UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., ET	`
AL.,)
Plaintiffs,))
V.)
YOUTUBE, INC., ET AL.,) Civil No. 07-CV-2103 (LLS)
Defendants.))
THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION	·)
PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, ET AL.,	,)
on behalf of themselves and all others)
similarly situated,) ECF Case
Plaintiffs,	Civil No. 07-CV-3582 (LLS)
v.	<i>,</i>)
YOUTUBE, INC., ET AL.,))
Defendants.))

SUPPLEMENT TO

THE DECLARATION OF ANDREW H. SCHAPIRO IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE AND MOTION TO STRIKE MATERIAL FROM VIACOM'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT SUBMISSIONS AND PUTATIVE CLASS PLAINTIFFS' RULE 56.1 STATEMENT

Schapiro Exhibit 374

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of California

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.)
Plaintiff)
v.) Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-02103 (LLS)(FM)
YOUTUBE, INC., ET AL.) (If the action is pending in another district, state where:
Defendant) Southern District of New York
SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A	DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: Motion Picture Association of America c/o Kelly Klaus, Munger Tolles & Olson LLP, 355 S.	Grand Ave # 35, Los Angeles, CA 90071-1592
deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an or	ear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a reganization that is <i>not</i> a party in this case, you must designate signate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf ament:
Place: Offices of Mayer Brown LLP, 350 South Grand A 25th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503	venue, Date and Time: 12/17/2009 9:30 am
The deposition will be recorded by this method:	Stenographically and videographically
	also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the
The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this sub attached.	o your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule poena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are
Date:11/20/2009	OR A
Signature of Clerk or Deputy	Clerk Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the a	attorney representing (name of party) YouTube, Inc. , who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Bart Volkmer Vilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 650 Page Mill Road, Pa 650) 493-9300 — bvolkmer@wsgr.com	lo Alto, CA 94304-1050

Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-02103 (LLS)(FM)

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

This subpoena fo	or (name of individual and title, if any)		
was received by me on (a	late) .		
☐ I served the s	ubpoena by delivering a copy to the nan	ned individual as follows:	
		on (date) ; or	
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		
		States, or one of its officers or agents, I ad the mileage allowed by law, in the am	
\$			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under p	penalty of perjury that this information is	s true.	
_			
Date:		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
	· ·		
	- Contraction of the Contraction	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- **(B)** Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or
- (iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.
- **(C)** Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

- (d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.
- (1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.*These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- **(A)** *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- **(C)** Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- **(A)** *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- **(e) Contempt.** The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- Limited, Bourne Co., Cal IV Entertainment, LLC, Cherry Lane Music Publishing Company, Inc., Robert Tur, National Music Publishers' Association, The Rodgers & Hammerstein Organization, Stage Three Music, (US) Inc., Edward B. Marks Music Company, Freddy Bienstock Music Company, Alley Music Corporation, X-Ray Dog Music, Inc., Federation Francaise De Tennis, The Music Force Media Group LLC, The Music Force LLC, Sin-Drome Records, Ltd. and/or (i) all of their affiliates, divisions, units, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest, subsidiaries, parent corporations, and assigns; (ii) all of their present and former officers, directors, agents, employees, representatives, accountants, investigators, and attorneys; (iii) any other person acting or purporting to act on their behalf; and (iv) any other person otherwise subject to their control, which controls them, or is under common control with them.
- 2. "Content Identification Technology" means any technology that is utilized to identify or recognize audio or video works, including but not limited to, watermarking, fingerprinting or hashing technology.
- 3. "Members" means any past or present company, organization, individual or other entity constituting a member of the MPAA, including, but not limited to, Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer., Inc., Paramount Pictures Corporation, Sony Pictures Entertainment, Inc., Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation, Universal City Studios LLLP, Walt Disney Studios Motion Pictures, and Warner Brothers Entertainment, Inc.
- 4. "SDNY Actions" means the civil action filed by Viacom International Inc., et al. v. YouTube, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:07-cv-02103 (LLS) and The Football Association Premier League and Bourne Co., on behalf of themselves and all others

similarly situated, v. YouTube, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:07-cv-3582 (LLS), each pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

- 5. "Viacom" means Viacom International Inc. and/or (i) all of its affiliates, divisions, units, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest, subsidiaries, parent corporations, and assigns; (ii) all of its present and former officers, directors, agents, employees, representatives, accountants, investigators, and attorneys; and (iii) any other person acting or purporting to act on its behalf.
- 6. "You," "Your," or "MPAA" means the Motion Picture Association of America, and all predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, employees, and others acting on behalf of the Motion Picture Association of America who could reasonably be expected to have responsive information.
- 7. "YouTube" refers to YouTube, Inc., YouTube LLC, Google Inc., and www.youtube.com.

TOPICS FOR EXAMINATION

- 1. The organization, membership, mission and purpose of the MPAA.
- 2. Your relationship with Viacom, including but not limited to oral or written agreements and terms thereof between You and Viacom.
- 3. Your relationship with the Class Plaintiffs, including but not limited to oral or written agreements and terms thereof between You and the Class Plaintiffs.
- 4. Your communications with Viacom and/or the Class Plaintiffs concerning YouTube or the SDNY Actions.
- 5. The circumstances surrounding Your statement in a March 21, 2006 Hollywood Reporter article entitled "Biz not sure how to treat upstart YouTube" that "YouTube has been a good corporate citizen and taken off copyrighted material."

