## Exhibit A

		Page	1
1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
		X	
4	PASHA S. ANWAR, et al.,		
5	Plaintiffs,		
		Civil Action No.	
6	VS.	09-CV-0118(VM)	
7	FAIRFIELD GREENWICH LIMITED, et al.,		
8	Defendants.		
		K	
9			
10	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL	WIND	
11	New York, New York		
12	June 22, 2011		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
3			
	Reported by:		
4	KATHY S. KLEPFER, RMR, RPR, CRR, CLR		
25	JOB NO. 39598		

	Page 262		Page 263
1	M. Wind	1	M. Wind
2	A. Well, based on the statement that they	2	invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you
3	gave me each month.		ever visit the Website www.citco.com?
4	Q. Other than that statement, did you see	4	A. No.
5	any documents or have any conversations with	5	Q. After you made your investment, did
6	anybody that indicated that Citco was verifying	6	you visit the Website www.citco.com?
7	the holdings every month?	7	A. No.
8	A. No.	8	Q. Now, if you will turn to Exhibit 24,
9	Q. And those monthly statements, those	9	and I know it's going to be difficult, but if
10	statements you started to receive after you	10	you can find where your answers are. It's sort
11	invested, correct?		of I guess in the middle-ish of the page.
12	A. Starting in April.	12	A. I have it.
13	Q. After your after you actually made	13	Q. Now, if you'll look at your answer to
14	the decision to invest and invested your money?		question number 22, and if you'll just read that
15	A. Right. I think the first statement I		to yourself and let me know when you're ready.
16	received was in April.	16	(Document review.)
17	Q. Now, we've talked about a bunch of	17	A. Yes.
18	documents and Mr. Divine has marked a number of	18	Q. All right. You indicate at the end of
19	documents today. Sitting here today, other than		your answer that, "Dawson Bypass Trust is still
20	what you've testified to so far, can you recall		reviewing records and will supplement its
21	any documents that you received and relied upon		response on a rolling basis to the extent any
22	prior to making your decision to invest in		additional responsive information becomes
23	Greenwich Sentry Partners?		available."
24	A. No.	24	Sitting here today are you aware of
25	Q. Prior to making your decision to	25	any additional responsive information to
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 264		Page 265
1	M. Wind	1	M. Wind
1 2	M. Wind interrogatory number 22?	1 2	-
		1	M. Wind
2	<ul><li>interrogatory number 22?</li><li>A. I don't think so.</li><li>Q. Just that particular question?</li></ul>	2	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed
2 3	interrogatory number 22? A. I don't think so.	2 3	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that.
2 3 4	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you,
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No. <ul> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No. <ul> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I have. Thank you.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No. <ul> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland &
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No. <ul> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 12 13	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the</li> <li>administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the</li> <li>administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now,</li> <li>right? We get to see this person?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay? A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the</li> <li>administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now,</li> <li>right? We get to see this person?</li> <li>MR. DIVINE: I think she said she</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay? A. Okay. Q. And again, we just need you to give a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now,</li> <li>right? We get to see this person?</li> <li>MR. DIVINE: I think she said she</li> <li>could do without on video. Or are we doing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay? A. Okay. Q. And again, we just need you to give a verbal answer as before, all right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now,</li> <li>right? We get to see this person?</li> <li>MR. DIVINE: I think she said she</li> <li>could do without on video. Or are we doing vide?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 7	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay? A. Okay. Q. And again, we just need you to give a verbal answer as before, all right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the</li> <li>administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now,</li> <li>right? We get to see this person?</li> <li>MR. DIVINE: I think she said she</li> <li>could do without on video. Or are we doing</li> <li>vide?</li> <li>MR. GLASSER: I think we're I think</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay? A. Okay. Q. And again, we just need you to give a verbal answer as before, all right? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. And can we agree to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the</li> <li>administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now,</li> <li>right? We get to see this person?</li> <li>MR. DIVINE: I think she said she</li> <li>could do without on video. Or are we doing</li> <li>vide?</li> <li>MR. GLASSER: I think we're I think</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay? A. Okay. Q. And again, we just need you to give a verbal answer as before, all right? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. And can we agree to the same ground rules as the ones that Mr. Divine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the</li> <li>administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now,</li> <li>right? We get to see this person?</li> <li>MR. DIVINE: I think she said she</li> <li>could do without on video. Or are we doing</li> <li>vide?</li> <li>MR. GLASSER: I think we're I think</li> <li>we're not doing the video up there.