Memorandum Endorsement 07 47 2103 (UCS)

Viacom Int'l Inc. et al. v. Youtube Inc. et al (LLS) and The Football Assoc'n Premier League Youtube Inc., 07 Civ. 3582 (LLS)

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY3FILED
gue Of at at v.
DATE FILED: 2/20/09

Treating Ms. Lucas-Morrow's letter dated January 20, 2009 as a pro se motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 19 to join both herself and the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") as necessary and indispensable parties in these related actions alleging violations of the Copyright Act of 1976 on the YouTube website, the motion is denied.

Ms. Lucas-Morrow claims that, as a child of the author of one of the musical compositions listed in the <u>Viacom</u> complaint, she is entitled by the principle of per stirpes to a share of the composition's earnings, which have not been paid her by ASCAP, the association which issued a public performance license on the composition to plaintiff Viacom. She further claims that public performance license is fraudulent and invalid because it identifies other descendants of that author, rather than her, as the successors entitled to receive royalties, and asserts that the DOJ is presently investigating the validity of that public performance license and another one which ASCAP issued to plaintiff CAL IV Entertainment LLC.

Ms. Lucas-Morrow's prior \underline{pro} se motion to intervene in the \underline{Viacom} action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 24 was denied by this Court's Order dated June 4, 2007, and her \underline{pro} se motion for reconsideration of that decision was denied by this Court's Order dated June 28, 2007.

The Football Association Premier League Limited et als Youtube Inc. et als to raise are outside the scope of these actions, which are about whether defendants' business activities and operations of the Youtube website violated the Copyright Act, "rather than the underlying validity of specific copyrights or licenses or ownership of the individual works" in suit (June 28, 2007 Order Denying Reconsideration at p. 1). The absence of Ms. Lucas-Morrow and the DOJ is no obstacle to the provision of complete relief among the present parties to these cases, nor is there a substantial risk that it will leave any existing party subject to double, multiple, or otherwise inconsistent obligations.

Ms. Lucas-Morrow's <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> application for leave to move to join herself and the DOJ as parties in these cases is denied.

So ordered.

Dated: February 19, 2009

New York, New York

Louis L. Stanton
U.S.D.J.

Doc. 116