- 6. Content Identification Technology, including fingerprinting and filtering technology, used or evaluated by You to identify, locate, track, manage, or block video content on UGC video services.
- Content Identification Technology, including fingerprinting and filtering technology, employed by UGC video services between February 14, 2005 and March 13, 2007.
 - 8. Your monitoring of YouTube for allegedly infringing content.
- 9. Your use, testing or evaluation of YouTube's Content Verification Program.
- 10. Your use, testing or evaluation of YouTube's Content Identification Technology.
- 11. Your communications with YouTube regarding online copyright protection.
- 12. Your communications with Your Members regarding YouTube's Content Identification Technology.
- 13. The DMCA practices and copyright policies of UGC video services operated by Your Members.
- 14. Your evaluation or inquiry into the most popular videos on the YouTube service.
- 15. You or Your Members' use of YouTube for promotional, marketing or educational purposes.
- 16. Your uploading of video files to YouTube, including to the "MoviesEverywhere" YouTube account.

Schapiro Exhibit 375

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of California

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET AL.	
Plaintiff V.) Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-02103 (LLS)(FM)
YOUTUBE, INC., ET AL.) (If the action is pending in another district, state where:
Defendant	Southern District of New York
SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A	A DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: Motion Picture Association of America c/o Kelly Klaus, Munger Tolles & Olson LLP, 355 S	5. Grand Ave # 35, Los Angeles, CA 90071-1592
deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an o	ear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a organization that is <i>not</i> a party in this case, you must designate esignate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf hment:
Place: Offices of Mayer Brown LLP, 350 South Grand A	Avenue, Date and Time:
25th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503	01/15/2010 10:00 am
	also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, I permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the
45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subattached.	to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule bpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are
Date: 01/10/2010 CLERK OF COURT	OR M
Signature of Clerk or Deputy	Clerk Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the	attorney representing (name of party) YouTube, Inc. , who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Bart Volkmer Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 650 Page Mill Road, Pa (650) 493-9300 — byokmer@wsgr.com	alo Alto, CA 94304-1050

Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-02103 (LLS)(FM)

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

This subpoena for	(name of individual and title, if any)		
received by me on (date	e)		
☐ I served the sub	poena by delivering a copy to the nan	ned individual as follows:	
		on (date) ; or	
☐ I returned the su	ubpoena unexecuted because:		
•		States, or one of its officers or agents and the mileage allowed by law, in the	
\$			
/ fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under per	nalty of perjury that this information i	s true.	
e:	· 		
		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Samian's adduses	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or
- (iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- **(C)** Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- Limited, Bourne Co., Cal IV Entertainment, LLC, Cherry Lane Music Publishing
 Company, Inc., Robert Tur, National Music Publishers' Association, The Rodgers &
 Hammerstein Organization, Stage Three Music, (US) Inc., Edward B. Marks Music
 Company, Freddy Bienstock Music Company, Alley Music Corporation, X-Ray Dog
 Music, Inc., Federation Francaise De Tennis, The Music Force Media Group LLC, The
 Music Force LLC, Sin-Drome Records, Ltd. and/or (i) all of their affiliates, divisions,
 units, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest, subsidiaries, parent corporations,
 and assigns; (ii) all of their present and former officers, directors, agents, employees,
 representatives, accountants, investigators, and attorneys; (iii) any other person acting or
 purporting to act on their behalf; and (iv) any other person otherwise subject to their
 control, which controls them, or is under common control with them.
- 2. "Content Identification Technology" means any technology that is utilized to identify or recognize audio or video works, including but not limited to, watermarking, fingerprinting or hashing technology.
- 3. "Members" means any past or present company, organization, individual or other entity constituting a member of the MPAA, including, but not limited to, Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer., Inc., Paramount Pictures Corporation, Sony Pictures Entertainment, Inc., Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation, Universal City Studios LLLP, Walt Disney Studios Motion Pictures, and Warner Brothers Entertainment, Inc.
- 4. "SDNY Actions" means the civil action filed by Viacom International Inc., et al. v. YouTube, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:07-cv-02103 (LLS) and The Football Association Premier League and Bourne Co., on behalf of themselves and all others

similarly situated, v. YouTube, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:07-cv-3582 (LLS), each pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

- 5. "Viacom" means Viacom International Inc. and/or (i) all of its affiliates, divisions, units, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest, subsidiaries, parent corporations, and assigns; (ii) all of its present and former officers, directors, agents, employees, representatives, accountants, investigators, and attorneys; and (iii) any other person acting or purporting to act on its behalf.
- 6. "You," "Your," or "MPAA" means the Motion Picture Association of America, and all predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, employees, and others acting on behalf of the Motion Picture Association of America who could reasonably be expected to have responsive information.
- 7. "YouTube" refers to YouTube, Inc., YouTube LLC, Google Inc., and www.youtube.com.

TOPICS FOR EXAMINATION

- 1. The organization, membership, mission and purpose of the MPAA.
- 2. Your relationship with Viacom, including but not limited to oral or written agreements and terms thereof between You and Viacom.
- 3. Your relationship with the Class Plaintiffs, including but not limited to oral or written agreements and terms thereof between You and the Class Plaintiffs.
- 4. Your communications with Viacom and/or the Class Plaintiffs concerning YouTube or the SDNY Actions.
- 5. The circumstances surrounding Your statement in a March 21, 2006 Hollywood Reporter article entitled "Biz not sure how to treat upstart YouTube" that "YouTube has been a good corporate citizen and taken off copyrighted material."

- 6. Content Identification Technology, including fingerprinting and filtering technology, used or evaluated by You to identify, locate, track, manage, or block video content on UGC video services.
- 7. Content Identification Technology, including fingerprinting and filtering technology, employed by UGC video services between February 14, 2005 and March 13, 2007.
 - 8. Your monitoring of YouTube for allegedly infringing content.
- 9. Your use, testing or evaluation of YouTube's Content Verification Program.
- 10. Your use, testing or evaluation of YouTube's Content Identification Technology.
- 11. Your communications with YouTube regarding online copyright protection.
- 12. Your communications with Your Members regarding YouTube's Content Identification Technology.
- 13. The DMCA practices and copyright policies of UGC video services operated by Your Members.
- 14. Your evaluation or inquiry into the most popular videos on the YouTube service.
- 15. You or Your Members' use of YouTube for promotional, marketing or educational purposes.
- 16. Your uploading of video files to YouTube, including to the "MoviesEverywhere" YouTube account.