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: I think you have to get</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 5	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay? A. Okay. Q. And again, we just need you to give a verbal answer as before, all right? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. And can we agree to the same ground rules as the ones that Mr. Divine set forth at the beginning of the day that, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the</li> <li>administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now,</li> <li>right? We get to see this person?</li> <li>MR. DIVINE: I think she said she</li> <li>could do without on video. Or are we doing</li> <li>vide?</li> <li>MR. GLASSER: I think we're I think</li> <li>we're not doing the video up there.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: I think you have to get</li> <li>somebody from you're A/V Department to show</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay? A. Okay. Q. And again, we just need you to give a verbal answer as before, all right? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. And can we agree to the same ground rules as the ones that Mr. Divine set forth at the beginning of the day that, you know, if you can't hear me or if you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the administration agreement between Citco Fund Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now, right? We get to see this person?</li> <li>MR. DIVINE: I think she said she could do without on video. Or are we doing vide?</li> <li>MR. GLASSER: I think we're I think we're not doing the video up there.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: I think you have to get somebody from you're A/V Department to show our visual. So if you're comfortable, Mr.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 1 24 1	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay? A. Okay. Q. And again, we just need you to give a verbal answer as before, all right? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. And can we agree to the same ground rules as the ones that Mr. Divine set forth at the beginning of the day that, you know, if you can't hear me or if you don't understand my question, you'll ask me to repeat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>interrogatory number 22?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Just that particular question?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever seen a copy of the</li> <li>administration agreement between Citco Fund</li> <li>Services (Europe) and Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MS. IZQUIERDO: I think that's all I</li> <li>have. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: Who's next?</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: That's me.</li> <li>MR. DECKINGER: This is show time now,</li> <li>right? We get to see this person?</li> <li>MR. DIVINE: I think she said she</li> <li>could do without on video. Or are we doing</li> <li>vide?</li> <li>MR. GLASSER: I think we're I think</li> <li>we're not doing the video up there.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: I think you have to get</li> <li>somebody from you're A/V Department to show</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 1 24 1	M. Wind me, I think it might be easier to proceed that way. Is that okay? THE WITNESS: I would like to see you, but that's all right. I'll accept that. MR. STEWART: We'll take the off-stage voice. MS. CRAWFORD: In the interest of time. EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: Q. Well, I introduced myself earlier. I'm Amy Crawford from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis, representing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Okay? A. Okay. Q. And again, we just need you to give a verbal answer as before, all right? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. And can we agree to the same ground rules as the ones that Mr. Divine set forth at the beginning of the day that, you know, if you can't hear me or if you don't

	Page 266		Page 267
1	M. Wind	1	M. Wind
2	A. That's okay. Yes.	2	cetera. Do you remember that?
3	Q. Okay. Now, I think we it was clear	3	A. Yes.
4	earlier that the date of the Dawson Bypass Trust	4	Q. But you never inquired with Mr. Glover
5	investment was March 6, 2008. Do you recall	5	or anybody else about the funds' auditors before
6	that?	6	you invested, correct?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. That's the date that the wire transfer	8	Q. And you didn't rely on anything that
9	occurred; is that correct?	9	PwC (Canada) did in making a decision to invest,
10	A. That is I think you're right, yes.	10	did you?
11	Q. And that was the only investment that	11	A. Not at the time, no.
12	the Dawson Bypass Trust made, isn't that right?	12	Q. Is it your testimony that you relied
13	A. That is correct.	13	on something PwC (Canada) did after you made the
14	Q. And the Dawson's Bypass Trust never	14	decision to invest?
15	redeemed any of its \$500,000 investment, right?	15	A. Well, when you say "rely on," I had
16	A. That is correct.	16	already made the investment, and then some way
17	Q. Now, do you know who the auditor of	17	or another I was able someone sent me, and I
18	Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements	18	assume it was probably Glover or someone from
19	was at the time of your of the Dawson's	19	Fairfield, sent me the Pricewaterhouse financial
20	Bypass Trust's initial investment?	20	statements for 2007 and '06 and I saw where you
21	A. I don't think so, no.	21	listed your investments or the investments of
22	Q. Now, earlier when Mr. Divine asked you	22	the, excuse me, of the partnership.
23	why you invested in Greenwich Sentry Partners,	23	Q. Okay. So sometime after you invested,
24	you talked about stable returns, a good rate of	24	you received the year-end audited financial
25	return, the fund's historical performance, et	25	statements of Greenwich Sentry Partners for the
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 268		Page 269
1	M. Wind	1	M. Wind
2	years 2006 and 2007, correct?	2	A. Yes.
