1/8/2010 Kacholia, Varun

Doc. 274 Att. 10 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -- OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY

| 1    | UNITED STATES DISTRIC              | CT COURT              |  |
|------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 2    | FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT          | GOF NEW YORK          |  |
| 3    | ATTORNEYS EYES C                   | ONLY                  |  |
| 4    | VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY  | )                     |  |
|      | PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC            | )                     |  |
| 5    | TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT        | ) <mark>ຊ 57</mark>   |  |
|      | PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK    | ) <b>57</b><br>) 34   |  |
| 6    | ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,     | )                     |  |
|      | Plaintiffs,                        | )                     |  |
| 7    | vs.                                | ) Case No 1:07CV02103 |  |
|      | YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,       | )                     |  |
| 8    | and GOOGLE, INC.,                  | )                     |  |
|      | Defendants.                        | )                     |  |
| 9    |                                    | )                     |  |
|      | THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER   | )                     |  |
| 10   | LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al. | )                     |  |
|      | on behalf of themselves and all    | )                     |  |
| 11 . | others similarly situated,         | )                     |  |
|      | Plaintiffs,                        | )                     |  |
| 12   | vs.                                | ) Case No. 07CV03582  |  |
|      | YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE LLC, and    | )                     |  |
| 13   | GOOGLE, INC.,                      | )                     |  |
|      | Defendants.                        | )                     |  |
| 14   |                                    | )                     |  |
| 15   |                                    |                       |  |
| 16   | Deposition of VARUN KACHOLIA       |                       |  |
| 17   | Palo Alto, California              |                       |  |
| 18   | Friday, January 8,                 | 2010                  |  |
| 19   |                                    |                       |  |
| 20   | JOB NO. 18544                      |                       |  |
| 21   |                                    |                       |  |
| 22   |                                    |                       |  |
| 23   |                                    |                       |  |
| 24   |                                    |                       |  |
| 25   |                                    |                       |  |

## 1/8/2010 Kacholia, Varun

| 1  | 16:00:00 | correct?          | 57-0002                                 |   |
|----|----------|-------------------|---|---|
| 2  |          | A Yes.            |   |   |
| 3  | Γ        | Q Okay. N         | Nould you explain then in lay terms how |   |
| 4  |          | it is that the se | earch functionality determines which    |   |
| 5  |          | among the duplica | ates to display in response to a        |   |
| 6  |          | query?            |   |   |
| 7  |          | A Search :        | functionality only displays one video.  |   |
| 8  |          | It filters out a  | ll the rest of the duplicates. And the  |   |
| 9  |          | video which is se | elected to be displayed is the          |   |
| 10 |          | highest-ranking   | video based on how this search          |   |
| 11 |          | function the a    | algorithms that had ordered them.       |   |
| 12 |          | Q Okay. S         | So what is the can you tell me what     |   |
| 13 |          | the most importan | nt factor is?                           |   |
| 14 |          | MR. RUB           | IN: Objection, vague, lacks foundation, | , |
| 15 | 16:00:00 | incomplete hypoth | netical.                                |   |
| 16 |          | THE WIT           | NESS: The most important factor for     |   |
| 17 |          | what?             |   |   |
| 18 |          | MR. GALI          | OSTON: Sure. So in this scenario where  | Э |
| 19 |          | you have a query  | that returns multiple duplicates. The   |   |
| 20 |          | algorithm determ: | ines that it will display one, and it's |   |
| 21 |          | the most relevant | c one.                                  |   |
| 22 |          | Q Among tl        | ne various factors that it's            |   |
| 23 |          | considering, is t | there one factor that is most important |   |
| 24 |          | among all of the  | others?                                 |   |
| 25 |          | MR. RUBI          | IN: Objection, vague, calls for         |   |

165