Schapiro Exhibit 376

Craparo, Therese

From: Volkmer, Bart [bvolkmer@wsgr.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2010 9:20 PM

To: Kelly.Klaus@mto.com

Subject: RE: Viacom v. YouTube: Amended MPAA Subpoena

Kelly ---

In response to YouTube's deposition subpoena, the MPAA initially refused to provide a witness at all based on, among others, relevance objections, and threatened a motion to quash. I noted that the MPAA would surely not prevail if it took that extreme position.

We then engaged in negotiations, but YouTube never agreed that topics would be "dropped" or "narrowed." For a number of topics, the MPAA refused to put up a witness on the topics as drafted. We were able to come to an agreement regarding a protocol under which the deposition would proceed without the parties engaging in motion practice notwithstanding the MPAA's (in our view, unjustifiable) refusal to seat a witness. For other topics, I clarified my understanding of the language of the topic and we were able to agree that the parties did not have a dispute.

Here is my understanding of our agreements:

- 1. This topic was drafted, and always intended to cover, high-level, foundational information about the MPAA. The MPAA will provide testimony accordingly.
- 2. This topic was drafted, and always intended to cover, high-level, foundational information about Viacom's relationship with the MPAA. The MPAA will provide testimony accordingly.
- 3. This topic was drafted, and always intended to cover, high-level, foundational information about the Class Plaintiffs' relationship with the MPAA. The MPAA will provide testimony accordingly.
- 4. It is the case that YouTube and the MPAA disagree about whether the MPAA should have to produce a witness to testify regarding this topic. YouTube maintains its position that the MPAA's refusal to produce discovery called for by this topic is not justified and will have collateral consequences in the main litigation.
- 5. We have no dispute about this topic.
- 6. As part of our negotiation regarding Topic No. 7, YouTube has agreed to forego seeking testimony on this topic.
- 7. You have accurately summarized our agreement on Topic No. 7.
- 8. You summary of our agreement on Topic No. 8 is inaccurate. We did not, to my recollection, discuss multientity communications. If the communications at issue between the MPAA and Viacom are not privileged in the first place, the MPAA needs to be prepared to testify without restriction. If the communications between the MPAA and Viacom included other entities (and are, in your view, putatively privileged), the MPAA witness should be prepared to testify consistently with the August 25, 2009 stipulation and order in the SDNY.
- 9. This topic is limited to authentication of documents based on your representation that individuals with percipient knowledge of the topic are no longer employed by the MPAA.

- 10. This topic is limited to authentication of documents based on your representation that individuals with percipient knowledge of the topic are no longer employed by the MPAA.
- 11. See Topic No. 4 above.
- 12. See Topic No. 4 above.
- 13. See Topic No. 4 above.
- 14. This topic is limited to authentication of documents based on your representation that individuals with percipient knowledge of the topic are no longer employed by the MPAA.
- 15. See Topic No. 4 above.
- 16. We have no dispute about this topic.

Please send along this evening the names of the people from the MPAA who will be attending the deposition tomorrow.

Regards,

Bart

From: Klaus, Kelly [mailto:Kelly.Klaus@mto.com] **Sent:** Tuesday, January 12, 2010 7:46 PM

To: Volkmer, Bart

Subject: RE: Viacom v. YouTube: Amended MPAA Subpoena

Bart:

The amended subpoena continues to state the topics set forth in your November 20, 2009 subpoena that MPAA objected to as overly broad, burdensome and invasive of privilege. You and I agreed in early December that the topics would be narrowed and/or dropped as follows (with the numbers below corresponding to the topic paragraph numbers in the subpoena attachment):

- 1. MPAA's witness will be prepared to provide a very high-level description of non-privileged information concerning the MPAA's purpose and will identify MPAA's members. You said you expected this topic, as narrowed, would be very high-level, foundational information that would not take up more than a few minutes of the deposition.
- 2. MPAA's witness will be prepared to provide non-privileged information regarding that Paramount is a member of the MPAA; that Viacom is Paramount's corporate parent; and that MPAA performs anti-piracy work on their behalf.
- 3. MPAA's witness will be prepared to testify to non-privileged information regarding MPAA's lack of a relationship with any of the named class plaintiffs that is akin to MPAA's relationship with its member companies.
- 4. YouTube and MPAA disagree whether MPAA should have to produce a witness to testify regarding this topic. Without either side waiving their respective positions concerning this disagreement, MPAA will not be producing a witness to testify on this topic, and YouTube will not be filing a motion or seeking any relief of any kind concerning this topic.
- 5. MPAA's witness will be prepared to testify to non-privileged, responsive information, if any, that is reasonably available to MPAA on this topic.