2 3	years 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes.	2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And what is this document?</li></ul>
2 3 4	years 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes. Q. So you didn't rely on anything that	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And what is this document?</li><li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	years 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes. Q. So you didn't rely on anything that PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	years 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes. Q. So you didn't rely on anything that PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest?	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest?</li> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest?</li> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest?</li> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest?</li> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> <li>contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> </ul> </li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment. <ul> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest?</li> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> <li>contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> </ul> </li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment. <ul> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> <li>contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> </ul> </li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest?</li> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> <li>contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> </ul> </li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment. <ul> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> </ul> </li> <li>contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> </ul> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right? <ul> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that statement that I mentioned.</li> </ul> </li>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment. <ul> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> </ul> </li> <li>contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right? <ul> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right? <ul> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that</li> <li>statement that I mentioned.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is the only audit report that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that statement that I mentioned. MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you take number 6 and pass it around and have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is the only audit report that</li> <li>you have seen for Greenwich Sentry Partners,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest?</li> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> <li>contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that</li> <li>statement that I mentioned.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you</li> <li>take number 6 and pass it around and have</li> <li>the court reporter mark a copy.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is the only audit report that</li> <li>you have seen for Greenwich Sentry Partners,</li> <li>right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest?</li> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> <li>contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that</li> <li>statement that I mentioned.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you</li> <li>take number 6 and pass it around and have</li> <li>the court reporter mark a copy.</li> <li>(Exhibit 43, a document bearing Bates</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is the only audit report that</li> <li>you have seen for Greenwich Sentry Partners,</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> <li>contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that</li> <li>statement that I mentioned.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you take number 6 and pass it around and have the court reporter mark a copy.</li> <li>(Exhibit 43, a document bearing Bates Nos. Dawson 67 through 81, marked for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is the only audit report that</li> <li>you have seen for Greenwich Sentry Partners,</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's the only audit report you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't</li> <li>contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that</li> <li>statement that I mentioned.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you take number 6 and pass it around and have the court reporter mark a copy. <ul> <li>(Exhibit 43, a document bearing Bates</li> <li>Nos. Dawson 67 through 81, marked for identification, as of this date.)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is the only audit report that</li> <li>you have seen for Greenwich Sentry Partners,</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's the only audit report you</li> <li>have seen for any of the Fairfield funds, isn't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that statement that I mentioned.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you take number 6 and pass it around and have the court reporter mark a copy. <ul> <li>(Exhibit 43, a document bearing Bates Nos. Dawson 67 through 81, marked for identification, as of this date.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MS. CRAWFORD:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is the only audit report that</li> <li>you have seen for Greenwich Sentry Partners,</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's the only audit report you</li> <li>have seen for any of the Fairfield funds, isn't</li> <li>that true?