

To: "Cuong Do" <cdo@youtube.com>
 From: [REDACTED]
 Cc: "YouTube Ops" <[REDACTED]>
 Bcc: [REDACTED]
 Received Date: 2006-03-29 23:07:14 GMT
 Subject: Re: getting beaten down on digg

PE 116125 300-031-0000
 Figueira Decl. Tab
 211

I think everyone in Ops agrees on this, but we lost heh.

--
bradley

On Mar 29, 2006, at 12:33 PM, Cuong Do wrote:

> I'm not a fan of this policy either. "Review before making public"
 > for >10 minute videos seems to be the right thing to do, especially
 > considering the army of content reviewers we seem to have now.

>
> Cuong

>
> On Mar 29, 2006, at 12:26 PM, Colin Corbett wrote:

>
 >> http://www.digg.com/technology/
 >> YouTube.com_Downgrades_Feature_to_Prevent_Copyright_Violations
 >>
 >> 10 minutes is not going down too well.
 >> --C
 >>
 >

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<!DOCTYPE plist PUBLIC "-//Apple Computer//DTD PLIST 1.0//EN" "http://www.apple.com/DTDs/PropertyList-1.0.dtd">
<plist version="1.0">
<dict>
  <key>date-sent</key>
  <real>1143670028</real>
  <key>flags</key>
  <integer>570686593</integer>
  <key>original-mailbox</key>
  <string>pop://mike@dev.youtube.com/</string>
  <key>remote-id</key>
  <string>435e99690002003d</string>
  <key>sender</key>
  <string>Bradley Heilbrun &lt;brad@youtube.com&gt;</string>
  <key>subject</key>
  <string>Re: getting beaten down on digg</string>
  <key>to</key>
  <string>Cuong Do &lt;cdo@youtube.com&gt;</string>
</dict>
</plist>
```

Redacted Pursuant to
 Protective Order at
 Request of Defendants

DATE: 2/13/09
 DEPONENT: Du
 CASE: Viacom, et al., v. YouTube, et al., The Football
 Association Premier League, et al., v. YouTube, et al.,
 Case Nos. 07-CV-2203 and 07-CV-3582

A. Ignacio Howard, CSR, RPR, CCRR, CLR, No. 9830

Highly Confidential

GOO001-02482760