# RUBIN DECLARATION EXHIBITS CONTINUED

# **Rubin Exhibit 121**

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC.,

COMEDY PARTNERS,

COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC.,

PARAMOUNT PICTURES

COPRORATION,

and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT

TELEVISION LLC,

Plaintiffs,

V.

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and
GOOGLE INC.,

Defendants.

Defendants.

# PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO YOUTUBE'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC. ET AL.

Plaintiffs Viacom International Inc., Comedy Partners, Country Music Television, Inc., Paramount Pictures Corporation, and Black Entertainment Television, LLC, by their attorneys Jenner & Block LLP and Shearman & Sterling LLP, hereby object to and respond to YouTube's Third Set of Interrogatories to Viacom International, Inc. (Defendants' Third Set of Interrogatories) as follows:

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

Plaintiffs make the following objections to specific Interrogatories by, among other things, incorporating by reference the following general objections ("General Objections"):

- 1. Plaintiffs object to the Third Set of Interrogatories in their entirety as exceeding the scope permissible under Local Rule 33.3(b), which states that interrogatories other than those seeking names of witnesses with relevant knowledge or information only if ordered by the court or if interrogatories are "a more practical method of obtaining the information sought than a request for production or a deposition." Defendants' interrogatory requests are unduly burdensome and duplicative of their document requests.
- 2. Plaintiffs object to the Third Set of Interrogatories in their entirety as exceeding, with subparts, the limit of twenty-five Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order entered on August 9, 2007. Plaintiffs have already identified over 60,000 video clips that infringe its copyrights. Interrogatories 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, and 21 purport to request specific information about each of those thousands of infringing clips on a clip-by-clip basis; Interrogatory 25 seeks detailed information about each of Defendants' 213 Requests for Admission. Defendants' interrogatories thus impermissibly exceed the twenty-five interrogatory limit.
- 3. Plaintiffs object to Defendants' definition of "Viacom," which includes entities listed in Paragraph 3 of the Definitions. Paragraph 3 makes up a voluminous, globe-spanning listing of Plaintiffs' partners, affiliates, and subsidiaries. Defendants' inclusion of these entities is vexatious and improper. Plaintiffs further object to Defendants' definition of "Viacom" to the extent that it includes Plaintiffs' outside counsel, because searching for responsive information in the possession of Plaintiffs' outside counsel would be unduly burdensome.

Plaintiffs further object to Defendants' inclusion of Viacom's "agents," "representatives," "any other person acting or purporting to act on [Viacom's] behalf," or

"any other person otherwise subject to its control" in their definition of Viacom because those terms and/or phrases are overbroad and vague.

- 4. Plaintiffs object to Defendants' Third Set of Interrogatories to the extent that they seek to impose on Plaintiffs obligations or requirements beyond those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York.
- 5. Plaintiffs object to Defendants' Third Set of Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information or request documents that are known to Defendants, are a matter of public record, or otherwise publicly available.
- 6. In objecting to Defendants' Third Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs do not in any way waive or intend to waive but, rather, intend to preserve and are preserving:
  - a. all objections as to competency, relevancy, materiality, privilege and admissibility of evidence for any purpose of any information or document, or the subject matter thereof, in the trial of this or any other action or subsequent proceedings;
  - b. the right to object to the use of any information or document, or the subject matter thereof, in the trial of this or any other action or subsequent proceedings;
  - c. the right to elicit appropriate evidence, beyond the responses themselves, regarding the subjects referred to in or in response to any request;
  - d. the right to preserve the confidential or proprietary nature of any information or document, or the subject matter thereof, by mutual agreement or otherwise, as a condition of production; *and*
  - e. the right at any time to correct, supplement, or clarify any of the objections.

7. Plaintiffs' objections to Defendants' Third Set of Interrogatories shall not constitute an admission of any statement or conclusion implied in any of Defendants' Interrogatories.

#### RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO SPECIFIC INTERROGATORIES

Subject to and without waiving any of the foregoing General Objections, which apply to each Interrogatory as if set forth fully below, Plaintiffs make the following specific responses and objections:

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

For each instance in which You contend that Viacom requested access to use a YouTube Copyright Protection Service, but was denied such access, identify the Viacom entity that requested access, the date of the request, the name(s) of the specific YouTube Copyright Protection Service for which access was requested, and the production number (Bates number) of each document reflecting or evidencing the request and denial.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 11:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory exceeds the scope permissible under Local Rule 33.3(b) because it is not "a more practical method of obtaining the information sought than a request for production or a deposition." Plaintiffs also object that this Interrogatory is overbroad, oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks highly detailed information. Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the litigation and that is properly

subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory because it seeks information produced in discovery. Such information is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants — indeed, Defendants should know when they denied Viacom access to YouTube Copyright Protection Services without having to ask Viacom — and it is unduly burdensome to require Plaintiffs to review documents and information to identify particular information for Defendants.

Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs provide the following examples of instances where Defendants denied Viacom access to YouTube Copyright Protection Services. Although these examples are not necessarily an exhaustive list of every instance in which Viacom requested but was denied access to a YouTube Copyright Protection Service, they illustrate the point.

On February 2, 2007, Viacom General Counsel Michael D. Fricklas sent a letter to David Drummond and Kent Walker requesting that Defendants take a number of measures to prevent rampant copyright infringement on the site and specifically stated that Viacom was "interested in working with [Defendants]" as Defendants began to use Audible Magic. (VIA01475465–VIA01475476.) On February 16, 2007, Mr. Walker refused on behalf of Defendants to allow the use of Audible Magic to protect Viacom's copyrights (VIA01974134–VIA01974136).

In addition, Dean Garfield testified that YouTube generally refused to provide its copyright protection services to companies without partnership agreements: "[I]t became clear that Google/YouTube was willing to filter for those who had a licensing

relationship with Google/YouTube and not for those who did not." (D. Garfield Tr. Nov. 2, 2009 at 55:10-13.)

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

Describe each policy adopted by a Viacom UGC Site addressing termination of the accounts of users who are "repeat infringers" (as that phrase is used in 17 U.S.C. § 512(i)), identifying in the description the Viacom UGC Site that adopted the policy, the dates during which the policy was in effect, and the number of users terminated pursuant to the policy.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 12:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory exceeds the scope permissible under Local Rule 33.3(b) because it is not "a more practical method of obtaining the information sought than a request for production or a deposition." Plaintiffs also object that this Interrogatory is overbroad, oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks highly detailed information. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory because it seeks information contained in documents produced in discovery. Such information is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants, and it is unduly burdensome to require Plaintiffs to review the documents to identify particular information for Defendants.

Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs have produced numerous Terms of Use documents in discovery. *See* Attachment A, Documents Responsive to Interrogatory 12. Those documents contain information responsive to this Interrogatory.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 13:**

If You still contend, as alleged, that "YouTube prevents copyright owners from finding on the YouTube site all of the infringing works from which YouTube profits," identify each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 13:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, an interrogatory that seeks identification of each fact supporting a contention is improper, abusive and goes beyond the scope permitted by the Rules. It is oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to ask Plaintiffs to parse the millions of documents and hundreds of depositions in this case to specify each fact, each document and each piece of testimony supporting Plaintiffs' claims, especially where that information is equally available to Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and Defendants. inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the litigation and that is properly subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: numerous documents produced by the parties in this action, numerous facts contained therein, and numerous pieces of testimony elicited during discovery support the quoted contention, and all of this evidence is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants. Based on the foregoing objections, no further response is required.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 14:**

If You assert a claim of copyright infringement for any Accused Clip, that you contend does not arise "by reason of the storage at the direction of a user" (as that phrase is used in 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)), identify separately for each such Accused Clip each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 14:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, an interrogatory that seeks identification of each fact supporting a contention is improper, abusive and goes beyond the scope permitted by the Rules. It is oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to ask Plaintiffs to parse the millions of documents and hundreds of depositions in this case to specify each fact, each document and each piece of testimony supporting Plaintiffs' claims, especially where that information is equally available to Defendants. Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the litigation and that is properly

subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine. Finally, Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as complex and compound and as exceeding the limit of 25 Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order in that it seeks separate and detailed information about each of the over 60,000 Accused Clips and thereby effectively seeks thousands of separate responses. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: numerous documents produced by the parties in this action, numerous facts contained therein, and numerous pieces of testimony elicited during discovery support the quoted contention, and all of this evidence is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants. Based on the foregoing objections, no further response is required.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 15:**