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> </ul> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right? <ul> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that</li> <li>statement that I mentioned.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you take number 6 and pass it around and have the court reporter mark a copy.</li> <li>(Exhibit 43, a document bearing Bates Nos. Dawson 67 through 81, marked for identification, as of this date.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MS. CRAWFORD:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Wind, you've been handed what has</li>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is the only audit report that</li> <li>you have seen for Greenwich Sentry Partners,</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's the only audit report you</li> <li>have seen for any of the Fairfield funds, isn't</li> <li>that true?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 14 15 17 18 9 0 11 22 23 24	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that statement that I mentioned.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you take number 6 and pass it around and have the court reporter mark a copy.</li> <li>(Exhibit 43, a document bearing Bates Nos. Dawson 67 through 81, marked for identification, as of this date.)</li> <li>BY MS. CRAWFORD:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Wind, you've been handed what has been marked as Exhibit 43, and this is Dawson 67</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is the only audit report that</li> <li>you have seen for Greenwich Sentry Partners,</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's the only audit report you</li> <li>have seen for any of the Fairfield funds, isn't</li> <li>that true?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, are you familiar with the fact</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that statement that I mentioned.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you take number 6 and pass it around and have the court reporter mark a copy.</li> <li>(Exhibit 43, a document bearing Bates Nos. Dawson 67 through 81, marked for identification, as of this date.)</li> <li>BY MS. CRAWFORD:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Wind, you've been handed what has been marked as Exhibit 43, and this is Dawson 67 through 81. Do you see that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And it's the only audit report that</li> <li>you have seen for Greenwich Sentry Partners,</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's the only audit report you</li> <li>have seen for any of the Fairfield funds, isn't</li> <li>that true?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, are you familiar with the fact</li> <li>that PricewaterhouseCoopers is actually a number</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 13 14 15 17 18 9 20 22 23 22 24	<ul> <li>years 2006 and 2007, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. So you didn't rely on anything that</li> <li>PwC (Canada) did to in making the decision to invest? <ul> <li>A. Not at that not back then, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Before I made the investment.</li> <li>Q. You didn't contact you didn't contact PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct, did not.</li> <li>Q. You didn't receive any materials from</li> <li>PwC (Canada) before you invested, right?</li> <li>A. I don't think so, other than that statement that I mentioned.</li> <li>MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Jacob, can you take number 6 and pass it around and have the court reporter mark a copy.</li> <li>(Exhibit 43, a document bearing Bates Nos. Dawson 67 through 81, marked for identification, as of this date.)</li> <li>BY MS. CRAWFORD:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Wind, you've been handed what has been marked as Exhibit 43, and this is Dawson 67</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> <li>A. It's a financial statement prepared by</li> <li>Pricewaterhouse on concerning Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners, LP.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you were</li> <li>referring to having received when you referenced</li> <li>audited financial statements of Greenwich Sentry</li> <li>Partners?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the only document that you</li> <li>received that had PricewaterhouseCoopers' name</li> <li>on it, as far as you know?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. So this is the only audit report that</li> <li>you have seen for Greenwich Sentry Partners,</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's the only audit report you</li> <li>have seen for any of the Fairfield funds, isn't</li> <li>that true?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, are you familiar with the fact</li> </ul>

1	rage 270	raye 27.
1	M. Wind	1 <b>M. Wind</b>
2	of various accounting firms located in a number	2 after you after the initial investment of the
3	of different countries?	3 Dawson Bypass Trust, which was made on March 6,
4	A. Not really.	4 <b>2008, correct?</b>
5	Q. You don't have any idea which of the	5 A. Yes.
6	PricewaterhouseCoopers firms actually audited	6 Q. When did you find out that
7	Greenwich Sentry Partners, do you?	7 PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of
8	A. Well, the only way I could I could	8 Greenwich Sentry Partners?
9	tell, now that you bring it up, is the day	9 A. When I received this report.
10	the address that's there, which shows Toronto,	10 <b>Q.</b> Now, you stated that you believe that
11	Canada, and I would figure that would be the	11 you received this report from Harvey Glover or
11 12		12 someone else at Greenwich Sentry Partners,
13	ones.	13 correct?
	Q. Before I drew this to your attention,	13 correct? 14 A. Yes.
14 15	that was not something that you were aware of,	
	is that fair?	