- 6. YouTube is dropping this topic.
- 7. MPAA's witness will be prepared to testify to non-privileged information, if any, about the following, to the extent that such information is reasonably available to the MPAA: (a) what fingerprinting or filtering technologies MPAA was aware had been deployed at UGC sites between February 14, 2005 and March 13, 2007; (b) which UGC sites MPAA was aware were using such technologies during that time; and (c) MPAA's high-level understanding, if any, at that time about the general efficacy of those technologies as deployed.
- 8. MPAA's witness will be prepared to testify to non-privileged information, if any, concerning communications with Viacom and Paramount only (i.e., not MPAA's communications with any other entity, including any multi-entity communications including Viacom and/or Paramount) regarding those matters within the scope of the August 25, 2009 Stipulation and Order Regarding Viacom's Copyright Monitoring Privilege Assertions in the Southern District of New York action.
- 9. MPAA's witness will be prepared only to authenticate any documents produced by MPAA within the scope of this topic, but there will be no questioning (and MPAA's witness will not be prepared to respond) regarding the substance of this topic.
- 10. MPAA's witness will be prepared only to authenticate any documents produced by MPAA within the scope of this topic, but there will be no questioning (and MPAA's witness will not be prepared to respond) regarding the substance of this topic.
- 11. YouTube and MPAA are proceeding on this topic as they are on Topic No. 4, as stated above.
- 12. YouTube and MPAA are proceeding on this topic as they are on Topic No. 4, as stated above.
- 13. YouTube and MPAA are proceeding on this topic as they are on Topic No. 4, as stated above.
- 14. MPAA's witness will be prepared only to authenticate any documents produced by MPAA within the scope of this topic, but there will be no questioning (and MPAA's witness will not be prepared to respond) regarding the substance of this topic.
- 15. YouTube and MPAA are proceeding on this topic as they are on Topic No. 4, as stated above.
- 16. MPAA's witness will be prepared to testify to non-privileged information, if any, reasonably available to MPAA, regarding the "Movies Everywhere" site on YouTube (http://www.youtube.com/movieseverywhere) and the single video posted to that site.

I will get you the names for MPAA's visitors for building security before the deposition. I will convey to Viacom's counsel your request that they get you the names they need for building security.

Regards, Kelly

From: Volkmer, Bart [mailto:bvolkmer@wsgr.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2010 11:04 AM

To: Klaus, Kelly

Subject: Viacom v. YouTube: Amended MPAA Subpoena

Kelly --

Please find attached an amended deposition subpoena reflecting the new date and time for the MPAA deposition: 1/15/2010 at 10:00 a.m.

For our building security list, could you please provide the names of the individuals who will be attending (including from Viacom if you know).

Regards,

Bart

Bart Volkmer Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 Direct: 650.565.3508

Fax: 650.565.5100

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of

this email (or any attachments thereto) by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the

intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original

and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

Schapiro Exhibit 377

CUSTODIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED FOR SUMNER REDSTONE

Month/Year	Document Count
Jun-04	
Jul-04	
Aug-04	3
Sep-04	
Feb-05	
Mar-05	6
Apr-05	9
May-05	
Jul-05	
Aug-05	2
Sep-05	37
Oct-05	
Nov-05	
Dec-05	1
Jan-06	
Aug-06	
Sep-06	6
Oct-06	
Jul-07	
Aug-07	48
Sep-07	1
Oct-07	27
Nov-07	
Dec-07	
Jan-08	16
Feb-08	1
Mar-08	
Jun-08	
Jul-08	7
Aug-08	10
Sep-08	
Oct-08	
Nov-08	1
Dec-08	
Feb-09	
Mar-09	1
Apr-09	
May-09	

Schapiro Exhibit 378

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY) PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION LLC, Plaintiffs, vs. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,) Case No.) 07CV-2103 and GOOGLE, INC., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,) on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs.) Case No. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and) 07CV-3582 GOOGLE, INC., Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF KRISTINA TIPTON

NEW YORK, NEW YORK

Thursday, October 29, 2009

REPORTED BY:

ERICA RUGGIERI, CSR, RPR

JOB NO: 17863

	2
1	
2	
3	
4	October 29, 2009
5	9:36 a.m.
6	
7	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KRISTINA
8	TIPTON, held at the offices of Wilson
9	Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 1301 Avenue of
10	the Americas, New York, New York, pursuant
11	to notice, before before Erica L.
12	Ruggieri, Registered Professional Reporter
13	and Notary Public of the State of New
14	York.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		3
1		
2	APPEARANCES	
3		
4	FOR THE VIACOM PLAINTIFFS and THE WITNESS:	
5		
6	SHEARMAN & STERLING, LLP	
7	BY: KIRSTEN NELSON CUNHA, ESQ.	
8	599 Lexington Avenue	
9	New York, New York 10022	
10	Kirsten.cunha@shearman.com	
11		
12	FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE,	
13	LLC and GOOGLE, INC.:	
14		
15	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	
16	BY: MAURA L. REES, ESQ.	
17	650 Page Mill Road	
18	Palo Alto, CA 94304	
19	Mrees@wsgr.com	
20		
21		
22		
23	ALSO PRESENT:	
24	CARLOS KING, Videographer	
25		

TIPTON

stealth marketing campaigns,

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

10:14:18

10:14:33

stealth marketing campaigns, what kind of marketing campaigns would you work on for those?

- A. There are a lot of elements. We would try to maximize publicity by doing exclusive clips, by setting up interviews with the stars of the film, by distributing the standard film assets, including the elements on the EPK, electronic press kit.
 - Q. And what would generally be included in an electronic press kit?
- A. There are often five to 10 clips 10:15:07 from the film, the trailer and interviews from the junket. It's a press junket.
- Q. Are you familiar with the phrase "seeding," as in seeding a clip online, S-E-E-D?
- 20 10:15:37 A. It was used, yes.
 - Q. What does seeding mean?
- A. I think it varied sometimes, but usually it just meant posting.
- Q. Can you give me some examples of
 10:15:56 the different websites where Paramount

			17
1		TIPTON	
2		would post clips, as part of its online	
3		marketing?	
4		A. We do you mean where we	
5	10:16:08	personally would post clips or where we	
6		would work with someone to have them post	
7		a clip?	
8		Q. Let's start with where Paramount	
9		would itself post clips?	
10	10:16:18	A. We posted on YouTube, Vimeo, we	
11		worked with break.com, Vidilife, sometimes	
12		Google Video, and a number of other	
13		websites for video.	
14		Q. And were there additional or	
15	10:16:45	different websites where third parties	
16		would post would post video clips	
17		online, on Paramount's behalf?	
18		A. Yes. We worked with a number of	
19		websites.	
20	10:16:54	Q. And what websites were those?	
21		A. They included Yahoo movies, AOL	
22		moviephone, iFilm, Movie Web,	
23		Hollywood.com and a number of others.	
24		Q. In your position as coordinator	
25	10:17:20	of online publicity, who did you report	

	TIPTON
--	--------

2 to?

