From:	"Ali" <aliza@youtube.com></aliza@youtube.com>	
	*Chris Maxcy* <maxcy@google.com></maxcy@google.com>	
Cc:	"Kevin Yen" <kyen@google.com>, "Kelsey LeBea</kyen@google.com>	au" <klebeau@google.com></klebeau@google.com>
Boc:		P.
Received Date:	2007-08-14 05:37:31 GMT	
Subject:	Re: Warner Music - search results	🚊 Figueira Decl. Tab
Thanks Ali,		두 Figueira Decl. Tab 역 198
Tidtiko Ail,		8
Aniv chance we cou	d get the product team to mock up the 'crowd source'	5
concept as well as h	tow the one box will look? Just showing this to partners	
(and to the SPM/D's	zy inna nini nini ninizisi ninina nining nina ta harindara.	Redacted Pursuant to
	1)	Protective Order at
On 8/13/07 108	a@youtube.com> wtote:	Request of Defendants
	aeroniupa.com viola.	inequest of Defendants
> > fyl		
> 191		
	this convo to you earlier today	
> Orino i nijerinonegi >	n në sërren të konizerret rojetikër	
	d message	
> From: Ali < aliza@		
> Date: Aug 13, 200		
	ner Music - search results	
> To: Rami Bitar <ra< td=""><td></td><td></td></ra<>		
	gle.com, Matthew Liu <matthew@youtube.com></matthew@youtube.com>	
	gie.com, waunew uu <mainew.wyoulube.com></mainew.wyoulube.com>	
> > Thanks Rami.		
> < Chaobà 't haonn tha	and a second second on the second state state second	
	google search product is never to change our search	
	r partner content - I would never suggest this nor would	
> Warner expect it.		
> • Maxanintin		
> My point is		
>	in a set of keywords "Artist name+ sond" shouldn't the	
> when a user type:	in a set of keywords "Artist name+ song" shouldn't the	
> when a user type:	in a set of keywords "Artist name+ song" shouldn't the w up first ahead of pirated versions?	
> when a user types > official content shi >	ow up first ahead of pirated versions?	
> when a user types > official content shi > > in what instance c		
> when a user types > official content shi >	ow up first ahead of pirated versions?	
> when a user types > official content shi > > in what instance c > official one? >	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the	
> when a user types > official content shi > > in what instance c > official one? > > a call would be he	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the 	alt sea.
<ul> <li>&gt; when a user types</li> <li>&gt; official content shi</li> <li>&gt; in what instance c</li> <li>&gt; official one?</li> <li>&gt; a call would be he</li> <li>&gt; guarantee from a</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the 	lue
<ul> <li>&gt; when a user types</li> <li>&gt; official content shi</li> <li>&gt; in what instance c</li> <li>&gt; official one?</li> <li>&gt;</li> <li>&gt; a call would be he</li> <li>&gt; guarantee from a</li> <li>&gt; any background w</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the 	lue
<ul> <li>when a user types</li> <li>official content shi</li> <li>in what instance c</li> <li>official one?</li> <li>a call would be he</li> <li>guarantee from a</li> <li>any background w</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the 	lue
<ul> <li>&gt; when a user types</li> <li>&gt; official content shi</li> <li>&gt; in what instance c</li> <li>&gt; official one?</li> <li>&gt; a call would be he</li> <li>&gt; guarantee from a</li> <li>&gt; any background w</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the 	lue
<ul> <li>&gt; when a user types</li> <li>&gt; official content shi</li> <li>&gt; in what instance c</li> <li>&gt; official one?</li> <li>&gt; a call would be he</li> <li>&gt; guarantee from a</li> <li>&gt; any background w</li> <li>&gt;</li> <li>&gt; On 8/13/07, Flami</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the 	lue
<ul> <li>&gt; when a user types</li> <li>&gt; official content shi</li> <li>&gt; in what instance c</li> <li>&gt; official one?</li> <li>&gt; a call would be he</li> <li>&gt; guarantee from a</li> <li>&gt; any background w</li> <li>&gt; On 8/13/07, Flami</li> <li>&gt; &gt;</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the lipful regardless of how useful we think it would be I relationship management standpoint, they will greatly va a can give. Bitar < rami@geogle.com> wrote:	lue