For each Accused Clip, if You contend that, before YouTube received a DMCA Takedown Notice for the Accused Clip, YouTube had "actual knowledge" (as that phrase is used in 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(1)) that the Accused Clip infringed Your copyright or that YouTube was "aware of facts or circumstances from which infringing activity [was] apparent" as (as that phrase is used in 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(1)), identify separately for each such Accused Clip each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 15:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, an interrogatory that seeks identification of each fact supporting a contention is improper, abusive and goes beyond the scope permitted by the Rules. It is oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to ask Plaintiffs to parse the millions of documents and hundreds of depositions in this case to specify each fact, each document and each piece of testimony supporting Plaintiffs' claims, especially where that information is equally available to Defendants. Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the litigation and that is properly subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine. Finally, Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as complex and compound and as exceeding the limit of 25 Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order in that it seeks separate and detailed information about each of the over 60,000 Accused Clips and thereby effectively seeks thousands of separate responses. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: numerous documents produced by the parties in this action, numerous facts contained therein, and numerous pieces of testimony elicited during discovery support the quoted contention,

and all of this evidence is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants. Based on the foregoing objections, no further response is required.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 16:**

For each Accused Clip, if You contend that YouTube failed to act "expeditiously to remove, or disable access to," (as that phrase is used in 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(1)) the Accused Clip after receiving actual knowledge or becoming aware of facts or circumstances from which it was apparent that the Accused Clip infringed a Work In Suit, or after receiving a DMCA Takedown Notice relating to the Accused Clip, identify separately for each such Accused Clip each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 16:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, an interrogatory that seeks identification of each fact supporting a contention is improper, abusive and goes beyond the scope permitted by the Rules. It is oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to ask Plaintiffs to parse the millions of documents and hundreds of depositions in this case to specify each fact, each document and each piece of testimony supporting Plaintiffs' claims, especially where that information is equally available to Defendants. Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the litigation and that is properly

subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine. Finally, Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as complex and compound and as exceeding the limit of 25 Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order in that it seeks separate and detailed information about each of the over 60,000 Accused Clips and thereby effectively seeks thousands of separate responses. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: numerous documents produced by the parties in this action, numerous facts contained therein, and numerous pieces of testimony elicited during discovery support the quoted contention, and all of this evidence is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants. Based on the foregoing objections, no further response is required.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 17:**

For each Accused Clip, if You contend that YouTube received a "financial benefit directly attributable to" (as that phrase is used in 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(1)(B)) the Accused Clip, identify separately for each such Accused Clip the amount of the financial benefit, and each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 17:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, an interrogatory

that seeks identification of each fact supporting a contention is improper, abusive and goes beyond the scope permitted by the Rules. It is oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to ask Plaintiffs to parse the millions of documents and hundreds of depositions in this case to specify each fact, each document and each piece of testimony supporting Plaintiffs' claims, especially where that information is equally available to Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and Defendants. inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the litigation and that is properly subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine. Finally, Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as complex and compound and as exceeding the limit of 25 Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order in that it seeks separate and detailed information about each of the over 60,000 Accused Clips and thereby effectively seeks thousands of separate responses. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: numerous documents produced by the parties in this action, numerous facts contained therein, and numerous pieces of testimony elicited during discovery support the quoted contention, and all of this evidence is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants. Based on the foregoing objections, no further response is required.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 18:**

For each Accused Clip, if You contend that YouTube had "the right and ability to control" (as that phrase is used in 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(1)(B)) the allegedly

infringing activity with respect to that Accused Clip, identify separately for each such Accused Clip each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 18:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, an interrogatory that seeks identification of each fact supporting a contention is improper, abusive and goes beyond the scope permitted by the Rules. It is oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to ask Plaintiffs to parse the millions of documents and hundreds of depositions in this case to specify each fact, each document and each piece of testimony supporting Plaintiffs' claims, especially where that information is equally available to Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and Defendants. inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the litigation and that is properly subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine. Finally, Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as complex and compound and as exceeding the limit of 25 Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order in that it seeks separate and detailed information about each of the over 60,000 Accused Clips and thereby effectively seeks thousands of separate responses. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: numerous documents produced by the parties in this action, numerous facts contained therein, and numerous pieces of testimony elicited during discovery support the quoted contention, and all of this evidence is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants. Based on the foregoing objections, no further response is required.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 19:**

For each Accused Clip, if You contend that the Accused Clip was uploaded to the YouTube website by a user who had no authorization, right, or license to do so, identify separately for each such Accused Clip each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 19:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, an interrogatory that seeks identification of each fact supporting a contention is improper, abusive and goes beyond the scope permitted by the Rules. It is oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to ask Plaintiffs to parse the millions of documents and hundreds of depositions in this case to specify each fact, each document and each piece of testimony supporting Plaintiffs' claims, especially where that information is equally available to Defendants. Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the litigation and that is properly

subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine. Finally, Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as complex and compound and as exceeding the limit of 25 Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order in that it seeks separate and detailed information about each of the over 60,000 Accused Clips and thereby effectively seeks thousands of separate responses. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: numerous documents produced by the parties in this action, numerous facts contained therein, and numerous pieces of testimony elicited during discovery support the quoted contention, and all of this evidence is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants. Based on the foregoing objections, no further response is required.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 20:**

For each Accused Clip, if You still contend, as alleged, that YouTube "enable[d], induce[d], facilitate[d], and materially contribute[d] to each act of infringement by YouTube users" with respect to the Accused Clip, identify separately for each such Accused Clip each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 20:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, an interrogatory

that seeks identification of each fact supporting a contention is improper, abusive and goes beyond the scope permitted by the Rules. It is oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to ask Plaintiffs to parse the millions of documents and hundreds of depositions in this case to specify each fact, each document and each piece of testimony supporting Plaintiffs' claims, especially where that information is equally available to Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and Defendants. inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the litigation and that is properly subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine. Finally, Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as complex and compound and as exceeding the limit of 25 Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order in that it seeks separate and detailed information about each of the over 60,000 Accused Clips and thereby effectively seeks thousands of separate responses. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: numerous documents produced by the parties in this action, numerous facts contained therein, and numerous pieces of testimony elicited during discovery support the quoted contention, and all of this evidence is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants. Based on the foregoing objections, no further response is required.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 21:**

For each Accused Clip, if You still contend, as alleged, that YouTube's actions were "willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and indifferent to

[Your] rights" with respect to infringing activity associated with the Accused Clip, identify separately for each such Accused Clip each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 21:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, an interrogatory that seeks identification of each fact supporting a contention is improper, abusive and goes beyond the scope permitted by the Rules. It is oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to ask Plaintiffs to parse the millions of documents and hundreds of depositions in this case to specify each fact, each document and each piece of testimony supporting Plaintiffs' claims, especially where that information is equally available to Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and Defendants. inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the litigation and that is properly subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine. Finally, Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as complex and compound and as exceeding the limit of 25 Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order in that it seeks separate and detailed information about each of the over 60,000 Accused Clips and thereby effectively seeks thousands of separate responses. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: numerous documents produced by the parties in this action, numerous facts contained therein, and numerous pieces of testimony elicited during discovery support the quoted contention, and all of this evidence is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants. Based on the foregoing objections, no further response is required.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 22:**

If you still contend, as alleged, that You have incurred actual damages directly caused by YouTube, identify the specific total amount of actual damages that You have incurred, describe in detail the legal theory upon which You would seek to recover these actual damages and each calculation You used to calculate these actual damages, and identify each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 22:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. Further, Plaintiffs object that the determination, specification, and quantification of actual damages are matters properly subject to expert analysis and discovery in this case. This Interrogatory is thus premature and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case in that it seeks information that is properly subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs have incurred significant actual damages due to Defendants' unauthorized infringement, including, without limitation, lost licensing revenues payable by Defendants and lost advertising, syndication, and other distribution revenues payable by third parties.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 23:**

Identify each Work In Suit uploaded in whole or in part to the YouTube website by Viacom or with Viacom's authorization and the date of each such authorized upload.

#### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 23:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, Plaintiffs object to Defendants' definition of "Works in Suit" as encompassing "all works ... as to which [Plaintiffs] have asserted claims of copyright infringement, at any time, in this action" and as encompassing portions of works as to which Plaintiffs have not asserted claims of copyright infringement in this action. This definition is facially overbroad and purports to cover content that is irrelevant to this copyright infringement action. Plaintiffs accordingly shall construe "Works in Suit" to encompass solely those clips listed on the Amended Production of Works in Suit produced to Defendants on October 15, 2009. Subject to that definition and subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows:

Plaintiffs uploaded none of the Works in Suit, and Plaintiffs authorized the upload of none of the Works in Suit.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 24:**

Identify each Work In Suit that Viacom has provided as a reference file to any third party for purposes of creating a digital fingerprint of the work to identify copies of the work on the Internet, the third parties to whom each reference file was provided, and the dates on which it was provided to those third parties.

### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 24:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is overbroad, oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks highly detailed information. Plaintiffs also object that this Interrogatory is unduly burdensome insofar as it seeks information from time periods for which such records are not reasonably available to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs further object to this interrogatory insofar as it calls for Plaintiffs to identify works supplied to Auditude and to YouTube as reference files for fingerprinting purposes. Defendants have already sought and obtained such records from Auditude in this litigation, and information concerning works Plaintiffs have supplied to YouTube is equally in Defendants' own possession. Plaintiffs further object to Defendants' definition of "Works in Suit" as encompassing "all works ... as to which [Plaintiffs] have asserted claims of copyright infringement, at any time, in this action" and as encompassing portions of works as to which Plaintiffs have not asserted claims of copyright infringement in this action. This definition is facially overbroad and purports to cover content that is irrelevant to this copyright infringement action. Plaintiffs accordingly shall construe "Works in Suit" to encompass solely those clips listed on the Amended Production of Works in Suit produced to Defendants on October 15, 2009. Subject to that definition and subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, and based upon information available to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs respond as follows:

Plaintiffs' current records of works Plaintiffs have provided to Audible Magic, Auditude, BayTSP, and YouTube for purposes of creating a digital fingerprint of the work to identify copies of the work on the Internet, and the dates on which they were provided to Audible Magic, Auditude, BayTSP, and YouTube, are reflected within the document attached hereto as Attachment B. The document attached hereto as Attachment C also lists the same information for additional works provided to Audible Magic and Auditude as DVDs and not reflected in Attachment B. Plaintiffs object that it would be unduly burdensome to require Plaintiffs to search these exhibits for the "Works in Suit," as such information is equally available to Defendants from the documents. The document attached hereto as Attachment D lists additional Works in Suit that Plaintiff Paramount supplied, as DVDs, to BayTSP on December 29, 2006 and to Audible Magic on May 8, 2007.

In addition to the works listed on the aforementioned exhibits, Plaintiffs have also directly generated fingerprints of their works and supplied those fingerprints to Vobile, YouTube, BayTSP, and Audible Magic for purposes of identifying copies of those works on the Internet. Plaintiffs have also provided Auditude and Audible Magic with MRSS feeds directing them to content available on Plaintiffs' websites so that Auditude and

Audible Magic can generate fingerprints thereof. Plaintiffs are not providing information concerning such in-house fingerprinting, or such MRSS feeds, in response to this Interrogatory, as the Interrogatory requests identification only of instances in which Plaintiffs have provided a "Work In Suit . . . as a reference file" and not identification of instances in which Plaintiffs have used alternative means for providing third-party vendors with fingerprints of Plaintiffs' works.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 25:**

If any of Your responses to a request for admission in this action is not an unqualified admission, identify the request for admission and each fact, each document, and each portion of a witness' testimony that supports this contention.

### Response and Objections to Interrogatory No. 25:

Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections, and object further that this Interrogatory is improper, overly broad, unduly burdensome, unreasonably cumulative, abusive, and exceeds the scope of permissible discovery under Local Civil Rule 33.3 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33. In particular, an interrogatory that seeks identification of each fact supporting a contention is improper, abusive and goes beyond the scope permitted by the Rules. It is oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome to ask Plaintiffs to parse the millions of documents and hundreds of depositions in this case to specify each fact, each document and each piece of testimony supporting Plaintiffs' responses to Defendants' requests for admission, especially where that information is equally available to Defendants. Further, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory is premature and inconsistent with the Scheduling Order governing this case to the extent that it seeks information that will be identified at a later stage of the

litigation and that is properly subject to disclosure only when expert reports are exchanged or when a pretrial order is entered. In addition, Plaintiffs object that this Interrogatory attempts to elicit trial or litigation strategy or information otherwise protected by the attorney work product doctrine. Finally, Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as complex and compound and as exceeding the limit of 25 Interrogatories under the Court's Scheduling Order in that it seeks separate and detailed information about each of Plaintiffs' responses to Defendants' 213 Requests for Admission and thereby effectively seeks hundreds of separate responses. Subject to and without waiver of these general and specific objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: numerous documents produced by the parties in this action, numerous facts contained therein, and numerous pieces of testimony elicited during discovery support Plaintiffs' responses to Defendants' 213 Requests for Admission, and all of this evidence is already equally and fully accessible to Defendants. Based on the foregoing objections, no further response is required.

Susan J. Kohlmann (SK-1855)

Susan J. Kohlmann (SK-1855 JENNER & BLOCK LLP

919 Third Avenue

37th Floor

New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 891-1600 Facsimile: (212) 891-1699

William M. Hohengarten (WH-5233)

Michael B. DeSanctis (MD-5737)

Scott B. Wilkens (pro hac vice)

#### JENNER & BLOCK LLP

1099 New York Avenue, N.W.

Suite 900

Washington, DC 20001

Telephone: (202) 639-6000

Facsimile: (202) 639-6066

Stuart J. Baskin (SB-9936)

Stephen Fishbein (SF-3410)

John Gueli (JG-8427)

#### **SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP**

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 848-4000

Facsimile: (212) 848-7179

# VERIFICATION FOR PLAINTIFFS VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., ET AL.

Information in Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Third Set of Interrogatories was provided by me and/or gathered at my direction from corporate records and personnel. I have reviewed the responses. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing responses as to Plaintiffs Viacom International Inc. et al. are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, based on my review of such information.

Executed on January 8, 2010, in New York, New York.

Signature:

Name:

Stanley Pierre-Louis

Title:

Vice President & Associate General Counsel

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on this Atlandary, 2010, on Defendants' counsel by electronic mail pursuant to an agreement of the parties under Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D).

James C. Cox

# **Rubin Exhibit 122**

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| )                                    |
|--------------------------------------|
| )                                    |
| )                                    |
| ) Case No. 1:07-CV-02103-LLS         |
| (Related Case No. 1:07-CV-03582-LLS) |
| )                                    |
| ) NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF             |
| ) SPECIFIED CLIPS WITH               |
| PREJUDICE                            |
| )                                    |
| )                                    |
| )<br>)                               |
| ,<br>)<br>)                          |
|                                      |

Pursuant to the Court's Order of December 18, 2009, which provides that "Plaintiffs may withdraw 'accused clips' by notice of their dismissal with prejudice under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2), which I will 'So Order,'" and which further provides that "Partial judgment in defendants' favor on those claims will not be entered, lest it give an appearance of having an effect beyond that accorded by Rule 54(b)," the plaintiffs in the above-captioned action ("Viacom") hereby provide notice of the dismissal with prejudice under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2) of the video clips listed on the attached Schedules A and B. Viacom respectfully requests that the Court "So Order" this notice of dismissal.

Schedule A lists the 241 video clips that Viacom had previously removed from its October 15, 2009 amended works in suit list, and lists six additional clips that were inadvertently included in the amended works in suit list but should have been removed. Schedule B lists 187 additional clips from four works as to which Viacom owns certain exclusive rights under copyright. Viacom did not upload or authorize the upload to YouTube of the clips listed in Schedule B, but in order to streamline the issues in this

case Viacom voluntarily withdraws its copyright infringement claims with respect to these clips. Viacom continues to pursue its infringement claims as to more than 63,000 infringing clips.