1

3

9

12

- A. Primarily Amy Powell.
- Q. When you say "Primarily," was 10:17:26 there someone else that you secondarily reported to?
 - A. I dotted line reported to Sarah

 Bordo for part of the time I worked there.
 - Q. What was Amy Powell's position?
- 10 10:17:42 A. She was the SVP of online marketing.
 - Q. What was Sarah Bordo's position?
- A. She was the director of online
 media. I think she may have been promoted
 to VP right before I left.
- Q. Was there a particular group
 that you considered yourself to be working
 in, when you were coordinator of online
 publicity?
- 20 10:18:14 A. Yes. I was in the online
 21 marketing group.
- Q. Is that different from the online media group?
- A. No. That was within the online
 10:18:24 marketing group.

			32
1		TIPTON	
2		names on the cc line.	
3		Do you see that?	
4		A. Yes.	
5	10:41:45	Q. Could you tell me, to the extent	
6		you remember, who each of those people	
7		are, other than yourself and Sarah Bordo,	
8		who you've already mentioned?	
9		A. Brian Moerman, Megan Wahtera and	
10	10:41:58	Mickie Worsnup were all producers of the	
11		websites for films.	
12		Q. What does that mean, producers	
13		of websites?	
14		A. They would oversee the	
15	10:42:07	production of a film website and all the	
16		assets within it, so we worked with them	
17		closely on the assets available for	
18		online.	
19		Q. So when you say a film website,	
20	10:42:22	you mean an official website put up by	
21		Paramount to promote the film?	
22		A. Right.	
23		Q. And then there's a Powerpoint	
24		presentation attached to the e-mail.	
25	10:42:41	Do you see that?	

33

1		TIPTON
2		A. Yes.
3		Q. Did you assist in putting
4		together this Powerpoint presentation?
5	10:42:47	A. Yes, I believe so.
6		Q. So the e-mail to Amy Powell
7		starts out, "Kristina and I have reviewed
8		your list of video sites and have looked
9		into some others as well. Here are our
10	10:43:14	suggestions for incorporating these sites
11		into our marketing campaign."
12		Do you see that?
13		A. Yes.
14		Q. Do you recall that looking into
15	10:43:21	the video sites and making suggestions was
16		something that you participated in?
17		A. I believe so, yes.
18		Q. And then the sort of second
19		point down after that starts out, "We
20	10:43:35	recommend YouTube, Vimeo, "V-I-M-E-O, "and
21		Vidilife," V-I-D-I-L-I-F-E, "as sites to
22		post our content for viral distribution."
23		Do you see that?
24		A. Yes.
25	10:43:52	Q. Do you recall that YouTube was

			34
1		TIPTON	
2		one of the sites that you and Megan	
3		recommended to post content for viral	
4		distribution?	
5	10:43:59	A. Yes.	
6		Q. Why was that?	
7		A. Because we felt that there was	
8		strong potential there was a large	
9		audience on YouTube, and we felt there was	
10	10:44:10	strong potential for users to want to pass	
11		video along on YouTube.	
12		Q. Then if you look a couple points	
13		down below that, there is a point that	
14		says, "Whenever possible, tagging our	
15	10:44:39	content with related phrases to capture	
16		search audiences."	
17		Do you see that?	
18		A. Uh-hum.	
19		Q. Is that something that	
20	10:44:47	Paramount's interactive marketing team	
21		eventually did, with respect to videos	
22		that it posted to YouTube?	
23		A. I don't recall.	

set off with dashes in the e-mail

Q. And then the last point that is

24

25

10:45:07

			35
1		TIPTON	
2		references the NBC YouTube disaster. Sort	
3		of in the last sentence before "Attached	
4		you can also find," do you see that?	
5	10:45:24	A. Yes.	
6		Q. Do you recall what the NBC	
7		YouTube disaster was that's being referred	
8		to here?	
9		A. It was the Lazy Sunday video	
10	10:45:32	being taken down from the site and	
11		eliciting negative comments and feedback	
12		from users.	
13		Q. And in that same point it says,	
14		"Once we have distributed content, we need	
15	10:45:52	to continue free access from the source."	
16		Do you see that part?	
17		A. Yes.	
18		Q. Do you have an understanding of	
19		what that means?	
20	10:46:00	A. I believe it means that we	
21		needed to make sure that we were putting	
22		up clips and such that have been approved	
23		for online use or and that we wouldn't	
24		have to take down.	
25	10:46:57	MS. REES: Exhibit 5.	