16	A. That Toronto Pricewaterhouse had done	16 that you were actually mailed this document from
17	the audit?	17 <b>PricewaterhouseCoopers, do you?</b>
18	Q. As opposed to another	18 A. I don't think I'm almost sure I
19	PricewaterhouseCoopers office or firm or entity?	19 don't know. No, probably not.
20	A. I can't I'm not sure if if I,	<b>Q.</b> And why do you say that?
21	what do you call, was aware of that.	A. Well, because I don't know if
22	Q. Now, do you see the date of this	22 Pricewaterhouse would have been aware that I was
23	document at the top of Dawson 67?	a partner. It really didn't pertain to my
24	A. April 18, 2008?	24 investment in March of 2008, and this refers to
25	Q. So in fact this report was issued	25 <b>2007</b> .
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 272	Page 27
1	M. Wind	
_		$\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$
2		1 M. Wind 2 O. What did this information strike
2	Q. And this document isn't addressed to	2 Q. What did this information strike
3	Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> </ul>
3 4	Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct?	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> </ul>
3 4 5	Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? A. Say that again?	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct?</li> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct?</li> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct?</li> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct?</li> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct?</li> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct?</li> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct?</li> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you know, that you were auditing</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you know, that you were auditing</li> <li>it.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 5 6 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have a mailing address for</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you wnow, that you were auditing</li> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. Did you understand that</li> </ul>
3 $4$ $5$ $6$ $7$ $8$ $9$ $10$ $112$ $13$ $145$ $167$ $17$	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have a mailing address for you or the trust, right?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you know, that you were auditing</li> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. Did you understand that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> </ul>
3 $4$ $5$ $6$ $7$ $8$ $9$ $112$ $13$ $145$ $17$ $18$	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have a mailing address for you or the trust, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, you're right.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you know, that you were auditing</li> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. Did you understand that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 15 16 17 18 9	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have a mailing address for you or the trust, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, you're right.</li> <li>Q. Did you read the audit opinion on page</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you know, that you were auditing</li> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. Did you understand that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements?</li> <li>A. Say that again. I missed who they</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 15 16 17 18 9 20	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have a mailing address for you or the trust, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, you're right.</li> <li>Q. Did you read the audit opinion on page 57, Dawson 57, when you received it?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you know, that you were auditing</li> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. Did you understand that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements?</li> <li>A. Say that again. I missed who they</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 17 18 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have a mailing address for you or the trust, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, you're right.</li> <li>Q. Did you read the audit opinion on page 57, Dawson 57, when you received it?</li> <li>A. I looked at it, yes.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you understand that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements?</li> <li>A. Say that again. I missed who they</li> <li>represent.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say that you understood that</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have a mailing address for you or the trust, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, you're right.</li> <li>Q. Did you read the audit opinion on page 57, Dawson 57, when you received it?</li> <li>A. I looked at it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any other statements</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you understand that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements?</li> <li>A. Say that again. I missed who they</li> <li>represent.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say that you understood that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 15 6 7 8 9 0 11 23 14 15 6 7 8 9 0 11 22 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have a mailing address for you or the trust, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, you're right.</li> <li>Q. Did you read the audit opinion on page 57, Dawson 57, when you received it?</li> <li>A. I looked at it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any other statements by PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) regarding</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you know, that you were auditing</li> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. Did you understand that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements?</li> <li>A. Say that again. I missed who they</li> <li>represent.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say that you understood that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements,</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 15 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson</li> </ul> </li> <li>Bypass Trust, right? <ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have a mailing address for you or the trust, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, you're right.</li> <li>Q. Did you read the audit opinion on page</li> </ul> </li> <li>57, Dawson 57, when you received it? <ul> <li>A. I looked at it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any other statements by PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) regarding these financial statements?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you know, that you were auditing</li> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. Did you understand that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements?</li> <li>A. Say that again. I missed who they</li> <li>represent.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say that you understood that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements,</li> <li>right?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 15 6 7 8 9 0 11 23 14 15 6 7 8 9 0 11 22 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And this document isn't addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust or to you or to any individual limited partner, correct? <ul> <li>A. Say that again?</li> <li>Q. Let me put it this way: This document says, "To the partners of Greenwich Sentry Partners, LP," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's not addressed to the Dawson Bypass Trust, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have your name on it either, right?</li> <li>A. That is right.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't have a mailing address for you or the trust, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, you're right.</li> <li>Q. Did you read the audit opinion on page 57, Dawson 57, when you received it?</li> <li>A. I looked at it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any other statements by PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) regarding</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. What did this information strike</li> <li>that. Is it your testimony that you relied on</li> <li>this report in continuing to hold your</li> <li>investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. I didn't think I testified to that,</li> <li>no.</li> <li>Q. Did you rely on this report in</li> <li>continuing to hold your investment in Greenwich</li> <li>Sentry Partners?</li> <li>A. Not really. I don't think so,</li> <li>although it at least I was able to see that</li> <li>there, you know, that there was assets there and</li> <li>that you had, you know, that you were auditing</li> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. Did you understand that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements?</li> <li>A. Say that again. I missed who they</li> <li>represent.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say that you understood that</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor of</li> <li>Greenwich Sentry Partners' financial statements,</li> </ul>

	rage 290		
1	M. Wind	1	M. Wind
2	firm that discovered Madoff's Ponzi scheme	2	MR. DECKINGER: Again, I object.
3	before December 11, 2008, right?	3	Speculation. Foundation.
4	A. There were people I think sending out	4	A. Apparently not from the information
5	signals that there might have been something	5	that I have taken out of the newspapers.
6	wrong, but no, I think you're I think you're	6	Q. Have you read in the newspapers about
7	right. I don't think any accounting firm	7	the S.E.C.'s investigation of Bernie Madoff
8	stepped in and said that that there was	8	prior to December 11, 2008?
9	something wrong.	9	A. No. Is that the F.C.C., did you say,
10	Q. Do you think they failed to step in	10	the Federal Communication people?
11	even though they knew that there was a Ponzi	11	Q. No, the S, "S" as in Sam, E.C.
12	scheme going on?	12	A. S.E.C., the securities. No, I wasn't
13	A. Well, they should have.	13	aware.
14	Q. That's not what you fair to say	14	Q. The S.E.C. didn't discover Madoff's
15	that no accounting firm discovered the Ponzi	15	Ponzi scheme before December 11, 2008, right?
