12/16/2009 Weingarten, Oliver

```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
              FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
                                                             Figueira Decl. Tab
124
3
      VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY )
      PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC.
4
      TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT
                                           )
5
      PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK
      ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,
6
                        Plaintiffs,
7
                                           ) NO. 07-CV-2103
      VS.
8
      YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,
9
      and GOOGLE, INC.,
10
                        Defendants.
11
      THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER
12
      LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
      on behalf of themselves and all
13
      others similarly situated,
14
                        Plaintiffs,
                                           ) NO. 07-CV-3582
      vs.
15
      YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and
      GOOGLE, INC.,
16
17
                        Defendants.
              VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF OLIVER WEINGARTEN
18
                TAKEN ON WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2009
19
            AT THE OFFICES OF MAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL, LLP
                            201 BISHOPSGATE
                  LONDON EC2M 3AF, UNITED KINGDOM
20
21
      JOB NO. 18278
22
23
24
25
```

12/16/2009 Weingarten, Oliver

1		Q. Did you understand that let me ask it t/24.600% ay:						
2		Did YouTube tell Premier League that, in order to						
3		participate in the trial of the video fingerprinting						
4		technology, that it would need to licence content to						
5		YouTube?						
6	MR.	SHAFTEL: Objection.						
7		A. They did at a meeting prior to this date.						
8		Q. They said what?						
9		A. They said that the technology was being offered to						
10		those who they had commercial partnerships with. 10:						
11		Q. Which technology specifically are you referring to?						
12		A. What you term as digital fingerprint technology.						
13		Q. Was that the video fingerprinting technology or was						
14		that something else?						
15		A. Are we classifying digital and video fingerprint						
16		technology as the same thing?						
17		Q. I am talking specifically about the YouTube video						
18		fingerprinting technology that was the subject of some of						
19		these e-mails?						
20	MR.	SHAFTEL: Objection to form.	10:41					
21		A. That, to me, is the video, which is still digital						
22		fingerprint technology.						
23		Q. So the question is, did YouTube ever tell Premier						
24		League that the video fingerprinting technology would only						
25		he available to Premier League if Premier League licensed						

12/16/2009 Weingarten, Oliver

1		cont	ent to YouTube?	124-0003				
2		Α.	Yes.					
3	MR. SHAFTEL: OK.							
4		Q.	When was that said?					
5		Α.	Prior to this e-mail exc	hange, in a meeting.				
6		Q.	Do you remember specific	ally when that meeting				
7		occurred?						
8		Α.	I think it was about Feb	ruary 2007.				
9		Q.	And who said that to Pre	mier League?				
10		Α.	There are a number of re	presentatives from Google and	10:42			
11		YouTube at the meeting. I believe, from recollection, it						
12		may have been Patrick Walker.						
13		Q.	What exactly do you reca	ll Mr. Walker saying?				
14		Α.	I recollect him saying G	oogle/YouTube had technology				
15		avai	lable for those who had c	ommercial partnerships or				
16		commercial partnership with YouTube.						
17		Q.	And did you understand t	he technology that he was				
18		spea	aking about to be video fi	ngerprinting technology or				
19		some	e other technology?					
20	MR.	SHAFT	TEL: Objection.		10:43			
21		Α.	We understood it to be v	ideo fingerprint technology.				
22		Q.	Did you understand that	YouTube actually had video				
23		finq	gerprint technology availa	ble in February of 2007?				
24	MR.	SHAFT	TEL: Objection.					
25		Α.	We certainly asked the q	uestion and YouTube said that				