1		TIPTON
2		Q. So does this mean that Scott
3		Hurwitz had uploaded to YouTube the Nacho
4		confessional trailer at the URL that's
5	10:51:11	listed here?
6		A. I don't recall.
7		Q. Did you understand that beyond
8		the Nacho confessional trailer, there were
9		other videos that Paramount wanted
10	10:51:25	Mr. Hurwitz to upload to YouTube at this
11		time?
12		A. I don't recall.
13		Q. Do you know what user name, if
14		any, Iced Media would use to upload videos
15	10:51:44	to YouTube?
16		A. I know they posted under That's
17		Funny.
18		Q. Do you know of any other user
19		names that Iced Media used to post
20	10:51:52	Paramount videos to YouTube?
21		A. I don't recall.
22		Q. Are you aware whether there were
23		additional user names that Iced Media used
24		to post videos to YouTube, or you just
25	10:52:17	can't recall what they were, or do you

			39
1		TIPTON	
2		have an understanding as to whether there	
3		was only that one user name called That's	
4		Funny?	
5	10:52:24	MS. CUNHA: Objection to form.	
6		You can answer.	
7		A. I believe there's at least one	
8		other, but I think they tried to use the	
9		form That's Funny with That's Funny 2 or	
10	10:52:42	something like that.	
11		MS. REES: Exhibit 6.	
12		(Tipton Exhibit 6, e-mail	
13		regarding clip for Mission	
14		Impossible 3, marked for	
15	10:53:42	identification, as of this date.)	
16		Q. Have you had a chance to review	
17		Exhibit 6?	
18		A. Yes.	
19		Q. Can you identify Exhibit 6?	
20	10:53:46	A. It appears to be an e-mail	
21		between Amy Powell, Brian Moerman and	
22		myself about a clip for Mission Impossible	
23		3.	
24		Q. And in the e-mail Ms. Powell	
25	10:54:00	says, "This is great. Can you get on	
	I		

			40
1		TIPTON	
2		YouTube, et cetera?"	
3		Do you see that?	
4		A. Yes.	
5	10:54:04	Q. Did you understand that to mean	
6		that Ms. Powell wanted the clip that's	
7		referenced in the below e-mail to be	
8		uploaded to YouTube?	
9		A. Yes.	
10	10:54:11	Q. Do you know whether it	
11		eventually was?	
12		A. I don't recall.	
13		Q. Did Ms. Powell ever explain to	
14		you why she wanted the clip uploaded to	
15	10:54:24	YouTube, as well as other sites?	
16		A. No.	
17		MS. CUNHA: Objection to form.	
18		MS. REES: Exhibit 7.	
19		(Tipton Exhibit 7, e-mail	
20	10:55:05	chain, marked for identification, as	
21		of this date.)	
22		(Witness reviews document.)	
23		Q. Have you had a chance to review	
24		Exhibit 7?	
25	10:55:52	A. Yes.	

1 TIPTON 2 Q. Can you identify Exhibit 7? 3 Appears to be an e-mail chain Α. with Amy Powell and other members of our 5 10:55:58 interactive team, regarding a video Jon Favreau made and posted to YouTube. 7 Ο. Your e-mail in the center of the page to Ms. Tipton asks "Hi" -- your 9 e-mail in the center of the page to 10 10:56:19 Ms. Powell and others asks, "Hi, Amy, will 11 we be posting this on other video sites as 12 well or just having people drive to 13 YouTube to view?" 14 And then the response above that 15 10:56:33 from Ms. Powell is, "Drive to YouTube." 16 Do you see that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 What's your understanding, if Ο. 19 any, of what "drive to YouTube" means in 10:56:41 20 that context? 21 I don't really recall. 22 Did Paramount ever make efforts Q. 23 to increase traffic to videos that 24 Paramount or its agents had posted to 25 10:57:12 YouTube?

			42
1		TIPTON	
2		A. Yes. But I don't remember	
3		specific cases.	
4		Q. As a general matter, why was it	
5	10:57:35	useful to have Paramount clips on YouTube?	
6		MS. CUNHA: Objection to form.	
7		A. Can you rephrase the question,	
8		please.	
9		Q. Is there some part of it that's	
10	10:57:48	not clear or?	
11		The question was, as a general	
12		matter, why was it useful to have	
13		Paramount clips on YouTube?	
14		MS. CUNHA: Same objection.	
15	10:57:57	A. YouTube had an audience of users	
16		that we wanted to reach with our film	
17		content.	
18		Q. And how did that help market a	
19		film, if it did?	
20	10:58:15	A. Because we would select content	
21		that we felt was right for the audience	
22		and would drive box office.	
23		Q. And by "drive box office," you	
24		mean increase the amount of money, of	
25	10:58:30	sales of tickets at the box office for a	

			43
1		TIPTON	
2		particular film, right?	
3		A. Yes. That was the hope.	
4		MS. REES: Exhibit 8.	
5	10:59:04	(Tipton Exhibit 8, e-mail chain	
6		between Kristina Tipton and Kevin	
7		Donahue at YouTube, marked for	
8		identification, as of this date.)	
9		(Witness reviews document.)	
10	10:59:53	Q. Have you had a chance to review	
11		Exhibit 8?	
12		A. Yes.	
13		Q. Can you identify Exhibit 8?	
14		A. It was an e-mail chain between	
15	11:00:01	myself and Kevin Donahue at YouTube and	
16		cc'ing other members of the interactive	
17		team.	
18		Q. Who was Kevin Donahue at	
19		YouTube, if you recall?	
20	11:00:12	A. I don't recall his title, but he	
21		was a contact there who helped us set up	
22		our Paramount Pictures account on YouTube.	
23		Q. Okay. So your e-mail on page,	
24		the first page of this exhibit starts out,	
25	11:00:37	"Hi, Kevin, I finally got around to	

			44
1		TIPTON	
2		setting up an account. My user name and	
3		password are below." And then for the	
4		user name below, it lists Paraccount,	
5	11:00:54	P-A-R-A-C-C-O-U-N-T?	
6		A. Yes.	
7		Q. So is it correct that you signed	
8		up for the Paraccount account on YouTube?	
9		A. Yes.	
10	11:01:05	Q. How did you go about doing that?	
11		Did you set it up online?	
12		A. Yes. There was a specific URL	
13		that Kevin had provided me for a special	
14		director account.	
15	11:01:17	Q. When you signed up online, did	
16		you have to agree in some fashion to	
17		YouTube's terms of service?	
18		A. I don't recall.	
19		Q. Did you personally ever upload	
20	11:01:42	videos to YouTube under the Paraccount	
21		user name?	
22		A. Yes.	
23		Q. Do you recall how many?	
24		A. No.	
25	11:01:47	Q. Was it more than 10?	

