

# ***EXHIBIT H***

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BOURNE CO., )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM )

CORPORATION, FOX BROADCASTING )

COMPANY, TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX )

TELEVISION, INC., TWENTIETH )

CENTURY FOX HOME ENTERTAINMENT, )

INC., FUZZY DOOR PRODUCTIONS, )

INC., THE CARTOON NETWORK, INC., )

SETH MAC FARLANE, WALTER MURPHY, )

Defendants. )

ORIGINAL

No. 07 CIV. 8580 (DAB)

RULE 30(B) (6) DEPOSITION OF FOX EMPLOYEE

LIANNE SIEGEL SHATTUCK

TAKEN ON

THURSDAY, MARCH 13, 2008

Reported by:

Daryl Baucum, RPR, CRR, CBC, CSR No. 10356

1 Q. And these changes were made?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. During season two of the Family Guy, did Fox  
4 approve the "When You Wish Upon a Weinstein" episode for  
5 broadcast?

6 MR. ZAVIN: Objection; just the confusion again  
7 treating Fox as one entity.

8 BY MR. FAKLER:

9 Q. Did any Fox entity approve the Weinstein  
10 episode for broadcast?

11 A. Well, 20th Century Fox Television, the studio I  
12 work for, approved it creatively.

13 Q. And do you know if standards and practices  
14 approved it for broadcast?

15 A. No, I believe they did not at that time.

16 Q. At that time.

17 And was the episode aired as part of season  
18 two?

19 A. No.

20 Q. And I think what you were alluding to as at a  
21 certain time the episode was aired by Fox Broadcasting.

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And why did Fox change its position on that?

24 A. Well, by that time it had already aired several  
25 times on Cartoon Network and it had also been released

1 on DVD and there was no public outcry or comment on it.  
2 So they felt it was safe to air.

3 Q. With respect to the Fox defendants in this  
4 lawsuit, is it their contention that Walt Disney was an  
5 antisemite?

6 MR. ZAVIN: Objection; she's not -- she's here  
7 to answer factual things. She is not here to answer  
8 contentions. You know the contentions but this is  
9 not --

10 MR. FAKLER: This is a foundation question. I  
11 will get to the facts in a second.

12 MR. ZAVIN: Well, that one -- she is not here  
13 to answer legal contentions.

14 MR. FAKLER: That's a factual contention,  
15 whether he was an antisemite. That is not a legal  
16 contention.

17 MR. ZAVIN: No.

18 MR. FAKLER: That was a claim that you are  
19 making in this lawsuit.

20 MR. ZAVIN: That is correct.

21 MR. FAKLER: That is a factual claim that  
22 defendants are making in this lawsuit.

23 MR. ZAVIN: No. And by the way, I think you  
24 are incorrect.

25 MR. FAKLER: Okay.

1 MR. ZAVIN: There is no claim that he is an  
2 antisemite. The claim is neither I, nor you, nor anyone  
3 else knows. The man has been dead for quite some time.  
4 The claim is he had a public reputation and was publicly  
5 thought to be an antisemite.

6 MR. FAKLER: Okay.

7 MR. ZAVIN: And it's still a contention that  
8 it's not appropriate for this witness.

9 MR. FAKLER: Are you going to instruct her not  
10 to answer that question?

11 MR. ZAVIN: Yes. I mean are you really  
12 pressing it, Paul? I will stipulate --

13 MR. FAKLER: It's a foundation question to move  
14 on.

15 MR. ZAVIN: I will stipulate that it is the  
16 position of these defendants that Mr. Disney had a  
17 public reputation as an antisemite.

18 BY MR. FAKLER:

19 Q. Do any of the Fox defendants have any basis for  
20 that position, that he -- that Walt Disney is publicly  
21 perceived as having been an antisemite?

22 A. I don't know. I don't know what the basis for  
23 that would be.

24 Q. Prior to this dispute -- and I can represent to  
25 you that the -- this dispute in this lawsuit first began