16	scheme before December of 2008, right?	16	MR. DECKINGER: Objection.
17	MR. DECKINGER: I object. That	17	Foundation. Speculation.
18	requires speculation. Foundation.	18	<b>Q.</b> Well, it's common sense, sir, that the
19	Q. Do you know, sir, of any accounting	19	S.E.C. didn't discover the fraud prior to
20	firm that discovered the Madoff fraud before	20	Madoff's confession on December 11, 2008?
20 21	December 11, 2008?	21	A. Right. That's what I understand.
22	A. None that I that I know about.	22	<b>Q.</b> But it's your contention that PwC
23	Q. And no regulatory agencies discovered	23	(Canada) should have discovered the Madoff Ponzi
24	Madoff's Ponzi scheme before December 11, 2008	24	scheme, right?
25	either, did they?	25	A. That's correct.
20	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	23	
	130 Reporting - worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 292		Page 293
1	M. Wind	1	M. Wind
2	Q. So what specifically do you think that	2	can you repeat the question, please?
3	PwC (Canada) should have discovered?	3	(Record read.)
4	A. They should have discovered and made	4	A. Well, I've done many audits and on a
5	sure that the assets were were legitimate.	5	lot of different corporations and companies, and
6	You listed them all.	6	when we give an opinion, we make sure that the
7	Q. And so it's your contention that	7	assets are there, and in this case, obviously
8	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) had the	8	they weren't there.
9	obligation to essentially audit Bernard Madoff?	9	Q. And you do that often by receiving
10	A. They weren't auditing Madoff. They	10	confirmations from third parties; is that right?
11	were auditing Greenwich Security Greenwich	11	A. Sometimes, yes. Other times, we'll
12	Sentry Partners and the assets that they owned.	12	actually ask for the securities, to look at
13	Q. And is there anything in your	13	them.
14	accounting background that you can specifically	14	MS. CRAWFORD: I have nothing further.
15	refer to when you say that	15	MS. PIERCE: That leaves me.
16	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) had this	16	MR. DECKINGER: I'm sorry. Do we have
17	obligation?	17	a count on the time?
18	A. Well, don't you believe that they had	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 6:10.
19	the obligation? I mean, they certified. I	19	MR. DECKINGER: 6:10?
20	mean, they gave an opinion.	20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
21	MS. CRAWFORD: I move to strike that	21	EXAMINATION BY
22	as nonresponsive.	22	MS. PIERCE:
23	A. Repeat your question then.	23	Q. Mr. Wind, I introduced myself earlier,
24	Q. Mr. Wind	24	but for the record, my name is Betsy Pierce.
25	MS. CRAWFORD: Madame Court Reporter,	25	I'm with the firm of Hughes Hubbard & Reed and
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
1			

	Page 294		Page 295
1	M. Wind	1	M. Wind
2	we represent PricewaterhouseCoopers	2	A. Yes.
3	(Netherlands).	3	Q. And is it correct the testimony that
4	You recall the questions that you were	4	you have given today, pretty much everything
5	asked just a moment ago by counsel for PwC	5	that you received or everything you received
6	(Canada), PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada), about	6	related to your investment in Greenwich Sentry
7	the fact that PricewaterhouseCoopers is a large		Partners you probably received from Mr. Glover?
8		8	A. Yes.
	organization made up of different firms	9	
9	internationally. So, based on that, do you		Q. So then is it fair to say that you did
10	understand, then, that PricewaterhouseCoopers	10	not receive anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers
11	(Netherlands) is a different defendant than	11	(Netherlands)?
12	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada)	12	A. That's correct.
13	A. Now I do.	13	Q. Related to your investment?
14	Q in this litigation.	14	A. That's correct.
15	I'm going to ask some similar	15	Q. And would you agree that you didn't
16	questions to the questions that were asked by	16	rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers
17	counsel for PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada), but	17	(Netherlands) when making your investment?
18	I'll be sure to specifically address them to	18	A. Before I answer that, could you
19	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands). I just	19	explain to me, when you say you represent
20	wanted to make sure that you understand when I	20	Pricewaterhouse (Netherlands), in what capacity
21	ask those questions, I'm speaking about	21	was Pricewaterhouse (Netherlands) representing
22	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands).	22	who?
23	You testified about the audit report,	23	Q. In this litigation,
24	Exhibit 43, that you received. You received	24	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did audit
25	that document from Mr. Glover, you believe?	25	some firm or some funds within the Fairfield
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 296		Page 297
1	_		-
1	M. Wind	1	M. Wind
2	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that	1 2	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean
2 3	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich	1 2 3	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever
2 3 4	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners.	1 2 3 4	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it.
