12/17/2009 Walker, Kent

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -- OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO		YORK	
3				70 ** *********************************
4	VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY) PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC.)			នុំFigueira Decl. Tab ទំ 116
5	TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT) PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK)			0 E IV
6	ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,)			
ŭ	Plaintiffs,)			
7)		05 05 0400	
8	vs.)	NO.	07-CV-2103	
	YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,			
9	and GOOGLE, INC.,			
10	Defendants.)			
11)			
10	THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)			
12	LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,) on behalf of themselves and all			
13	others similarly situated,)			
14	Plaintiffs,)			
	vs.	NO.	07-CV-3582	
15	YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and)			
16	GOOGLE, INC.,			
)			
17	Defendants.)			
18	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KE	NT WA	LKER	
	PALO ALTO, CALIFORNI.	A		
19	THURSDAY, DECEMBER 17,	2009		
20	BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CSR,	RPR,	CLR	
21	CSR LICENSE NO. 9830 JOB NO. 18312			
22	105 HO, 10512			
23				
24				
25				

12/17/2009 Walker, Kent

1	WALKER, KENT 116-0002			
2	bullet point reads, "When YouTube receives a takedown			
3	notice or otherwise obtains knowledge of a clip that			
4	has eluded the filtering system, it should immediately			
5	remove not only the clip or full work in question, but			
6	all other versions or excerpts of the same copyrighted			
7	programming identified in the notice, in addition to			
8	hashing and fingerprinting the copyrighted work, to			
9	prevent future unauthorized uploads as discussed			
10	above."			
11	My question is, did Google do that in			
12	February of 2007?			
13	A In for some period of time previous to			
14	that, I don't know when it started, YouTube had a			
15	system called MD5 hashing, which identified unique			
16	copies of a given video, and when we received a DMCA			
17	notice for one version, I believe we would block other			
18	versions that also had that same hash, which is, by			
19	the way, another example of a situation where we were			
20	removing or blocking items without having received the			
21	specific URL and, I believe, going beyond what the			
22	DMCA requires.			
23	The other aspects of this question, it's			
24	because the sentence is compound, the the question			
25	is derivatively compound, if you will, so it's a			