

1488-10-004 CT-01-12
Figueira Decl. Tab
223

To: "Misty Ewing-Davis" <mistye@google.com>, "Maryrose Dunton" <maryrose@youtube.com>
From: "veedub@google.com" <veedub@google.com>
Cc: "Julie Havens" <jhavens@google.com>, "Community YT Group" <estreich@youtube.com>, "Mia Quagliarello" <mquagliarello@google.com>, "Damien Estreich" <estreich@youtube.com>
Bcc:
Received Date: 2007-07-18 19:05:13 CST
Subject: Re: [Ytcommunity] Re: Private videos

Redacted Pursuant to
Protective Order at
Request of Defendants

Adding MR, who should be able to solve the mystery. I think she's PMing this feature.

What I heard is the same as what Misty and Julie are saying - we limited the Private Videos Share functionality because the general YT policy has shifted to be, "Never police anything pro-actively, all content reviews should be reactive." That's my paraphrasing of it, but that's why we no use the Private Videos review queue in Admin - now we only review private videos if we get notified of an issue with a specific video/user/group.

I think porn (esp. child porn) was the bigger concern over copyright (which is, in theory, mostly addressed by the 10-min upload limit Julie mentioned).

MR - Can you confirm the official story?

Thanks,
V :)

On 7/18/07, Misty Ewing-Davis <mistye@google.com> wrote:

>
> I think it also has to do with us not being able to monitor private
> videos. Because of this it makes it easier for people to upload porn and
> then spread it to a lot of people. This is definitely not something we would
> make public knowledge, but it may have something to do with why it has
> changed.

> Misty

> On 7/18/07, Julie Havens <jhavens@google.com> wrote:

>>
>> It sounds like this was put into place to further combat the uploading
>> of copyrighted videos. A trend we see is that people upload copyrighted
>> videos to their private videos (which are not reviewed unless flagged), and
>> then they invite large numbers of people to view the video which bypasses
>> our copyright restrictions.

>>
>> This sort of practice of going under the radar is also the reason we
>> have to maintain the ten minute uploading rule for all users. I'm not sure
>> if making this information public to our community is something we are going
>> to be able to do as it may only encourage people to find new ways to bypass
>> our Terms of Use. Just my thoughts.

>> Julie

>> On 7/17/07, Mia Quagliarello <mquagliarello@google.com> wrote:

>>>



>> It sounds like this was put into place to further combat the uploading of copyrighted videos. A trend we see is that people upload copyrighted videos to their private videos (which are not reviewed unless flagged), and then they invite large numbers of people to view the video which bypasses our copyright restrictions.

>>> Yeah, this makes sense to me ... let's add it to the list of community
>>> feature requests for Virginia?
>>>
>>> On 7/17/07, Damien Estreich <estreich@youtube.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> I think something we need to work on a little is the private videos
>>>> feature, apparently there has been a recent change? Honestly the entire
>>>> process has always confused me. I think we need an additional setting for
>>>> private videos, we have 'Friends', 'Family' and we should have
>>>> 'Subscribers', and the vid would need to appear in the subscription center,
>>>> at the moment you can never see the vid unless you're sent it.
>>>>
>>>> Any thoughts on my random jibberish? heh
>>>>
>>>> D
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On 7/17/07, gfn <[REDACTED]> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> Hello Sir,
>>>>>
>>>>> I am sorry to bother you, but I was wondering if you could tell me
>>>>> why YouTube has so drastically changed it's Private video settings? I mean,
>>>>> now if a video is private we can only let 25 people at a time have access to
>>>>> it? One of the things I like doing is making most of my vids private and
>>>>> then allowing only my subscribers to see them; now that's not an option. I
>>>>> was wondering if there was something I could do or write to in order to see
>>>>> if I could have the power to allow just my subscribers to see my private
>>>>> vids? Please help me if you can; you're the only one I've written to at
>>>>> YouTube who ever seems to respond to me directly.
>>>>>
>>>>> Thank you so much,
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> ^gfn02*
>>>>>
>>>>> -----
>>>>> Ready for the edge of your seat? Check out tonight's top
picks<http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=48220/*http://tv.yahoo.com/>on Yahoo! TV.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> _____
>>>>> Ytcommunity mailing list
>>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>>> <https://mailman.corp.google.com/mailman/listinfo/ytcommunity>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> _____
>>>>> Ytcommunity mailing list
>>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>>> <https://mailman.corp.google.com/mailman/listinfo/ytcommunity>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>

> > --
> > YouTube
> > Content Safety, Quality, and User Advocacy Dept.
> > phone [REDACTED]
> > cell [REDACTED]
> > email jhavens@youtube.com
> > _____
> > Ytcommunity mailing list
> > [REDACTED]
> > <https://mailman.corp.google.com/mailman/listinfo/ytcommunity>
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > Ytcommunity mailing list
> > [REDACTED]
> > <https://mailman.corp.google.com/mailman/listinfo/ytcommunity>
> >
> >
> > _____