2 3 4 5	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right.	1 2 3 4 5	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57
2 3 4 5 6	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is:	1 2 3 4 5 6	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. And in your capacity as trustee in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please, Exhibit 43, rather, and can you describe what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. And in your capacity as trustee in making that investment in Greenwich Sentry	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please, Exhibit 43, rather, and can you describe what that is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. And in your capacity as trustee in making that investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, have you ever had any contact with	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please, Exhibit 43, rather, and can you describe what that is? A. It's a financial statement presented
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. And in your capacity as trustee in making that investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, have you ever had any contact with anyone at PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please, Exhibit 43, rather, and can you describe what that is? A. It's a financial statement presented by PricewaterhouseCoopers of Toronto, of Canada.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. And in your capacity as trustee in making that investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, have you ever had any contact with anyone at PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 9\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please, Exhibit 43, rather, and can you describe what that is? A. It's a financial statement presented by PricewaterhouseCoopers of Toronto, of Canada. Q. Before today, did you know or were you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. And in your capacity as trustee in making that investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, have you ever had any contact with anyone at PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. MS. PIERCE: I think that's all I	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please, Exhibit 43, rather, and can you describe what that is? A. It's a financial statement presented by PricewaterhouseCoopers of Toronto, of Canada. Q. Before today, did you know or were you aware that there was a difference between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. And in your capacity as trustee in making that investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, have you ever had any contact with anyone at PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. MS. PIERCE: I think that's all I have. Thank you.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\5\\16\\17\\18\\9\\20\\21\\22\\22\end{array} $	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please, Exhibit 43, rather, and can you describe what that is? A. It's a financial statement presented by PricewaterhouseCoopers of Toronto, of Canada. Q. Before today, did you know or were you aware that there was a difference between PricewaterhouseCoopers, full stop,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. And in your capacity as trustee in making that investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, have you ever had any contact with anyone at PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. MS. PIERCE: I think that's all I have. Thank you. MR. DECKINGER: Anybody else? No?	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\5\\6\\17\\18\\9\\20\\22\\23\end{array} $	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please, Exhibit 43, rather, and can you describe what that is? A. It's a financial statement presented by PricewaterhouseCoopers of Toronto, of Canada. Q. Before today, did you know or were you aware that there was a difference between PricewaterhouseCoopers, full stop, PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada),
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. And in your capacity as trustee in making that investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, have you ever had any contact with anyone at PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. MS. PIERCE: I think that's all I have. Thank you. MR. DECKINGER: Anybody else? No? We would like to take a break.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\1\\1\\1\\2\\1\\3\\1\\4\\1\\5\\6\\1\\7\\1\\8\\9\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\4\end{array}\right) $	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please, Exhibit 43, rather, and can you describe what that is? A. It's a financial statement presented by PricewaterhouseCoopers of Toronto, of Canada. Q. Before today, did you know or were you aware that there was a difference between PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada), PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23	M. Wind Greenwich Group, but I'll represent to you that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Greenwich Sentry Partners. A. All right. Q. So I'll repeat the question, which is: In making your investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. Do you, in your capacity as trustee of Dawson Bypass Trust, have you ever had any contact with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. Q. And in your capacity as trustee in making that investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, have you ever had any contact with anyone at PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. No. MS. PIERCE: I think that's all I have. Thank you. MR. DECKINGER: Anybody else? No?	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\5\\6\\17\\18\\9\\20\\22\\23\end{array} $	M. Wind we'll come back with a few cross I mean direct, redirect, partly direct, or whatever you want to call it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 P.M. We're going off the record. (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26 P.M. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. DECKINGER: Q. Okay. Mr. Wind, we're going to start with Pricewaterhouse. We're going to go in reverse order. Could you turn to document 43, please, Exhibit 43, rather, and can you describe what that is? A. It's a financial statement presented by PricewaterhouseCoopers of Toronto, of Canada. Q. Before today, did you know or were you aware that there was a difference between PricewaterhouseCoopers, full stop, PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada),

	Page 322		Page 323
1	M. Wind	1	M. Wind
2	MR. DECKINGER: We're not going to let	2	MR. STEWART: At least we all agreed
3	him discuss anything about what was said in	3	on something.
4	the content of those communications. We	4	MR. DIVINE: All right. I think we
5	object on the grounds of attorney-client	5	can go off the record.