18

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- Who else besides you? Q.
- 11:02:37 20 Α. I remember Kyle Ninicci did. I 2.1 don't remember any others besides that.
- 22 Q. The second page of the e-mail at 23 the very bottom references a meeting 24 between you and Kevin Donahue.
- 11:02:59 25 Do you recall having a meeting

			46
1		TIPTON	
2		with Kevin Donahue?	
3		A. I recall meeting him.	
4		Q. Do you recall what was discussed	
5	11:03:05	during that meeting?	
6		A. No.	
7		Q. In the e-mail above that, where	
8		Kevin Donahue replies, he says, "We can	
9		then follow up with more specific	
10	11:03:19	discussions re promotional plans and	
11		specific content."	
12		Do you see that?	
13		A. Yes.	
14		Q. Do you recall whether you ever	
15	11:03:26	had additional discussions with Kevin	
16		about promotional plans and specific	
17		content?	
18		A. Yes. We did speak about	
19		specific content.	
20	11:03:37	Q. What discussions did you have	
21		with him about that?	
22		A. I don't recall.	
23		Q. Prior to the establishment of	
24		this Paraccount account in June of 2006 or	
25	11:04:12	in or about May or June of 2006, Paramount	
	i e		

			107
1		TIPTON	
2		the first page is for Bee Movie?	
3		A. Yes, I believe it was for Bee	
4		Movie.	
5	01:49:51	Q. In the e-mail you say, "Perhaps	
6		we can give AOL the official exclusive,	
7		but help seed the teaser unofficially on	
8		viral video sites."	
9		Do you see that?	
10	01:50:03	A. Yes.	
11		Q. And what do you mean by "seed	
12		the teaser unofficially on viral video	
13		sites"?	
14		A. I don't recall in this	
15	01:50:14	particular case. I can glean that we were	
16		posting the video on video sites.	
17		MS. REES: Exhibit 28.	
18		(Tipton Exhibit 28, e-mail	
19		regarding the Freedom Writers	
20	01:52:54	proposal from Iced Media, marked for	
21		identification, as of this date.)	
22		(Witness reviews document.)	
23		Q. Can you identify Exhibit 28?	
24		A. Yes. It's an e-mail from myself	
25	01:52:47	to Amy Powell, Megan Wahtera, Stephanie	

	-		
			108
1		TIPTON	
2		Simard, Sara Bordo and Kristina Griswold	
3		about the Freedom Writers proposal from	
4		Iced Media.	
5	01:52:56	Q. And the proposal relates to the	
6		Be Heard campaign?	
7		A. Yes.	
8		Q. What was the Be Heard campaign?	
9		A. Be Heard campaign primarily took	
10	01:53:12	place on YouTube, and it was a hub of	
11		videos that were specially produced for	
12		this YouTube.com\Be Heard that were a	
13		little more youthful and gritty than the	
14		rest of the film assets.	
15	01:53:35	Q. Who produced the videos that you	
16		just mentioned that were specially	
17		produced?	
18		A. Megan Wahtera and Stephanie	
19		Simard oversaw a team that did the	
20	01:53:52	editing, but I don't remember. It was an	
21		outside editing, video editing company.	
22		Q. Were the videos that were	
23		specially produced, were they created by	

A. Yes, I would say they were.

Paramount?

24

25

01:54:13

TIPTON

2.1

- Q. What types of content was in the videos that were specially produced?

 A. Well, we had a few of the stars
- 01:54:21 from the film do interviews or do -- not interviews so much, sort of almost confessionals about their life.

Mario was one of them. He just talked about his background, and like we 01:54:42 edited it in with some of the film music and possibly some shots from the film.

But we tried to make it a little, like feel more like something that they had made themselves, the stars.

- 01:55:06 Q. And was Iced Media ultimately engaged to assist in the Be Heard campaign?
 - A. You know, I don't recall.
- Q. In the middle of the first page

 01:55:38 of Exhibit 28, which looks to be -- it's

 underneath an e-mail and looks to be taken

 from Iced Media's proposal.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And in the first paragraph,
 01:55:51 second sentence, it says, "First, we will

1		TIPTON
2		execute an extensive viral video campaign
3		using the key featurettes and other video
4		materials to drive to the YouTube
5	01:56:03	campaign."
6	01/30/03	
		Do you see that?
7		A. Yes.
8		Q. Was that something that was
9		ultimately done, was there a viral video
10	01:56:08	campaign to drive to the YouTube campaign?
11		A. I don't recall if there was I
12		don't entirely recall what this viral
13		video campaign entailed, but the YouTube
14		campaign, the Be Heard, was a major
15	01:56:29	centerpiece of this online film campaign,
16		including the media buy and everything.
17		MS. REES: Exhibit 29.
18		(Tipton Exhibit 29, e-mail
19		thread regarding the Be Heard
20	01:58:04	Freedom Writers YouTube campaign,
21		marked for identification, as of
22		this date.)
23		(Witness reviews document.)
24		Q. Can you identify Exhibit 29?
25	01:57:52	A. It's an e-mail thread between

1		TIPTON
2		Amy Powell, myself, Megan Wahtera,
3		Stephanie Simard and Sara Bordo, regarding
4		the Be Heard Freedom Writers YouTube
5	01:58:06	campaign.
6		Q. On the second page of the
7		exhibit, that ends in 322 in the numbering
8		in the lower right-hand corner, it starts
9		with an e-mail from Amy Powell, saying, "I
10	01:58:20	am assuming we have the LAPD videos
11		linking to ours and on our profile."
12		Do you see that?
13		A. Yes.
14		Q. Do you have an understanding as
15	01:58:29	to what's being referred to there as the
16		LAPD videos?
17		A. No.
18		Q. And in the e-mail that's above
19		that from you, it says, "Yes, we have the
20	01:58:45	LAPD video on our group, and I'll leave a
21		post in the comments for the LAPD video,
22		linking it back to our group."
23		Does that refresh your

recollection at all as to what the LAPD

24

25

01:58:58 video was?

