1/8/2010 Kacholia, Varun

Doc. 274 Att. 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -- OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY

| 1    | UNITED STATES DISTRIC              | T COURT                |
|------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 2    | FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT          | OF NEW YORK            |
| 3    | ATTORNEYS EYES O                   | NLY                    |
| 4    | VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY  | )                      |
|      | PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC            | )                      |
| 5    | TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT        | ) <b>ទំ 56</b><br>) ខំ |
|      | PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK    | ) हा <u>र</u> (        |
| 6    | ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,     | )                      |
|      | Plaintiffs,                        | )                      |
| 7    | vs.                                | ) Case No 1:07CV02103  |
|      | YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,       | )                      |
| 8    | and GOOGLE, INC.,                  | >                      |
|      | Defendants.                        | )                      |
| 9    |                                    | )                      |
|      | THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER   | )                      |
| 10   | LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al. | )                      |
|      | on behalf of themselves and all    | )                      |
| 11 . | others similarly situated,         | )                      |
|      | Plaintiffs,                        | )                      |
| 12   | vs.                                | ) Case No. 07CV03582   |
|      | YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE LLC, and    | )                      |
| 13   | GOOGLE, INC.,                      | )                      |
|      | Defendants.                        | )                      |
| 14   |                                    | )                      |
| 15   |                                    |                        |
| 16   | Deposition of VARUN KACHOLIA       |                        |
| 17   | Palo Alto, California              |                        |
| 18   | Friday, January 8,                 | 2010                   |
| 19   |                                    |                        |
| 20   | JOB NO. 18544                      |                        |
| 21   |                                    |                        |
| 22   |                                    |                        |
| 23   |                                    |                        |
| 24   |                                    |                        |
| 25   |                                    |                        |

| 1  | 16:00:00 | help you understand my quest <b>560002</b> Is there any  |
|----|----------|--|
| 2  |          | technical limitation within the search                   |
| 3  |          | functionality as it exists today with why                |
| 4  |          | youtube do not exclude the videos that include           |
| 5  |          | these kinds of information?)                             |
| 6  |          | MR. RUBIN: I'll repeat my objections about               |
| 7  |          | scope, and add one about vagueness.                      |
| 8  |          | THE WITNESS: Search is a complex system and as           |
| 9  |          | it stands today I am not confident in answering this     |
| 10 |          | question.  |
| 11 |          | MR. GALDSTON:  |
| 12 |          | Q Okay. But the search functionality does not            |
| 13 |          | contain any function that omits videos that contain      |
| 14 |          | language like I don't own this music, correct?           |
| 15 | 16:00:00 | A Correct.   |
| 16 |          | Q Okay. But the functionality does omit                  |
| 17 |          | duplicate copies of videos that are returned in response |
| 18 |          | to a query; is that correct?                             |
| 19 |          | A That's correct.  |
| 20 |          | Q And if you turn to the second page of Exhibit 4        |
| 21 |          | at the bottom of the exhibit you'll see that the         |
| 22 |          | statement that some search results have been omitted     |
| 23 |          | that contain duplicates.                                 |
| 24 |          | How does the search functionality determine              |
| 25 |          | which of the duplicate videos to omit?                   |

163