27:31	6	MR. WILKENS: Objection to the form.
11:27:32	7	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
11:27:32	8	BY MR. RUBIN:
11:27:32	9	Q. You don't know?
11:27:33	10	A. I don't know.
11:27:34	11	Q. Based on his e-mail, he had no idea, did
11:27:37	12	he?
11:27:38	13	MR. WILKENS: Objection to the form.
11:27:39	14	THE WITNESS: Based on this e-mail, looks
11:27:41	15	like he wasn't aware of it.
11:27:44	16	BY MR. RUBIN:
11:27:44	17	Q. Did Paramount Pictures have an account
11:27:47	18	named "ParamountPictures"?
11:27:50	19	A. I don't know. I we refer to it as
11:27:52	20	"ParaAccount."
11:27:54	21	Q. Did you just refer to it as "ParaAccount,"
11:27:56	22	or was the account named "ParaAccount"?
11:27:58	23	A. I can't remember.
11:28:00	24	Q. Did you ever upload any videos to an
11:28:02	25	account by the name of "ParaAccount"?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 73
	1	MEGAN WAHTERA SAN FRANCISCO, CA DECEMBER 4, 2009
11:28:04	2	A. I can't be certain, but I'm but
11:28:06	3	probably. I can't be certain.
11:28:08	4	Q. And what was the name of that account?
11:28:10	5	Was it "ParaAccount"?
11:28:11	6	A. I can't remember.
11:28:12	7	Q. Or "ParamountPictures"?
11:28:13	8	A. I can't remember.
11:28:17	9	Q. So you don't even know whether or not
11:28:18	10	Paramount Pictures had an account named
11:28:20	11	"ParamountPictures," do you?
11:28:22	12	A. Or if they called it "Paramount," or if
11:28:24	13	they called it "ParaAccount," or what it was
11:28:26	14	actually called, I don't remember, but we had an
11:28:29	15	account.
11:28:29	16	Q. In fact, at the time Kevin Donahue wrote
11:28:32	17	this e-mail to you, you didn't know whether or not
11:28:34	18	that was the account you were using either, did you?
11:28:37	19	MR. WILKENS: Objection to the form.
11:28:39	20	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
11:28:40	21	BY MR. RUBIN:
11:28:40	22	Q. Look at the e-mail you wrote,
11:28:41	23	Miss Wahtera.
11:28:42	24	A. Yes. My vendor does it checking with him
11:28:48	25	by way of cc.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.