February 76, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Susan J. Kohlmann (SK-1855)

Jenner & Block LLP 919 Third Avenue

37th Floor

New York, NY 10022-3908

(212) 891-1600 (t)

(212) 891-1699 (f)

skohlmann@jenner.com

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Louis L. Stanton, U.S.D.J.

| YouTube URL                                | Video ID    |
|--|-------------|
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_4J1nPR9obI | 4J1nPR9obI  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= KDSYA5bEMc | KDSYA5bEMc  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_sTgT76i3vc | sTgT76i3vc  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= yr3Fu LRE4 | yr3Fu LRE4  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0mZ8VNkSPaU | 0mZ8VNkSPaU |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0ZO5f4q8b-g | 0ZO5f4q8b-g |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1168T5BsmVY | 1168T5BsmVY |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=17kAJR7YbDE | 17kAJR7YbDE |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1dWtA-nK-sQ | 1dWtA-nK-sQ |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1LOjvymWwvI | 1LOjvymWwvI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=29le85Vp8vI | 29le85Vp8vI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2TncoW-J6wA | 2TncoW-J6wA |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3US2k6mTtEw | 3US2k6mTtEw |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3wo2FcjFP98 | 3wo2FcjFP98 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4cn9XRW_qMQ | 4cn9XRW qMQ |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4nLoXLBwZv0 | 4nLoXLBwZv0 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5Esm9Mlt5Xo | 5Esm9Mlt5Xo |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5gbI2_Kocug | 5gbI2_Kocug |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5Hd_JzIl1MA | 5Hd JzIl1MA |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5kWtyVo-8k0 | 5kWtyVo-8k0 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5-tUPWwEMdk | 5-tUPWwEMdk |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5tvtDQVpq_o | 5tvtDQVpq_o |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6_SaSuqfGB4 | 6_SaSuqfGB4 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=68kDGadsbvQ | 68kDGadsbvQ |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6WhsXvOe2IU | 6WhsXvOe2IU |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6xFe570faSI | 6xFe570faSI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=73mubsIY4BA | 73mubsIY4BA |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=744Gh8MbTWg | 744Gh8MbTWg |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7aGjJBalKgs | 7aGjJBalKgs |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=83KsT9D_6aI | 83KsT9D 6aI |
|  | 88XvlfKnGwI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=88XvlfKnGwI | 8AYnfxZ BXI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8AYnfxZ_BXI |             |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8v8vhNKIAZ4 | 8v8vhNKIAZ4 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-9_Nrpc8noE | -9_Nrpc8noE |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9A-rgw-xkjg | 9A-rgw-xkjg |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9UClweyrV_A | 9UClweyrV_A |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a_kdq0V9G3Y | a_kdq0V9G3Y |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AfiV-gllgQQ | AfiV-gllgQQ |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AgGf_xso0HI | AgGf_xso0HI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aITz05jvTlk | aITz05jvTlk |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AjG9o33dQRQ | AjG9o33dQRQ |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ALH9IfsORXo | ALH9IfsORXo |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aUonqu5RIcM | aUonqu5RIcM |

| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aWt-fduKFmo | aWt-fduKFmo      |
|--|------------------|
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B64MeRiGDYo | B64MeRiGDYo      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b8kFrT6Ni08 | b8kFrT6Ni08      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BbWi RN9ou8 | BbWi_RN9ou8      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bdRNAUTDBqY | bdRNAUTDBqY      |
|  |                  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BrCI7t5SU-s | BrCI7t5SU-s      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Bsq0CpNdwpM | Bsq0CpNdwpM      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C2kSoDWG404 | C2kSoDWG404      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C9h5js3n1sw | C9h5js3n1sw      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cjhmH21ed-c | cjhmH21ed-c      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cpC6E1yLTx8 | cpC6E1yLTx8      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-cQQVfrF8Zg | -cQQVfrF8Zg      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cR5BCbGyTkc | cR5BCbGyTkc      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CSs79sYQ1_o | CSs79sYQ1_o      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cuDlQ_dIsyA | cuDlQ_dIsyA      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CxVxzXCbeOw | CxVxzXCbeOw      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=czg16nOL_Jc | czg16nOL_Jc      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DkXAfEiZCs0 | DkXAfEiZCs0      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dMNgKJsmHwo | dMNgKJsmHwo      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DUTtBxd2KPQ | DUTtBxd2KPQ      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eGXV-oXzzUE | eGXV-oXzzUE      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eijhloJjg50 | eijhloJjg50      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eRR_IDApRQs | eRR_IDApRQs      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eV9Z-W1jqvg | eV9Z-W1jqvg      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f5CpR7yR8iQ | f5CpR7yR8iQ      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F8VPEIt2zTM | F8VPEIt2zTM      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fAQw55ddPfA | fAQw55ddPfA      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FDXmujT4MZE | FDXmujT4MZE      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fNU05tW62M8 | fNU05tW62M8      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fPbDpAmxYRw | fPbDpAmxYRw      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F-vuYx6d1XM | F-vuYx6d1XM      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g0nOEudbKOQ | g0nOEudbKOQ      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G3mjcRVnJV4 | G3mjcRVnJV4      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g5ce_rOoGcc | g5ce_rOoGcc      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GgcxPrquS2k | GgcxPrquS2k      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gxjpdGjv59o | gxjpdGjv59o      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gy3TrIlnTvA | Gy3TrIlnTvA      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GYKER0CR0yk | GYKER0CR0yk      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H0AQehIKRB4 | H0AQehIKRB4      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H0ZLZTR-g5Y | H0ZLZTR-g5Y      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hAs5LPgqFSo | hAs5LPgqFSo      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hfPAw9MM69A | hfPAw9MM69A      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hgu1RM2vbVM | Hgu1RM2vbVM      |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hhXlVDxYzvg | hhXlVDxYzvg      |
| mup.//www.youtuoc.com/watch/v-imAtvDx1Zvg  | Imixi v DX i Zvg |

| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HM4b0wcMo_0 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hnKQ7xzDjQ4 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hSdMtP8qztA http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hZYpL6Vdz4k | HM4b0wcMo_0<br>hnKQ7xzDjQ4<br>hSdMtP8qztA |
|---|---|
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hSdMtP8qztA<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hZYpL6Vdz4k  |   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hZYpL6Vdz4k  | InSaMtPXazta                              |
| *   |   |
| https://www.rry.rry.rry.ha.a.aam/wwc.tala9  | hZYpL6Vdz4k                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i3YBKlAXvvk  | i3YBKlAXvvk                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I4pc-6V4IZc  | I4pc-6V4IZc                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i55f6qUSq4A  | i55f6qUSq4A                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=InVHaTyS6X0  | InVHaTyS6X0                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IZdKpTkQv8g  | IZdKpTkQv8g                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J_LMd1WMyk4  | J_LMd1WMyk4                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j4A-BqFSSL8  | j4A-BqFSSL8                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jD9iQbQBHiI  | jD9iQbQBHiI                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jP_AXwoCgws  | jP_AXwoCgws                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JZwFUe2aXLA  | JZwFUe2aXLA                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K4sS0wAlA  | K4sS0wAlA                                 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k6CSyIS5528  | k6CSyIS5528                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KcU0ye3nXtA  | KcU0ye3nXtA                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kg2WUirHOhw  | kg2WUirHOhw                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kgyL9-VnhoU  | kgyL9-VnhoU                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KhIPvn26b1A  | KhIPvn26b1A                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KiBDCZX7HQc  | KiBDCZX7HQc                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KNeaHNwwvvM  | KNeaHNwwvvM                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kpkmya7Mkzk  | kpkmya7Mkzk                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KrTB3848mgQ  | KrTB3848mgQ                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kvEeLZV1j-k  | kvEeLZV1j-k                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6a_iKo83RE  | L6a_iKo83RE                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L8GYvvm_3bE  | L8GYvvm 3bE                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L9h0BpdVMxA  | L9h0BpdVMxA                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Le52xv31TTM  | Le52xv31TTM                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LeSId8yY3Do  | LeSId8yY3Do                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=liblQ3NlAjE  | liblQ3NlAjE                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lirJJlViWsE  | lirJJlViWsE                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ln5zXFcssSc  | ln5zXFcssSc                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lvb3QDrHxRA  | lvb3QDrHxRA                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L-VLn6bEOvs  | L-VLn6bEOvs                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LYGpcUofXbk  | LYGpcUofXbk                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lz0JZvlMrOA  | lz0JZvlMrOA                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LzIoLR5i9uw  | LzIoLR5i9uw                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m_1OnAYAh18  | m lOnAYAh18                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=meXedwbvCh8  | meXedwbvCh8                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MGZbVuVW2wQ  | MGZbVuVW2wQ                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mJkGJQyDNQ0  | mJkGJQyDNQ0                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mk3uiuXo4dk  | mk3uiuXo4dk                               |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MMWoRIaFZM8  | MMWoRIaFZM8                               |

| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mOvZn9ebc8Q | mOvZn9ebc8Q |
|--|-------------|
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MSGNvmqcZK0 | MSGNvmqcZK0 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mTLMUWP13pE | mTLMUWP13pE |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MV9EB2EXGdk | MV9EB2EXGdk |
|  |             |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N0QCkXfxJs4 | N0QCkXfxJs4 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N-4MT9u6LUs | N-4MT9u6LUs |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N7Q-vFtW8Lk | N7Q-vFtW8Lk |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n8wDRoQkN1c | n8wDRoQkN1c |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N9aNvjuTIvY | N9aNvjuTIvY |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nCHY88De2A0 | nCHY88De2A0 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NdpArPebjFY | NdpArPebjFY |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NEB7-p0aq8M | NEB7-p0aq8M |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=neyj1SyVjBs | neyj1SyVjBs |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Nr8fA2kX44E | Nr8fA2kX44E |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NThBETSknVQ | NThBETSknVQ |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nyLj0T9EKAo | nyLj0T9EKAo |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nZ3SdIb5NDI | nZ3SdIb5NDI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o8pkZ38bLvU | o8pkZ38bLvU |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oCbmqSqoSQk | oCbmqSqoSQk |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oQUgal6CFSI | oQUgal6CFSI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OUWSSmNxArs | OUWSSmNxArs |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oXmMicS1oZk | oXmMicS1oZk |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p1i1wcUpTbU | p1i1wcUpTbU |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pa3J-L29iT8 | Pa3J-L29iT8 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=paveBpTiNqI | paveBpTiNqI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pBHnokTr1xg | pBHnokTr1xg |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pE2MiujT7Yg | pE2MiujT7Yg |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Phap3WkYOpc | Phap3WkYOpc |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pIGQYawzv9c | pIGQYawzv9c |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ppm3MIsqsK4 | Ppm3MIsqsK4 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PReDb3aDGDg | PReDb3aDGDg |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PuqX26-GCWY | PuqX26-GCWY |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pvz66FuaHso | Pvz66FuaHso |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pyP1JFa8bJc | pyP1JFa8bJc |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q z5Kzv8 A0 | Q z5Kzv8 A0 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q5nDYUqEKSA | q5nDYUqEKSA |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qFXAl0IQiM4 | qFXAl0IQiM4 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QrROfhjqpDs | QrROfhjqpDs |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q-VvGxYDGm0 | Q-VvGxYDGm0 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q=vvdX1DGmo | r_c6WIbOG2M |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r0WZATT9P9g | r0WZATT9P9g |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rDOB6g2-3FU |             |
|  | rDOB6g2-3FU |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=REQFHAKXrgw | REQFHAKXrgw |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rf3BHTB2RAY | rf3BHTB2RAY |

### Schedule A

| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RhNehWcBADg<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rig59Nf9qRw<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rkQ9C-9pWJg   |   |
|--|---|
|  | RhNehWcBADg   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rkQ9C-9pWJg   | rig59Nf9qRw   |
|  | rkQ9C-9pWJg   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RRrB_hitU-c   | RRrB_hitU-c   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s0e_IfSMtlI   | s0e_IfSMtlI   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S5pUWE1WGKw   | S5pUWE1WGKw   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s8VLwpyYtB0   | s8VLwpyYtB0   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=scK1yTVqE3Y   | scK1yTVqE3Y   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sIXfcdZbnUw   | sIXfcdZbnUw   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SVlIle_fwMg   | SVIIle_fwMg   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SwyufkyHfyU   | SwyufkyHfyU   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sxNuomEUGG0   | sxNuomEUGG0   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t2KaB5IW8XA   | t2KaB5IW8XA   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T3ysjszEu1s   | T3ysjszEu1s   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tbU_2WGlqkU   | tbU_2WGlqkU   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TZv0POyzkpc   | TZv0POyzkpc   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=udfXAGkZCp0   | udfXAGkZCp0   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UE8M1Pc8PIE   | UE8M1Pc8PIE   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uJg2geqHK5U   | uJg2geqHK5U   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uK8e9xY3eFM   | uK8e9xY3eFM   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uSd7BLvN9KQ   | uSd7BLvN9KQ   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=USds5DhScmg   | USds5DhScmg   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ux6aFYuTYNY   | Ux6aFYuTYNY   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UXmn2TS_ALQ   | UXmn2TS_ALQ   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UZir_FIgXQg   | UZir_FIgXQg   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v0uIAyq4p2o   | v0uIAyq4p2o   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v5XPki6Nj6k   | v5XPki6Nj6k   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vAGC2_ux-GE   | vAGC2_ux-GE   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VbDA1XS6M6A   | VbDA1XS6M6A   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VdFd278uM7U   | VdFd278uM7U   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vj9rdT-t8Lc   | Vj9rdT-t8Lc   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vjQbOSjMuAU   | vjQbOSjMuAU   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vlQhux5mXfY   | vlQhux5mXfY   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vNgoUewhYTM   | vNgoUewhYTM   |
|  | vPThn1deQTw   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPThn1deQTw   | 1   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPThn1deQTw<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrwtQRZcg6U   | vrwtQRZcg6U   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPThn1deQTw   | vrwtQRZcg6U<br>w-0x-Pwtbtw                                  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPThn1deQTw<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrwtQRZcg6U<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w-0x-Pwtbtw<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2CyxzhHgrw   |   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPThn1deQTw<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrwtQRZcg6U<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w-0x-Pwtbtw<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2CyxzhHgrw<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w4ONAjIFmJY   | w-0x-Pwtbtw   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPThn1deQTw<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrwtQRZcg6U<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w-0x-Pwtbtw<br>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2CyxzhHgrw   | w-0x-Pwtbtw<br>W2CyxzhHgrw                                  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPThn1deQTw http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrwtQRZcg6U http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w-0x-Pwtbtw http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2CyxzhHgrw http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w4ONAjIFmJY http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WBxZLCDm2uo http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wcHwE01xHNU | w-0x-Pwtbtw W2CyxzhHgrw w4ONAjIFmJY WBxZLCDm2uo wcHwE01xHNU |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPThn1deQTw http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrwtQRZcg6U http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w-0x-Pwtbtw http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2CyxzhHgrw http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w4ONAjIFmJY http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WBxZLCDm2uo  | w-0x-Pwtbtw W2CyxzhHgrw w4ONAjIFmJY WBxZLCDm2uo             |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPThn1deQTw http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrwtQRZcg6U http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w-0x-Pwtbtw http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2CyxzhHgrw http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w4ONAjIFmJY http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WBxZLCDm2uo http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wcHwE01xHNU | w-0x-Pwtbtw W2CyxzhHgrw w4ONAjIFmJY WBxZLCDm2uo wcHwE01xHNU |

### Schedule A

| WNFBtL2vGc4 |
|-------------|
| WPsZQRv5Ukc |
| Wqq-lfH3NNc |
| wxhRkff16ys |
| x1ycbHjePjM |
| X-8UmL4lpPI |
| xbrJOliv0qE |
| xHVqXaC-NIA |
| xiFajP-KVzE |
| xlvJ7C0x91U |
| xmHsafia5jE |
| Xo9TWFRIUN8 |
| xWCkluxpGW8 |
| YbCNhLX-mi8 |
| YJbL7euTy7s |
| yJ-LoP2jiLw |
| YS99-zJrGhU |
| ytFpClL6ydU |
| yVUAvM3fvXQ |
| Zk75nDsKjNw |
| ZpVZoLTAiKY |
| Zr5qTqgZubA |
|             |

| Clips Inadvertently Included on October 15, 2009  Amended Works In Suit List |             |  |
|--|-------------|--|
| YouTube URL  | Video ID    |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6w5MPpg1XpE                                   | 6w5MPpg1XpE |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8_Eaa7y_rq0                                   | 8_Eaa7y_rq0 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AEkerwX8IFo                                   | AEkerwX8IFo |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S-hSrAGA4Gg                                   | S-hSrAGA4Gg |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VQg9_eyp_AA                                   | VQg9_eyp_AA |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z3cRGYXyRnI                                   | z3cRGYXyRnI |  |

