Doc. 276 Att. 122

	HIGE 1/8/2010 Kacholia, Varun	ILY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY
1	UNITED STATES DISTR	ICT COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRI	
3	ATTORNEYS EYES	
4	VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY	
	PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC	) Figuring Deal Tab
5	TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT	) 호 Figueira Decl. Tab ) 호 288
	PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK	) 5 Figueira Decl. Tab ) 5 288 ) 5
6	ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,	, "
	Plaintiffs,	)
7	vs.	) Case No 1:07CV02103
	YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,	> Perfected Durau et ta
8	and GOOGLE, INC.,	<ul> <li>Redacted Pursuant to</li> <li>Protective Order at</li> </ul>
	Defendants.	Request of Defendants
9		_ )
	THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER	)
10	LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.	)
	on behalf of themselves and all	)
11 .	others similarly situated,	)
	Plaintiffs,	)
12	vs.	) Case No. 07CV03582
	YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE LLC, and	)
13	GOOGLE, INC.,	)
	Defendants.	)
14		)
15		
16	Deposition of VARUN	KACHOLIA
17	Palo Alto, Calif	ornia
18	Friday, January 8	, 2010
19		
20	JOB NO. 18544	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1

1	11:02:47	MS. MAGUIRE: I understand this is complicated
2		which is why I'm having a little bit of trouble.
3		Q Can you talk me through a little bit more about
4		how relative weights are assigned to certain metadata
5		fields?
6		MR. RUBIN: Objection. Vague, calls for
7		speculation, incomplete hypothetical, calls for a
8		narrative.
9		THE WITNESS: Because the search algorithm, it
10		uses all these different fields:
11		and others that you have and
12		identifies how well they match the query that the user
13		entered in order to produce the most relevant results.
14		MS. MAGUIRE: Okay.
15	11:03:40	THE WITNESS: It's a complex algorithm, and I
16		cannot just assign weights to each of those in
17		abstract.
18		MS. MAGUIRE: Okay. What about maybe
19		something like this would work:
20		This result in front of us, the word "dog". If
21		I typed in the word "dog," can you give me an example of
22		what would happen behind the scenes in terms of that
23		matching?
24		MR. RUBIN: Objection, calls for speculation,
25		incomplete hypothetical, vague.

1	11:04:22	THE WITNESS: So, the first step would be	
2		identifying videos which contain that term "dog".	
3		MS. MAGUIRE: Mm-hmm.	
4		THE WITNESS: And the second step would be	
5		identifying the pieces of metadata for each video	
6		that to contain the word dog, that the query	
7		dog.	
8		MS. MAGUIRE: Okay.	
9		THE WITNESS: And then using all these as the	
10		input to determine the overall relevance of the first	
11		video versus the second or tenth.	
12		MS. MAGUIRE: You said the overall	
13		importance?	
14		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
14 15	11:05:00	THE WITNESS: Yes. MS. MAGUIRE: Okay.	
	11:05:00		
15	11:05:00	MS. MAGUIRE: Okay.	
15 16	11:05:00	MS. MAGUIRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: Relevance.	
15 16 17	11:05:00	MS. MAGUIRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: Relevance. MS. MAGUIRE: Are there pieces of metadata that	
15 16 17 18	11:05:00	MS. MAGUIRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: Relevance. MS. MAGUIRE: Are there pieces of metadata that are more important than other pieces of metadata?	
15 16 17 18 19	11:05:00	MS. MAGUIRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: Relevance. MS. MAGUIRE: Are there pieces of metadata that are more important than other pieces of metadata? MR. RUBIN: Objection, vague and asked and	
15 16 17 18 19 20	11:05:00	MS. MAGUIRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: Relevance. MS. MAGUIRE: Are there pieces of metadata that are more important than other pieces of metadata? MR. RUBIN: Objection, vague and asked and answered.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	11:05:00	MS. MAGUIRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: Relevance. MS. MAGUIRE: Are there pieces of metadata that are more important than other pieces of metadata? MR. RUBIN: Objection, vague and asked and answered. THE WITNESS: It really depends on the query	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	11:05:00	MS. MAGUIRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: Relevance. MS. MAGUIRE: Are there pieces of metadata that are more important than other pieces of metadata? MR. RUBIN: Objection, vague and asked and answered. THE WITNESS: It really depends on the query and the video.	

1	16:00:00	refine their search.
2		Q Is it fair to say it's a way to filter the
3		results to the original query?
4		A No.
5		Q So if, for example, I select the on the far
6		right-hand corner where it says partner's video
7		partner videos. If I selected that option what what
8		would the search functionality do?
9		MR. RUBIN: Objection, vague.
10		THE WITNESS: It would limit the results to
11		only those that are
12		MR. GALDSTON:
13		Q And how would the search functionality
14		determine which videos were partner videos?
15	16:00:00	MR. RUBIN: Objection, vague.
16		THE WITNESS: This is it's
17		
18		do not have a definitive answer on how a video is marked
19		as partner video by YouTube, but I believe it is by the
20		users that upload it, if they have been approved by
21		YouTube in some way.
22		MR. GALDSTON:
23		Q So is it fair to say then that
24		is one of the metadata that the search functionality
25		considers when returning search results?

L

1	16:00:00	MR. RUBIN: Objection, vague, calls for
2		speculation.
3		THE WITNESS: Considers when?
4		MR. GALDSTON: When responding to a user query.
5		THE WITNESS: With the filter? With the
6		• •
7		MR. GALDSTON: Well, let's start
8		
9		THE WITNESS:
10		MR. GALDSTON: Okay.
11		Q So with the is that a metadata
12		field that the search functionality can consider in
13		response to a user query?
14		A If
15	16:00:00	MR. RUBIN: Objection.
16		THE WITNESS: If the features option is
17		returning
18		MR. GALDSTON: Okay. Turning your attention to
19		Exhibit 18. Let me know when you're ready.
20		THE WITNESS: Yes.
21		MR. GALDSTON: Okay. So you see I've selected
22		the selected search options on the upper left-hand
23		corner, and it appears to have dropped down a menu. And
24		among the options is "refine your search by location".
25		THE WITNESS: Yes, it didn't print out, but I

181