6	privilege.	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:18
7	MR. DIVINE: Gotcha.	7	P.M. We're going off the record. oOo
8	MS. GARTHWAITE: And we would like to	9	000
9	just preserve an objection to that claim of	10	
10	privilege.	11	
11	MR. DIVINE: We would join in that	12	
12	objection.	13	
13	MS. IZQUIERDO: We would join.	14	
14	MR. DIVINE: I think everybody would	15	
15	join.	16	
16	MR. DECKINGER: I think all the	17 18	
17	defendants have joined in the objection to	10	MICHAEL WIND
18	our objection.	19	
19	MR. DIVINE: I concur. Anybody else	20	Subscribed and sworn to
20	have anything they want to say?		before me this day
21	(Continued on the next page to include	21	of 2011.
22	the jurat.)	22	
23	the jurat.)		
24		23	
25		24 25	
2.5	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	2.5	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 324	+	Page 325
1	M. Wind	1	M. Wind
2	IVI. WINC	2	INDEX
	CERTIFICATE	3	INDEA
3			TESTIMONY OF M WIND PAGE
3 4	STATE OF NEW YORK )	1	TESTIMONY OF M. WIND: PAGE Examination by Mr. Divine 7, 319
	STATE OF NEW YORK ) : ss	4	Examination by Mr. Divine 7, 319
	·	4 5	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258
4 5 6	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered	4 5 6	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265
4 5 6 7	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and	4 5 6 7	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293
4 5 6 7 8	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby	4 5 6 7 8	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297
4 5 6 7 8 9	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:	4 5 7 8 9	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose	4 5 7 8 9 10	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order Governing
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 14224
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #56025Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 14232
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 142Exhibit 3, a document bearing Bates Nos.32Dawson 0000242 through 28028031
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. In witness whereof, I have hereunto	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 142Exhibit 3, a document bearing Bates Nos.32Dawson 0000242 through 280Exhibit 4, a document bearing Bates Nos.68
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 142Exhibit 3, a document bearing Bates Nos.32Dawson 0000242 through 280Exhibit 4, a document bearing Bates Nos.68Dawson 0000206 through 20768
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. In witness whereof, I have hereunto	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 142Exhibit 3, a document bearing Bates Nos.32Dawson 0000242 through 280Exhibit 4, a document bearing Bates Nos.68Dawson 0000206 through 207Exhibit 5, a document bearing Bates Nos.74
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 28th day of June, 2011.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 142Exhibit 3, a document bearing Bates Nos.32Dawson 0000242 through 280Exhibit 4, a document bearing Bates Nos.68Dawson 0000206 through 207Exhibit 5, a document bearing Bates Nos.74Dawson 0000238 through 24074
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. In witness whereof, I have hereunto	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 142Exhibit 3, a document bearing Bates Nos.32Dawson 0000242 through 280Exhibit 4, a document bearing Bates Nos.68Dawson 0000206 through 207Exhibit 5, a document bearing Bates Nos.74Dawson 0000238 through 240Exhibit 6, a document bearing Bates Nos.76
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 28th day of June, 2011.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 142Exhibit 3, a document bearing Bates Nos.32Dawson 0000242 through 280Exhibit 4, a document bearing Bates Nos.68Dawson 0000206 through 207Exhibit 5, a document bearing Bates Nos.74Dawson 0000238 through 240Exhibit 6, a document bearing Bates Nos.76Dawson 0000234 through 2377676
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 28th day of June, 2011.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 142Exhibit 3, a document bearing Bates Nos.32Dawson 0000242 through 280Exhibit 4, a document bearing Bates Nos.68Dawson 0000206 through 207Exhibit 5, a document bearing Bates Nos.74Dawson 0000238 through 240Exhibit 6, a document bearing Bates Nos.76
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	: ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MICHAEL WIND, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 28th day of June, 2011.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Examination by Mr. Divine7, 319Examination by Ms. Izquierdo258Examination by Ms. Crawford265Examination by Ms. Pierce293Examination by Mr. Deckinger297EXHIBITS:PAGEExhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Initial23Disclosures, Subject to November 2, 2010Amended Stipulation and Order GoverningConfidentiality, D.E. #560Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos.25Dawson 0000117 through 142Exhibit 3, a document bearing Bates Nos.32Dawson 0000242 through 280Exhibit 4, a document bearing Bates Nos.68Dawson 0000206 through 207Exhibit 5, a document bearing Bates Nos.74Dawson 0000238 through 240Exhibit 6, a document bearing Bates Nos.76Dawson 0000234 through 237Exhibit 7, a document bearing Bates Nos.77