<ul> <li>&gt; when a user types</li> <li>&gt; official content shi</li> <li>&gt; in what instance c</li> <li>&gt; official one?</li> <li>&gt; a call would be he</li> <li>&gt; guarantee from a</li> <li>&gt; any background w</li> <li>&gt; On 8/13/07, Flami</li> <li>&gt; &gt; Just so 1 can</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the lipful regardless of how useful we think it would be I relationship management standpoint, they will greatly va a can give. Bitar < rami@geogle.com> wrote: explain my thinking here: we should never be in the	
<ul> <li>&gt; when a user types</li> <li>&gt; official content shi</li> <li>&gt; in what instance c</li> <li>&gt; official one?</li> <li>&gt; a call would be he</li> <li>&gt; guarantee from a</li> <li>&gt; any background w</li> <li>&gt; On 8/13/07, Flami</li> <li>&gt; &gt; Just so I can</li> <li>&gt; business of chai</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the lipful regardless of how useful we think it would be I relationship management standpoint, they will greatly va a can give. Bitar < rami@geogle.com> wrote:	
<ul> <li>when a user types</li> <li>official content shi</li> <li>in what instance c</li> <li>official one?</li> <li>a call would be he</li> <li>guarantee from a</li> <li>any background w</li> <li>any background w</li> <li>&gt; On 8/13/07, Flami</li> <li>&gt; &gt; Just so I can</li> <li>&gt; business of chat</li> <li>&gt; owner is,</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the lipful regardless of how useful we think it would be I relationship management standpoint, they will greatly va a can give. Bitar < rami@geogle.com> wrote: explain my thinking here: we should never be in the	
<ul> <li>&gt; when a user types</li> <li>&gt; official content shi</li> <li>&gt; in what instance c</li> <li>&gt; official one?</li> <li>&gt; a call would be he</li> <li>&gt; guarantee from a</li> <li>&gt; any background w</li> <li>&gt; On 8/13/07, Flami</li> <li>&gt; &gt; &gt; Just so 1 can</li> <li>&gt; &gt; business of chai</li> <li>&gt; &gt; owner is,</li> <li>&gt; &gt;</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the lipful regardless of how useful we think it would be I relationship management standpoint, they will greatly va a can give. Bitar < rami@geogle.com> wrote: explain my thinking here: we should never be in the nging our search algorithms to favor content based on w	
<ul> <li>when a user types</li> <li>official content shi</li> <li>in what instance c</li> <li>official one?</li> <li>a call would be he</li> <li>guarantee from a</li> <li>any background w</li> <li>any background w</li> <li>On 8/13/07, Flami</li> <li>&gt; Substrates of can</li> <li>&gt; business of chai</li> <li>&gt; owner is,</li> <li>&gt; Acreed, our cur</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the lipful regardless of how useful we think it would be I relationship management standpoint, they will greatly va a can give. Bitar < rami@geogle.com> wrote: explain my thinking here: we should never be in the nging our search algorithms to favor content based on w	
<ul> <li>&gt; when a user types</li> <li>&gt; official content shi</li> <li>&gt; in what instance c</li> <li>&gt; official one?</li> <li>&gt; a call would be he</li> <li>&gt; guarantee from a</li> <li>&gt; any background w</li> <li>&gt; On 8/13/07, Flami</li> <li>&gt; &gt; Just so I can</li> <li>&gt; &gt; business of chai</li> <li>&gt; &gt; owner is,</li> <li>&gt; &gt;</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the lipful regardless of how useful we think it would be I relationship management standpoint, they will greatly va a can give. Bitar < rami@geogle.com> wrote: explain my thinking here: we should never be in the nging our search algorithms to favor content based on w	
<ul> <li>when a user types</li> <li>official content shi</li> <li>in what instance c</li> <li>official one?</li> <li>a call would be he</li> <li>guarantee from a</li> <li>any background w</li> <li>any background w</li> <li>On 8/13/07, Flami</li> <li>&gt; &gt;. Just so I can</li> <li>&gt; business of chai</li> <li>&gt; owner is,</li> <li>&gt; Arreed, our cur</li> </ul>	ow up first ahead of pirated versions? an we justify showing a copyrighted version above the lipful regardless of how useful we think it would be I relationship management standpoint, they will greatly va a can give. Bitar < rami@geogle.com> wrote: explain my thinking here: we should never be in the nging our search algorithms to favor content based on w	

