

FIGURE 400-931-4999
Figueira Decl. Tab
311

To: "Franck Chastagnol" <fchastagnol@youtube.com>
From: "Matthew Liu" <matthew@youtube.com>
Cc: "zheng liu" <zliu@youtube.com>, "Yi-Ling Su" <yilingsu@youtube.com>, "Frey Waid" <frey@youtube.com>, "Hong Qu" <hong@youtube.com>
Bcc:
Received Date: 2006-12-18 23:44:00 GMT
Subject: Re: live-v15 product questions

Redacted Pursuant to
Protective Order at
Request of Defendants

Sorry, I meant CYC for music partners on. CBS will probably not be stupid enough to claim their own video in the PVA whereas UMG might claim something that CBS put up with music. It is not a super high priority item.

On Dec 18, 2006, at 3:35 PM, Franck Chastagnol wrote:

> [-Cc'ing hong on this email as if we decide to change the naming of
> the Track policy that will be work for him]
>
> Matt: I'm fine with changing the naming, maybe chat with Richard/
> Mark to see if they have any opinion.
>
> Matt: for question 3, you said you think we should do this as soon
> as we turn CYC on, but CYC is going to be turned on for live-v15...
> can you clarify? I think we may not have time to build this, so
> unless it is top priority, I would put this as a live-v16 item.
>
> thanks,
> franck

> On Dec 18, 2006, at 2:43 PM, Matthew Liu wrote:

>> Right now we are trying to work with a model where there will no
>> longer be an escrow and no retro-active payments. Chris and
>> Zahavah are negotiating hard for this new model. It's a little
>> hard to say what the final business requirements are and we may
>> have to keep the track only option, but hopefully we will not need
>> it.
>>
>> Sorry, I know that is a wishy-washy answer.


>> On Dec 18, 2006, at 2:38 PM, Zheng Liu wrote:

>>> Hi, Franck and Matt:

>>> I remember WMG asked for the functionality to retro-pay the track-
>>> only claims, because track-only will be used on the publishing
>>> right uncleared videos. Later this requirement was dropped. But
>>> do you vision that down the road we need to support such feature?
>>> Or track-only should never be used to put the generated revenue
>>> into some escrow account that need to be paid later. Without the
>>> ability to track revenue on video_id base, it is difficult to
>>> implement such feature.

>>> Zheng

>>> On Dec 18, 2006, at 11:16 AM, Franck Chastagnol wrote:



>>> I remember WMG asked for the functionality to retro-pay the track-
>>> only claims, because track-only will be used on the publishing
>>> right uncleared videos. Later this requirement was dropped. But

>>>
>>>> hi matt,
>>>>
>>>> could you answer asap the questions listed at
>>>> <https://trac.sjl.youtube.com/trac/wiki/UNCLE.LiveV15>
>>>>
>>>> everybody will be OOO between christmas and new year.
>>>> so we have to flesh out everything this week.
>>>>
>>>> thanks,
>>>> franck
>>>
>>
>> Matthew Liu
>> Product Manager
>> [REDACTED] | matthew@youtube.com
>>
>>
>>
>