| ALFIE (2004)                               |             |  |
|--|-------------|--|
| YouTube URL                                | Video ID    |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BO5-4uPZXmw | BO5-4uPZXmw |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EX87URRiJdo | EX87URRiJdo |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hbh90s4tpBc | Hbh90s4tpBc |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ldJ2A8yZjQk | ldJ2A8yZjQk |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PxbBwmtiKCM | PxbBwmtiKCM |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xzdeeXtJ-GM | xzdeeXtJ-GM |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_xM1wE3j-U8 | _xM1wE3j-U8 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ejdmAR_pbe0 | ejdmAR_pbe0 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S0KIA79b9Zk | S0KIA79b9Zk |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T1ThKDNGafs | T1ThKDNGafs |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jEpinkgZ_Mo | jEpinkgZ_Mo |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vLuGhhHfndo | vLuGhhHfndo |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f6i35YX40MQ | f6i35YX40MQ |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pHMRBoG5O4s | pHMRBoG5O4s |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=urebQXHSmfQ | urebQXHSmfQ |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZapOB1fQStE | ZapOB1fQStE |  |

| APOCALYPSE NOW                             |             |  |
|--|-------------|--|
| YouTube URL                                | Video ID    |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I-1wmt_odDU | I-1wmt_odDU |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=itIDg6sXgbo | itIDg6sXgb0 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LDGHIt-AAJE | LDGHIt-AAJE |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LlI4Qs_k6p0 | LlI4Qs_k6p0 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mAZrXJSPqqw | mAZrXJSPqqw |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n3L2QbSa7T8 | n3L2QbSa7T8 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n7N46IENb_E | n7N46IENb_E |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nmfrNvbYlSo | nmfrNvbYlSo |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nUF2D_NjlqY | nUF2D_NjlqY |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=osSUIPPk5Mk | osSUIPPk5Mk |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pwqdBkaWsTA | pwqdBkaWsTA |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RwnBWSdZDzQ | RwnBWSdZDzQ |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UemtjPU5sFc | UemtjPU5sFc |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uxw0bTVZ9JU | uxw0bTVZ9JU |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v34d9kKnv_w | v34d9kKnv_w |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VDMtwSkg528 | VDMtwSkg528 |  |

| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vjNtGHDRspo | vjNtGHDRspo |
|--|-------------|
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-zkcNB0f5KI | -zkcNB0f5KI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TAbmUGy3i6I | TAbmUGy3i6I |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XjYhTnh6Zaw | XjYhTnh6Zaw |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XKcTSKQeuYc | XKcTSKQeuYc |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XOxVjtZujcU | XOxVjtZujcU |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z5eXFeW0aI0 | z5eXFeW0aI0 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zLRj5erjhP8 | zLRj5erjhP8 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pVi1je1tCZg | pViljeltCZg |

| The Spirit of Christmas (Jesus vs. Frosty) |             |  |
|--|-------------|--|
| YouTube URL                                | Video ID    |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3MY1-1pbc5A | 3MY1-1pbc5A |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BxWDPBIbABw | BxWDPBIbABw |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C8WIu4Lji9o | C8WIu4Lji9o |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EFhgW9LpSig | EFhgW9LpSig |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=grchratYFn0 | grchratYFn0 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JSR6u62qRow | JSR6u62qRow |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tZF8vTvxQuw | tZF8vTvxQuw |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ud7Ga8zPga4 | Ud7Ga8zPga4 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Zke-Cf-jtmk | Zke-Cf-jtmk |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zKTttir-ADs | zKTttir-ADs |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZK-YTEmNM7s | ZK-YTEmNM7s |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Zse02bHcWqg | Zse02bHcWqg |  |

| The Spirit of Christmas (Jesus vs. Santa)  |             |  |
|--|-------------|--|
| YouTube URL                                | Video ID    |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_obmo_Ib8P8 | _obmo_Ib8P8 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t4Xo1G4yo   | t4Xo1G4yo   |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1Eb8MJX-EVY | 1Eb8MJX-EVY |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1ndaYeMwhYI | 1ndaYeMwhYI |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=25BGqF7Hje4 | 25BGqF7Hje4 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2F10c5pxIAI | 2F10c5pxIAI |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2k44sfPR6ig | 2k44sfPR6ig |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2Q3QE3LL1Dk | 2Q3QE3LL1Dk |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2s0UunMx1xs | 2s0UunMx1xs |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3audz0BAyWY | 3audz0BAyWY |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3CL9GIJloLk | 3CL9GIJloLk |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3HY4aZAQFpk | 3HY4aZAQFpk |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3NJAz1-ysGs | 3NJAz1-ysGs |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3nrEwWVaEXY | 3nrEwWVaEXY |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3qu-wh1ppZ8 | 3qu-wh1ppZ8 |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4eASyLoHlJw | 4eASyLoHlJw |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4icAtPkcSWw | 4icAtPkcSWw |  |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4q3OImUHwdY | 4q3OImUHwdY |  |

| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4V8KmrCgJzo  | 4V8KmrCgJzo |
|---|-------------|
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4vu9 66O3Sg  | 4vu9_66O3Sg |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=58s-ODz2tQQ  | 58s-ODz2tQQ |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=59IJOyEs_og  | 59IJOyEs og |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5915OyEs_0g  | 5rNSIYLQwMs |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=511811EQwi4s |             |
|   | 5uIlN6CZ9WU |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7JeEy71nCL4  | 7JeEy71nCL4 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8giDuL8ZhQE  | 8giDuL8ZhQE |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8lRJp5G_IPM  | 8lRJp5G_IPM |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8VyNheEazvo  | 8VyNheEazvo |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9mLPIzGWxGs  | 9mLPIzGWxGs |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9y4XhBdDa1o  | 9y4XhBdDa1o |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9z48-HEBgUQ  | 9z48-HEBgUQ |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9zt0Ecgxiak  | 9zt0Ecgxiak |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AhJwZfwUqcs  | AhJwZfwUqcs |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aMAbFn2aLag  | aMAbFn2aLag |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=apJ3DCijl3U  | apJ3DCijl3U |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aS4ruwA-Dbs  | aS4ruwA-Dbs |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AvXbAh-UtTA  | AvXbAh-UtTA |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=boESzWcu8    | boESzWcu8   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b-1vkoHg0y8  | b-1vkoHg0y8 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B7k5hRzE584  | B7k5hRzE584 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bd6YEu-qdAY  | bd6YEu-qdAY |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BDlhfBeks14  | BDlhfBeks14 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Be5a_wb_DHQ  | Be5a_wb_DHQ |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bfnjrvnDpCk  | bfnjrvnDpCk |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bHSbpj30iCA  | bHSbpj30iCA |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BjXVcIfmz1Q  | BjXVcIfmz1Q |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BmG2B6fRRIs  | BmG2B6fRRIs |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bohPcVGVajM  | bohPcVGVajM |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bqmllceqaDU  | bqmllceqaDU |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BsvKOZWAkcc  | BsvKOZWAkcc |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bZIO8UlzIzI  | bZIO8UlzIzI |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cBxytQhjr2A  | cBxytQhjr2A |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daTK3_0I7_g  | daTK3_0I7_g |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dieSvM3bdWU  | dieSvM3bdWU |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dnE58TKtulk  | dnE58TKtulk |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DtFSCeSJP78  | DtFSCeSJP78 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EMHM-wo-4    | E- MHM-wo-4 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eGn3Uy6XCA8  | eGn3Uy6XCA8 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EjOePlLAyZA  | EjOePlLAyZA |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F6IbG22ktKM  | F6IbG22ktKM |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F7fLPLJmpuM  | F7fLPLJmpuM |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fQDvZ V 6Tk  | fQDvZ_V_6Tk |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fS2QLLM7HH0  | fS2QLLM7HH0 |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gfYa511qoAc  | gfYa5l1qoAc |
|   | 10 1        |

| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gNfBJkm1WxM                    | gNfBJkm1WxM       |
|---|-------------------|
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HdrfwKzfmEY                    | HdrfwKzfmEY       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HgmKFZz-Tzg                    | HgmKFZz-Tzg       |
|   | hpw09dZcLOY       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hpw09dZcLOY                    |                   |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hTjv5bLtoKU                    | hTjv5bLtoKU       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j0pV8A2tZmQ                    | j0pV8A2tZmQ       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J834njcbv50                    | J834njcbv50       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JheVEwMIipE                    | JheVEwMIipE       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JMLbF657c1o                    | JMLbF657c1o       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JYs6_S07pbo                    | JYs6_S07pbo       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JZjemHIluZU                    | JZjemHIluZU       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K4i8fcYNMyk                    | K4i8fcYNMyk       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6NJNKPVobw                    | L6NJNKPVobw       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LfpYrDa2z00                    | LfpYrDa2z00       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LIQja0yLwEA                    | LIQja0yLwEA       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lIsXYO1bVoE                    | llsXYO1bVoE       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m5AhryUa7Ok                    | m5AhryUa7Ok       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Mb2GnXXS684                    | Mb2GnXXS684       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mdl0HyOoows                    | mdl0HyOoows       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Mf4bO5FwYng                    | Mf4bO5FwYng       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MpTzQFcAulo                    | MpTzQFcAulo       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MTfMX2W45jM                    | MTfMX2W45jM       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-n1potX2MEc                    | -n1potX2MEc       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NR6WmgJKUdg                    | NR6WmgJKUdg       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NVwBFWU0PMY                    | NVwBFWU0PMY       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o6uVS-EfjRY                    | o6uVS-EfjRY       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oap8h7AE6Ng                    | oap8h7AE6Ng       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OulxJm18Vxw                    | OulxJm18Vxw       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ow-GHIKckUU                    | Ow-GHIKckUU       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P0DXt7MWdZ8                    | P0DXt7MWdZ8       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P0ZOfYnkGs4                    | P0ZOfYnkGs4       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PFMHKOPuTs4                    | PFMHKOPuTs4       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pw8WZD5T8Wc                    | Pw8WZD5T8Wc       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qBcAI_WjUnU                    | qBcAI_WjUnU       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QGQjDswbvCM                    | QGQjDswbvCM       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qUGu0s-kOWc                    | qUGu0s-kOWc       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Rud4ur6fwrA                    | Rud4ur6fwrA       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sKLj7qtbrr0                    | sKLj7qtbrr0       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sM_uepoWUH4                    | sM uepoWUH4       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sPxk79PjZUY                    | sPxk79PjZUY       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=swQj8kpSQ7c                    | swQj8kpSQ7c       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SX-XFyizsQ4                    | SX-XFyizsQ4       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T4O-34s8QfE                    | T4O-34s8QfE       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t6vvxnk5VFw                    | t6vvxnk5VFw       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tH7XD5az8XU                    | tH7XD5az8XU       |
| http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TL6kYwjrbCA                    | TL6kYwjrbCA       |
| Emplit it it it you doo to the it atom: Y Thore I will to the | 1-2011 11,1100/11 |

| T-Ub271PVYU |
|-------------|
| u3EMWZiUu0U |
| uL0-a40va64 |
| UotFGR0E3U0 |
| u-qIJ04T_QU |
| UtwRERD22JQ |
| UyAkhMh9ukA |
| V2fZzNvjDaE |
| vmt_KrMiIDA |
| Vrhs12CclWM |
| vZ1S-Fy7e7E |
| w2y1WP6RVOU |
| wgiwYkVbyhk |
| WmM2og7RxhY |
| wwcAjFKvvV8 |
| wyTIc3rKPyE |
| WzSOAH7ryok |
| X_XIBRCfSIk |
| XcGbneD4DGU |
| xIqxqdj-YFc |
| y99ln60bozo |
| zbGEUDz_zUQ |
| zqkK9yuzl04 |
| zr8GZ53nUoY |
|             |

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY ) PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION LLC, Plaintiffs, vs. ) Case No. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, ) 07CV-2103 and GOOGLE, INC., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,) on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, ) Case No. VS. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and ) 07CV-3582 GOOGLE, INC., Defendants.

\*\*\*\*HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*\*
DEPOSITION OF THOMAS DONOHUE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK
FRIDAY, OCTOBER 30, 2009
9:46 a.m.

BY: REBECCA SCHAUMLOFFEL JOB NO. 17991

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY ) PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION LLC, Plaintiffs, vs. ) Case No. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, ) 07CV-2103 and GOOGLE, INC., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,) on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, ) Case No. VS. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and ) 07CV-3582 GOOGLE, INC., Defendants.

\*\*\*\*HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*\*
DEPOSITION OF THOMAS DONOHUE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK
FRIDAY, OCTOBER 30, 2009
9:46 a.m.

BY: REBECCA SCHAUMLOFFEL JOB NO. 17991

|    |          |   | 47 |
|----|----------|---|----|
| 1  |          | THOMAS DONOHUE                          |    |
| 2  |          | relationship?                           |    |
| 3  |          | Q. Ever in the course of the            |    |
| 4  |          | relationship, anyone you can think of.  |    |
| 5  | 10:42:56 | A. Those were the ones that             |    |
| 6  |          | were specifically tasked with managing  |    |
| 7  |          | the projects, typically, as far as I    |    |
| 8  |          | can remember. It is possible other      |    |
| 9  |          | employees may have yes.                 |    |
| 10 | 10:43:22 | MS. REES: Exhibit 7.                    |    |
| 11 |          | (Whereupon, a document,                 |    |
| 12 |          | WS-00317-'00318, was marked as          |    |
| 13 |          | Defendant's Exhibit 7 for               |    |
| 14 |          | identification as of this date by       |    |
| 15 | 10:43:57 | the Reporter.)                          |    |
| 16 |          | Q. Can you identify Exhibit 7?          |    |
| 17 |          | A. It appears to be an internal         |    |
| 18 |          | E-mail from Wiredset.                   |    |
| 19 |          | Q. One of the participants is           |    |
| 20 | 10:44:10 | Katrina Alvarez who you just mentioned? |    |
| 21 |          | A. Is that a question?                  |    |
| 22 |          | Q. Is one of the participants           |    |
| 23 |          | in this E-mail chain Katrina Alvarez,   |    |
| 24 |          | who you just mentioned?                 |    |
| 25 | 10:44:17 | A. Yes, it appears to be.               |    |
|    |          |   |    |

|    |          |   | 48 |
|----|----------|---|----|
| 1  |          | THOMAS DONOHUE                          |    |
| 2  |          | Q. Is this at the top of the            |    |
| 3  |          | page, is this Katrina Alvarez's E-mail  |    |
| 4  |          | address, Katrinaa@wiredset.com?         |    |
| 5  | 10:44:27 | A. No. Sorry; yes, it is.               |    |
| 6  |          | Q. Is that her E-mail address,          |    |
| 7  |          | Katrinaa@wiredset.com?                  |    |
| 8  |          | A. Yes.                                 |    |
| 9  |          | MS. REES: Exhibit 8.                    |    |
| 10 | 10:44:54 | (Whereupon, a document,                 |    |
| 11 |          | WS-04443-'04447, was marked as          |    |
| 12 |          | Defendant's Exhibit 8 for               |    |
| 13 |          | identification as of this date by       |    |
| 14 |          | the Reporter.)                          |    |
| 15 | 10:45:19 | Q. Can you identify Exhibit 8?          |    |
| 16 |          | A. It appears to be an E-mail           |    |
| 17 |          | from Wiredset to YouTube.               |    |
| 18 |          | Q. And the E-mail appears to            |    |
| 19 |          | reflect that Wiredset is setting up an  |    |
| 20 | 10:46:10 | account on YouTube with the username,   |    |
| 21 |          | Wiredset. Do you understand that        |    |
| 22 |          | Wiredset has an account on YouTube with |    |
| 23 |          | the username, Wiredset?                 |    |
| 24 |          | MR. MULLANEY: Objection to              |    |
| 25 | 10:46:21 | form.                                   |    |
|    |          |   |    |

From:

Myers, Jake - Paramount Vantage [jake.myers@paramountvantage.com]

Sent:

Saturday, March 01, 2008 1:47 AM

To:

Kelly Seaman

Cc:

Teifeld, Tamar - Paramount; Andrea Cordone; Arian Hormozi; Mark Hall; Sarah Cruz; Sean Ray

Subject: [text][html] RE: [text][heur] Re: [C#246525705] DMCA Counter Notification - from: TheFilmFactoryUK -

re:Paramount Pictures Corp.

I was fine with them up. We do not need to take them down.

