## 8/28/2008 Maxcy, Chris

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
                                                             ទើម
ទើម
ទុ 91
ទុ
2
      VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY )
3
      PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC
      TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT
                                           )
4
      PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK
      ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,
5
                        Plaintiffs,
6
      VS.
                                            NO. 07-CV-2203
7
      YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,
      and GOOGLE, INC.,
8
9
                        Defendants.
10
      THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER
      LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
11
      on behalf of themselves and all
12
      others similarly situated,
13
                        Plaintiffs,
                                           ) NO. 07-CV-3582
      VS.
14
      YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and
15
      GOOGLE, INC.,
16
                        Defendants.
17
18
                VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CHRIS MAXCY
                      SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
19
                     THURSDAY, AUGUST 28, 2008
20
      BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CSR, RPR, CLR
21
      CSR LICENSE NO. 9830
      JOB NO. 15485
22
23
24
25
```

## 8/28/2008 Maxcy, Chris

1		MAXCY	91-0002	
2	А	Yes.		
3	Q	Do you do you remember whether there was	S	
4	one '	was there a phone conversation?		
5	А	I believe there was a phone conversation, k	out	
6	my memo	ery fails me as to who and when.		
7	Q	Do you remember whether YouTube provided ar	ny	
8	informa	tion or material to Cherry Lane concerning		
9	partner	ship opportunities?		
10	А	What kind of material?		
11	Q	At any you testified that there was		
12	that you recall a conversation.			
13	А	Yes.		
14	Q	I take it a telephone conversation with		
15	either Mr. Hauprich or Mr. Jacobson.			
16	А	Yes.		
17	Q	You don't remember when when it was?		
18	А	No.		
19	Q	And do you remember anything about the		
20	substan	substance?		
21	А	I recall having a conversation and explaini	ing	
22	that we	were working with major record labels, and		
23	that we	were trying to work with those labels to cl	lear	
24	synchro	nization rights for our music and that we we	ere	
25	trying	to rely on the record labels to clear the		

## 8/28/2008 Maxcy, Chris

1	MAXCY 91-0003		
2	synchronization with the publishers, but what we were		
3	running into was two issues.		
4	One was incomplete data and, two, historical		
5	acrimony between music publishers and record labels,		
6	and so it was very difficult to identify who the		
7	publishers were to even work with them, but the		
8	premise of the conversation was that we were relying		
9	on the record labels to clear the synchronization		
10	rights that we needed for publishers.		
11	Q So if I'm understanding, Cherry Lane, as you		
12	understand it, is a music publisher?		
13	A As far as I know, yes.		
14	Q As distinct from a record label?		
15	A That's correct		
16	Q And briefly		
17	A as I recall.		
18	Q briefly, generally, how are you		
19	distinguishing between the two so we can have it on		
20	the record?		
21	A I understand a record label produces or owns		
22	rights in a sound recording, and a music publisher		
23	would typically own rights in composition.		
24	Q And was it when you spoke with Mr.		
25	Hauprich or Mr. Jacobson, was it YouTube's business		