1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3) 4 THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,) 5 on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, 6 7 Plaintiffs, VS. No. 07-CV-3582 8 9 YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC., 10 Defendants. 11 12 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF 13 KEITH HAUPRICH NEW YORK, NEW YORK 14 TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2008 15 BY: REBECCA SCHAUMLOFFEL, RPR, CLR 16 JOB NO. 16046 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Figueira Decl. Tab 314

1	KEITH HAUPRICH
2	Q. Do you know whether there
3	was any resolution of this issue in
4	terms of determining whether those
5	postings were, in fact, authorized?
6	MR. HART: Objection to
7	form. Foundation.
8	A. Sitting here today, I don't
9	know.
10	Q. You noted earlier that some
11	of your complaints with respect to
12	YouTube related to the fact that videos
13	would reappear on YouTube and that
14	other videos with the same name would
15	continue to exist on YouTube after
16	takedown notice of other videos.
17	Did I capture that
18	correctly?
19	MR. HART: Object to form.
20	A. I think I would say it a
21	little differently. Once a specific
22	URL was taken down, the same piece of
23	video can reemerge under a different
24	URL and titles constantly reappear
25	whether or not one specific use of that

1	KEITH HAUPRICH
2	title for one specific URL was taken
3	down.
4	Q. Did those same issues exist
5	with respect to the website Rever?
6	A. Sitting here today, I can't
7	recall.
8	Q. Do you know whether those
9	same issues existed with respect to the
10	website MySpace?
11	A. Sitting here today, I can't
12	recall.
13	Q. Do you know whether those
14	same issues existed with respect to the
15	website imeem?
16	A. Sitting here today, I cannot
17	recall.
18	Q. Do you recall whether those
19	same issues exist sorry.
20	Do you recall sitting here
21	today whether those same issues exist
22	with respect to any of the websites for
23	which there is UGC content?
24	MR. HART: Object to form.
25	Amongst the ones he identified?

1	KEITH HAUPRICH
2	MR. GOEKE: I am about to
3	get into a fairly lengthy set of
4	questions. We can either take a
5	break now or go through a half an
6	hour. It is your call.
7	MR. HART: I wouldn't mind a
8	little break.
9	Can you give me a rough idea
10	of after this, would it be a half
11	hour of questioning
12	MR. GOEKE: Off the record.
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is
14	3:47 p.m., and we are going off
15	the record.
16	(Whereupon, an
17	off-the-record discussion was
18	held.)
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time
20	is 3:59 p.m., and we are back on
21	the record.
22	BY MR. GOEKE:
23	Q. Mr. Hauprich, are you aware
24	of any benefits that are generally
25	derived from having works appear on

1	KEITH HAUPRICH	
2	YouTube?	
3	A. No. I would say YouTube has	
4	become the product as opposed to	
5	promoting sales of CD's or other means	
6	of getting the music. YouTube is the	
7	product. No one is going to get out	
8	and buy something if they can watch it	
9	all day every day for free on their	
10	computer.	
11	Q. Did you at one point believe	
12	there were benefits to be had from	
13	having, for example, Cherry Lane	
14	content appear on YouTube?	
15	A. When YouTube when I first	
16	became aware of YouTube, I kept an open	
17	mind. I expected YouTube to contact	
18	the rights holders and clear the	
19	rights, and I didn't rush to	
20	conclusion.	
21	Q. And at the time, did you	
22	think there would be some benefits for	
23	having Cherry Lane works appear on	
24	YouTube?	
25	A. When I first became aware of	