> > We also plan to launch a partner one box that will trigger a channel

 $\rightarrow$ 

G00001-01531017

>> result when a query highly matches a channel (ie, "BBC") -- but this won't >> be release until v24 (October 31) at best. >> >>> But they have requested we set up a call with their engineers and a >> few folks from our product team so they can find out anything else they can >> be doing to better the search experience so their video results show up at >> the top when say someone types in "madonna like a prayer" >> >> Sure thing -- we should include David Stoutamire who is the Tech Lead in > > Mountain View on search quality. My only hesitation is that they have > > strict policies against discussing ranking (even at a high-level) with > > anyone at Google much less outside of Google, so I'm not sure how useful the > > discussion will be. >> > > David might still be on vacation but I'll start a thread (and CC you) to > > setup a time for this call. >> > > Best. >> > > Rami >> >> >> On 8/9/07, Shashi Seth <shashis@google.com> wrote: >>> >>> Just so I can explain my thinking here: we should never be in the >>> business of changing our search algorithms to favor content based on who the >> > owner is. In search the same requests come to us from NY Times and Wall > > Street Journal - who claim that their content should always be placed higher > > > than anybody else. >>> >>> The onebox achieves this by keeping, the search results the same > > because our algorithm picked it based on raking/relevance, etc. - yet lets >>> the user know that the onebox simply points out where the "original" content >>> lives. >>> >>> Shashi >>> >>> On 8/9/07, Shashi Seth < shashis@google.com> wrote: >>>> >>>> I will let Rami reply to this, but in my opinion the only way we can >>>> do this through a "onebox" which shows "original" content first when an >>> > exact (or very high confidence) match happens. I think this is on Rami's >>> roadmap - but not sure when. >>>> >>>>Shashi >>>> >>> On 8/9/07, Ali < aliza@youtube.com> wrote: >>>>> >>>> Hey Rami, Shashi, >>>>> >>>> Warner Music has been asking for some time now, for further >>>> clarity around our YT search results. As you can imagine, they are a bit >>>> frustrated with copyrighted versions of their videos showing up first in >>>> search results. I know this is not an easy fix and part of a much larger >>>> effort we continue to work on. But they have requested we set up a call >>>> with their engineers and a few folks from our product team so they can find >>>> out anything else they can be doing to better the search experience so their >>>> video results show up at the top when say someone types in "madonna like a

```
>>>> prayer"
>>>>>
>>>> http://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=madonna+like+a+prayer&search=Search
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> or "the white stripes"
>>>>>
>>>> http://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=the+white+stripes&search=Search
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> they seem to come up second, or further down the chain almost
>>>> every time. In these cases, their videos should be showing up first. Are
>>>> you the correct person to involve? They understand we will not be giving
>>>> them a clear solution on this call, but want to better understand our
>>>> product and vision. Let me know who else I should include. Hoping to set
>>>> this up for Monday or Wed of next week.
>>>>>
>>>> Thanks,
>>>> Ali
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
シンシン---
>>>> Shashi Seth
>>>>
>>>> What Primetime? There is no more Primetime! YouTube users decide
>>>> when it is primetime - and that will change the dynamics of TV and Video
>>> > advertising.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>--
>>> Shashi Seth
>>>
>>> What Primetime? There is no more Primetime! YouTube users decide when
>>> it is primetime - and that will change the dynamics of TV and Video
> > > advertising.
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>--
> > Rami Bitar
> > YouTube-Google
>>
> > rami@google.com
>
>
≻
```

Chris Maxcy YouTube.com chris@youtube.com