

To: "Matthew Liu" <matthewliu@google.com> 174 From: "Max Maxwell" <maxwell@google.com> 174 CC: "Thomas Williams" <thaw@google.com>, "Scott Bruce" <sbrucc@google.com>, "Dominic Mazzoni" <dmazzoni@google.com>, "Aaron Lec" <akylee@youtube.com>, "Nadine Harik" <nadineh@google.com> BCC: Sent Bate: 2007-07-23 20:14:48 GMT Subject: Be: Fat Cat

We tried to call in, but the participant number was invalid. Or 7/23/07, Matthew Liu <matthewlinggoogle.com> wrote; \sim > Hi Max, 1 > We are waiting on the conference line. Are you still able to join? I > didn't see your note about adding a Vc room in SMO unfortunately out the > dial in is there (we aren't in VC either). 🌫 Matt \geq > On 7/17/07, Max Maxwell <maxwell@google.com> wrote: > > > > Hi Matthew. > > >> Friday or Monday should be fine for a meeting, especially if it's > between 12 and 6. In addition to sbruce@ and dmazzoni@ above, you might add > > mote@ to this meeting. > > > > Some comments inline... > >> > On 7/15/07, Matthew Liu < matthewliu0google.com > wrote: > > >> > > Glad to meet you all. Thanks for the intro Thomas. >>>>> Here at YT we have a very high priority in monetizing YT search pages. > > > YT search is furdamentally different from Google.com, in that keywords >>> are not always the best proxy for what a user may be interested in (it's > > > less of a seek for information/products as a looser browse experience in > > > many cases). Throw in some additional business/legal policy, we decided to >>> foll forward with targeting search verticals instead of keywords. Basically, > > > we wanted to have a keyword-to-vertical mapping system to bucket search > >> queries into marketable categories that advertisers can purchase (also note, \gg >> this is not a bid model yet but a direct sales one). >>>> > > To create a homegrown classification system would obviously take a lot > > > of work. In the interest of speedy time to market we have instead borrowed >>> the CAT2 vertical classification system (which I believe originates from SMC > > > and originally was designed for identifying the "type" of vertical a >> > publisher site was by looking at keywords). This has already been built into

Highly Confidential

 \geq

```
> > > our search results and we will shortly begin monetizing on these
verticals
                                                                  174-0002
> > > of content.
> >
> >
> This sounds very close to our existing USO ads product. "vertical
> > targeting", which allows advertisers to select from 750 verticals, and
> > compete in the auction for placements where the page is detected to fall
> > within that vertical category.
> >
> > Vertical classification wasn't built explicitly for keyword
>> classification, though several teams use it to that end. Most prominent
> > vertical classification applications are optimized for page or website
> > classification.
> >
> > Now that we have more or less completed a working prototype that can
>>> kickstart our monstization efforts, I wanted to dive down deeper. I
wanted
> > > to learn more about the classifiers work that is being done to really
>>> understand what the underlying paradicms are.
> >
> >
> > Vertical classification piggybacks on Rephil. Are you familiar with
> > Rephil?
> >
> > You might also want to consult our documentation in advance of a
> > meeting:
> >
> >
>>
http://wiki.corp.google.com/twiki/bin/view/Main/CatTeam#Use Verticals for Your
Prohect
> > https://www.corp.coogle.com/eng/designdocs/content-ads/vertical-
categorization.html
> >
> >
>> Further, I would like to explore how the library (rather, a copy of the
> >> library) may possibly be tweaked for YT by learning off of YT historical
>>> data. For example, the majority of our queries are less geared to
products (
> > > e.g. terms such as 'panda' trigger software on google.com as the
> > highest probability match but we would want to match it to the animals
> > > vertical on YT).
3 3
> >
>> I should counsel that this may be more difficult than you anticipate,
> > depending on what you mean by "library" and "tweak". Since our
> > classification piceybacks on Rephil, yes may consider training a custom
> > Rephil model based on Youtube search sessions and user-contributed tags.
Ma
> > have scripts that can help you migrate some of our vertical labels to a
> > custom Rephil model.
> >
> > Max
\gg >
> > If it makes sense, somewhere in the far future, YT will probably want a
> > > completely separate classification mapping so that our targeting against
> > queries is most relevant. Any information that you can share about your
team
> > > would be great. At some point, I'd like to chat on the phone or pay a
```

```
visit
> > > to SMO with some of our engineers (CC'ed here).
                                                                  174-0003
> > >
> > > Thanks. Looking forward to learning more.
> > >
> > > > Matt
ション
> > >
> > >
>>>
> > > On 7/10/07, Thomas Williams < thaw@google.com > wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Hey everyone meet Matthew fiu a YouTube PM (on the montezation side
> > > > I believe?)
>>>> He didn't see Scott's (very casual no-slides) presentation, so I
> > > > thought I'd introduce you folks via email.
                                                    Matthew, other than
suggesting
>>>> you start at the P page or wiki, is there a specific question or area
> > > > related to our Classifiers that the team could help you with?
> > > >
\gg > > > Cheers,
$ 5 5 5
> > > > Thaw
>>>>>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > On 7/9/07, Matthew Liu <matthewliu@google.com > wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hi Thomas,
> > > > > >
> > > > > Unfortunately I had a conflict on Friday and was unable to call in
> > > > > to the Fat Cat demo. Can you provide me with any slides and contact
>>>>> information for that team so I can follow up and begin learning more
about
> > > > > their great work? Thanks in advance.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Matt.
> > > > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
3 3
>
```