UNI	TED STATES DIS	TRICT COU	RT	
SOU	JTHERN DISTRICT	OF NEW Y	ORK	
		X		
VIACOM INTERNAT PARTNERS, COUNT TELEVISION, INC PICTURES CORPOR ENTERTAINMENT T	Y MUSIC C., PARAMOUNT RATION, and BLA	CK		
	Plaintiff vs.	-	07-CV-2203	
YOUTUBE, INC., and GOOGLE, INC				
	Defendant	s.		
all others simi	larly situated. Plaintiff vs.	s,	07-CV-3582	
YOUTUBE, INC., and GOOGLE, INC				
Defendants.		X		
	HIGHLY CONFI D DEPOSITION O AN FRANCISCO, THURSDAY, APRI	F ZAHAVAH C A LIFORNI	A	
BY: KATHERINE	E. LAUSTER, CS	R 1894, R	.PR, CRR, CLR	
Job No. 16721				
		PE MG-401-4531-4598	Figueira Decl. Tab 284	

4/2/2009 Levine, Zahavah

1	LEVINE
2	MR. KRAMER: Do you want to have it read
3	back?
4	MR. COX: Yes, please.
5	(Record read:
6	Question: So it's your testimony that,
7	notwithstanding the recommendation of this
8	document, YouTube continued to make
9	case-by-case determinations about whether
10	to grant exemptions from the three strikes
11	policy for content partners?)
12	THE WITNESS: Right. That yeah. I
13	should say, when you say "the recommendation of this
14	document," I'm not sure that this document is a
15	recommendation, or that this document accurately
16	reflects a, you know, legal recommendation, but it
17	is my understanding that the policy is a
18	case-by-case exceptions from the three strikes
19	are determined on a case-by-case basis.
20	BY MR. COX:
21	Q. Without getting into privileged
22	information, what factors are relevant in that
23	case-by-case determination?
24	MR. KRAMER: I think that that it's
25	hard for her to answer that question without getting