UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ------X ALAN NEWTON, Plaintiff, - against THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al., Defendants.

The state of the s
USUC SDNY
DOCUMENT
FLICTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 6/29/09
7=11-07

ORDER

07 Civ. 6211

SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN, U.S.D.J.:

On June 17, 2009, plaintiff moved for leave to file an amended complaint, which named thirteen additional defendants. At defendants' request, this Court will hold the motion in abeyance until resolution of the two pending Newton v. The City of New York et al. yudgment. Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file an amended complaint tolls the statute of limitations with regard to the newly-named plaintiffs. See, e.g., Moore v. State of Indiana, 999 F.2d 1125 (7th Cir. 1993). If any portion of this case survives summary judgment, defendants must file their opposition within two weeks of this Court's decision. Plaintiff will then have one week to file a reply.

SO ORDERED:

Shira A. Scheindlin

U.S.D.J.

Dated: New York, New York

June 26, 2009

Doc. 88

- Appearances -

For Plaintiff:

John Francis Schutty III, Esq. Law Office of John F. Schutty 445 Park Avenue, 9th Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 836-4796

For Defendants:

Arthur Gabriel Larkin III, Esq. Fred Michael Weiler, Esq. The New York City Law Department 100 Church Street New York, New York 10007 (212) 788-1599