Thanks,

Jake Myers Paramount Vantage Interactive Marketing 5555 Melrose Ave., Lasky 108 Los Angeles, CA 90038 Ph: 323.956.2876 AIM: jakemyers2001

How She Move In Theaters Now www.howshemove.com

From: Kelly Seaman [mailto:kseaman@google.com]

Sent: Friday, February 29, 2008 5:44 PM To: Myers, Jake - Paramount Vantage

Cc: Teifeld, Tamar - Paramount; Andrea Cordone; Arian Hormozi; Hall, Mark - Paramount; Sarah Cruz; Sean Ray

Subject: Re: [text][heur] Re: [C#246525705] DMCA Counter Notification - from: TheFilmFactoryUK - re:Paramount Pictures Corp.

That is correct. Jake, those videos can be taken down now if that's your preference.

On Fri, Feb 29, 2008 at 5:28 PM, Myers, Jake - Paramount Vantage < <u>jake.myers@paramountvantage.com</u> > wrote: I uploaded all these per the request of Kelly Seaman from Youtube, for their Oscar showcase...

Jake Myers Paramount Vantage Interactive Marketing 5555 Melrose Ave., Lasky 108 Los Angeles, CA 90038 Ph: 323.956.2876 AIM: jakemyers2001

How She Move In Theaters Now www.howshemove.com

----Original Message----

From: Teifeld, Tamar - Paramount

Sent: Friday, February 29, 2008 5:07 PM

To: 'Andrea Cordone'

Cc: Arian Hormozi; Hall, Mark - Paramount, Sarah Cruz; Sean Ray; Myers,

Jake - Paramount Vantage

Subject: RE: [text][heur] Re: [C#246525705] DMCA Counter Notification - from: TheFilmFactoryUK - re:Paramount Pictures Corp.

There will be blood is a Vantage film. Jake from the online team there can help you with this. He is CC'd here.

----Original Message-----

From: Andrea Cordone [mailto:andreac@baytsp.com]

Sent: Friday, February 29, 2008 3:21 PM

To: Teifeld, Tamar - Paramount

Cc: Arian Hormozi; Hall, Mark - Paramount; Sarah Cruz; Sean Ray

Subject: FW: [text][heur] Re: [C#246525705] DMCA Counter Notification -

from: TheFilmFactoryUK - re:Paramount Pictures Corp.

Hello Tamar,

Today we received the following counter- notification, however it is unclear whether the content is infringing. I have attached a copy of the clip for your review.

The identifying information is as follows:

Notice ID: 10679

Asset: THERE WILL BE BLOOD

Protocol: YouTube

Video Title: There Will Be Blood - We Have Oil Here

Video Length: 137

Timestamp: 27 Feb 2008 07:59:10 GMT Last Seen Date: 27 Feb 2008 08:06:09 GMT

URL: <a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ohtElSm4ce0">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ohtElSm4ce0</a>

Username (if available): TheFilmFactoryUK

Please let us know what action to proceed with.

Best Regards,

Andrea Cordone Client Services Manager BayTSP, Inc. 408.341.2365 andreac@baytsp.com

The information contained in this email message may be confidential and is intended only for the parties to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent of same, please notify us of the mistake by telephone (408-341-2300) or email and delete the message from your system. Please do not copy the message or distribute it to anyone. This message was prepared at the request of counsel.

----Original Message-----From: Nicole Manske

Sent: Friday, February 29, 2008 8:17 AM

To: Andrea Cordone

Cc: Arian Hormozi; Leland Woo

Subject: FW: [text][heur] Re: [C#246525705] DMCA Counter Notification -

from: TheFilmFactoryUK - re:Paramount Pictures Corp.

Here is a counter notice for Paramount.

Nicole Manske
Compliance Administrator :: BayTSP Inc.
PO Box 1314 Los Gatos, CA 95031
www.baytsp.com
408-341-2300 - Main
408-341-2342 - Direct
408-341-2399 - Fax

The information contained in this email message may be confidential and is intended only for the parties to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent of same, please notify us of the mistake by telephone or email and delete the message from your system. Please do not copy the message or distribute it to anyone

----Original Message----

From: Copyright Service [mailto:copyright@youtube.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 28, 2008 8:14 PM

To: Copyright-Compliance

Subject: [text][heur] Re: [C#246525705] DMCA Counter Notification -

from: TheFilmFactoryUK - re:Paramount Pictures Corp.

Hi there,

We received the attached counter-notification in response to a complaint you filed with us. As described in the United States Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) 17 U.S.C. 512, by this email, we're providing you with the counter-notification and await your notice (in not more than 10 days) that you've filed an action seeking a court order to restrain the counter-notifier's allegedly infringing activity. Such notice should be submitted by replying to this email. If we don't receive notice from you,

we will reinstate the material to YouTube.

If you have any questions, please contact copyright@youtube.com.

Sincerely,

Harry
The YouTube Team

#### Original Message Follows:

From: Jim Forsyth < jim.forsyth@theppc.com > Subject: YouTube DMCA Counter-Notification

Date: Thu, 28 Feb 2008 10:00:13 +0000

Dear YouTube,

I am the producer for <a href="www.therewillbeblood.co.uk">www.therewillbeblood.co.uk</a> - the UK site for the movie, 'There Will Be Blood'. All clips that we have used on this site and

YouTube have been ok'd by Paramount Pictures.

Please put the video back up on YouTube as there is no good reason for it to have been taken down.

Kind Regards

Jim

- 1. URL: There Will Be Blood We Have Oil Here: http://uk.youtube.com/watch?v=ohtElSm4ce0
- 2. Jim Forsyth, 91 Berwick Street, London, Soho UK. jim.forsyth@theppc.com
  YouTube username: TheFilmFactoryUK
- 3. I consent to the jurisdiction of Federal District Court for the judicial district in which your address is located (or San Francisco County, California if your address is outside of the United States), and that you will accept service of process from the person who provided notification under subsection (c)(1)(C) or an agent of such person.
- 4. I swear, under penalty of perjury, that I have a good faith belief that the material was removed or disabled as a result of a mistake or misidentification of the material to be removed or disabled.
- 5. Signature attached.

This email has been scanned for all viruses by the MessageLabs SkyScan service.

Kelly Seaman Content Partnerships YouTube 901 Cherry Avenue San Bruno, CA 94066 kseaman@youtube.com P: 650-214-1331 F: 650-963-3367

From:

Courtney Nieman

Sent:

Tuesday, March 27, 2007 4:50 PM

To:

Deana Arizala; Richard Kawasaki; Solow, Warren

Cc:

RADAR; AST; csm

Subject: FW: Paramount International

More from Paramount Marketing

Courtney Nieman

From: Tipton, Kristina - Paramount [mailto:Kristina\_Tipton@Paramount.com]

Sent: Monday, March 26, 2007 6:55 PM

To: Courtney Nieman

Cc: Mark M. Ishikawa; Evelyn Espinosa; Al Perry; Williams, Carrie - Paramount

Subject: Paramount International

Hi Courtney,

Hope all is well with you. I wanted to send along some of the video syndication being done by our International team. Carrie Williams (cc'ed) is the manager based in Los Angeles who helps coordinate all the territories' work online. She may be sending along links and other video information from time to time. See below for recent syndication efforts – let me know if you need any additional information on any of the below.

Thanks, Courtney!

Kristina Tipton Interactive Marketing Paramount Pictures 323-956-8453

Film Title: Transformers

VW: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=axZWLhPp4tA

Dino: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JW69bluAsNo

Insecto: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B4QmFL hArl

User and password:



Film title: Shrek the Third

Name of clip: Shrek the Third trailer Duration: 2 minutes and 3 seconds Name of account: matthewwhite Date of upload: 23 March 2007

Google Video

Film title - BEE MOVIE

Name of clip – BEE MOVIE TRAILER - COMING DECEMBER 2007
Name of account – wez@waytoblue.com
Date of upload – 15/03/2007
URL - http://video.google.co.uk/videoplay?docid=-6292681755101931445

Google Video

Film title - BEE MOVIE

Name of clip - BEE MOVIE - COMING DECEMBER 2007

Name of account - wez@waytoblue.com

Date of upload - 13/03/2007

URL - http://video.google.co.uk/videoplay?docid=-1525295584835566336&hl=en-G8

You Tube
Film title - BEE MOVIE
Name of clip - BEE MOVIE - COMING DECEMBER 2007
Name of account - markblu22
Date of upload - 13/03/2007

URL - <a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zZXtQmYe4iY">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zZXtQmYe4iY</a>