TIPTON 2 of that video was? 3 I believe it was a viral video that Bacardi made. I'm not positive about 04:07:07 5 that. 6 Upon watching that video, did Q. 7 you come to any conclusions about whether 8 that video was authorized or not 9 authorized to be on YouTube? 04:07:21 10 Α. I believe I had actually read 11 about it in the Wall Street Journal, 12 before I had seen the video, so I already 13 knew that it had been authorized. 14 When you say that you "knew it Ο. 15 04:07:32 had been authorized," is that because the 16 Wall Street Journal article had mentioned 17 that it was? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Had you seen the video before 20 04:07:43 this person sent it to you? 21 I don't believe so. 2.2 0. Are you familiar with a YouTube

- user name called Dreamworks fan site?
- A. I don't recall it.
- 04:07:57 Q. Do you know if that was a user

9

10

11

12

13

14

2.2

23

24

- name that Paramount used to upload videos
 to YouTube?

 A. I don't recall.
- O4:08:05 Q. When you were working in

 Paramount interactive marketing, as of the time you left Paramount, what kind of computer were you using?

I don't mean like, you know, the 04:08:22 model number or anything. I mean was it a laptop, a desktop?

- A. It was a desktop PC.
- Q. Did you ever work from home on a different computer?
- 15 04:08:33 A. Maybe once or twice while I was
 16 sick, but it was --
- Q. Did you have remote access to your work materials at Paramount from home?
- 20 04:08:42 A. I was able to access e-mail, but
 21 not shared drive materials.
 - Q. How much e-mail did you receive, generally speaking, when you were working at Paramount?
- 04:09:04 A. A lot. It was probably in the

				102
1			TIPTON	
2		order of a	couple hundred e-mails a today.	
3		Q.	Again, on a very general level,	
4		approximat	ely how much e-mail would you	
5	04:09:17	say that y	ou sent while you were working	
6		at Paramou	int?	
7		Α.	Probably I think I looked at	
8		it once.	I think I sent about 20,000	
9		e-mails ov	er the three years that I was	
10	04:09:30	there.		
11		Q.	Did you have a BlackBerry or any	
12		type of re	emote e-mail device?	
13		Α.	No.	
14		Q.	Other than your official	
15	04:09:39	Paramount	e-mail address, did you have any	
16		other e-ma	il addresses that you used for	
17		work purpo	ses?	
18		Α.	No.	
19		Q.	When you left Paramount, what	
20	04:09:49	did you do	with your computer?	
21			Did you just leave it sitting	
22		there?		
23		Α.	Yes. It was Paramount property.	
24		Q.	You didn't take it with you?	
25	04:09:57	Α.	No.	

			183
1		TIPTON	
2		Q. Do you have any knowledge	ge about
3		what happened to it after you left	:?
4		A. No idea.	
5	04:10:06	Q. Did you have to like phy	sically
6		turn it in to someone, or did you	just
7		leave it on your desk?	
8		A. I just left it on my des	sk.
9		Q. Before leaving Paramount	z, did
10	04:10:16	you delete any of your e-mails?	
11		A. No.	
12		Q. Did you delete any other	types
13		of documents?	
14		A. No.	
15	04:10:24	Q. When you were working at	
16		Paramount, did you ever take hand	ritten
17		notes, like in a notebook?	
18		A. Yes.	
19		Q. Did you leave the notebo	ook at
20	04:10:33	Paramount?	
21		A. Probably, yes.	
22		Q. When you left Paramount,	did you
23		take any documents with you, like	hard

copy printouts, anything like that?

A. No.

24

25

04:10:48

		18
	TIPTON	
	Q. Did you use instant messaging	
	when you were at Paramount?	
	A. Yes.	
04:10:52	Q. What IM client did you use?	
	A. AIM.	
	Q. What was your screen name?	
	A. N, as in Nicole.	
	Q. Did you use the IP account for	
04:11:11	IM'ing with people at work about	
	work-related issues?	
	A. Yes.	
	Q. Did your IM client save all your	
	IM's? Like at some point, if you wanted	
04:11:24	to look and see what you said in an IM	
	earlier, were you able to go back and do	
	that?	
	A. I don't think mine was set to do	
	that.	
04:11:31	Q. Before you left Paramount, were	
	you ever told that you had an obligation	
	to preserve documents relating to this	
	lawsuit?	
	A. No.	
	04:11:11	Q. Did you use instant messaging when you were at Paramount? A. Yes. 04:10:52 Q. What IM client did you use? A. AIM. Q. What was your screen name? A

What was your general practice

25

04:11:39

Q.

1

2

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

04:12:20

04:12:31

04:12:40

with regard to deleting e-mails, when you were working at Paramount?

- A. Can you be more specific?
- O4:11:58

 Q. Sure. Like are you someone who keeps every single e-mail you ever sent or received? Are you someone who never has more than three e-mails in your in box, and you delete everything else?
- 10 04:12:10 Can you characterize your
 11 deletion practices?
 - A. I'm a filer. I usually file almost everything. Sometimes, if there was many e-mails in a strand, I would just keep the most recent, something like that.

 But for the most part, I'm a keeper.
 - Q. Was there a way, when you were working at Paramount, of searching through your e-mails? Like if you had to find an old e-mail, would you just use -- did you have Outlook or was it a different e-mail client?
 - A. We had a different e-mail client when I started there, but we switched to Outlook. So it was Outlook by the end.