CARTER LEDYARD & MILBURN LLP

Counselors at Law

2 Wall Street Gary D. Sesser, ork, NY 10005-2072 Partner (212) 732-3200 Direct Dial: 212-238 (212) 732-3232 E-mail: sesser@clm. 1 2007 CHARGE LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN September 10, 2007 U.S.D.J.

701 8th Street, N.W., Suite 410 Washington, DC 20001-3893 (202) 898-1515

work, NY 10022-6856 DOCUMEN ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:

DATE FILED:

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Hon. Laura T. Swain United States District Judge **United States District Court** 500 Pearl Street, Room 755 New York, NY 10007

IT IS ORDERED that counsel to whom this Memo Endorsement is sent is responsible for faxing or otherwise delivering promptly a copy to all counsel and unrepresented parties and filing a certificate of such service within 5 days from the date hereof. Do of the such certification to Chambers.

Re:

Joe Simon-Whelan v. The Andy Warhol Foundation for the

Visual Arts, Inc., et al.

Index No. 07-CV-6423 (LTS) (AJP)

Dear Judge Swain:

We represent the defendants Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, Inc., Vincent Fremont, Vincent Fremont Enterprises, and The Andy Warhol Art Authentication Board, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") in the above-captioned matter.

On or before September 14, 2007, we intend to file a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint Vegues pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. We are writing to you to request that the Court extend the page limitation for our Memorandum of Law from 25 pages to 45 pages. Plaintiff's counsel consents to this request.

We believe the page extension is necessary to respond adequately to the lengthy and complex allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint. The 47-page Complaint includes 206 paragraphs of allegations and purports to state nine causes of action, including claims for violations of the federal and state antitrust laws, the Lanham Act, fraud, "punitive damages," declaratory judgment, breach of contract, and breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. The attached letter which was sent last week to Plaintiff's counsel in compliance with this Court's rules to attempt to resolve matters prior to the filing of civil motions, summarizes Defendants' bases for their Motion to Dismiss, and illustrates why the Memorandum of Law will require more than 25 pages. In particular, Plaintiff's antitrust claims raise numerous points of law that require analysis, particularly in light of new Supreme Court precedent in Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 127 S.Ct. 1955 (2007).

SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

6225374.1