## Exhibit D

		Page	1
1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	:	X	
4	PASHA S. ANWAR, et al.,		
5	Plaintiffs,		
		Civil Action No.	
6	VS.	09-CV-0118 (VM)	
7	FAIRFIELD GREENWICH LIMITED, et al.,		
8	Defendants.		
		x	
9			
10	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RICHARD MICHAN	EL ROUTHIER	
11	New York, New York		
12	August 24, 2011		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	Reported by:		
24	KATHY S. KLEPFER, RMR, RPR, CRR, CLR		
25	JOB NO. 41336		

	Page 142		Page 143
1	D. Douthion	1	R. Routhier
1	R. Routhier	1	
2	the Fairfield Funds?		to understand the logic and make sure that we
3	A. No.		we were if we did have disagreements, that we
4	Q. Did you ever receive a copy of an	4	were able to hash them out, yes.
5	audit report for the Fairfield investments?	5	MS. PIERCE: I think those are all the
6	A. I can't recall.	6	questions that I have.
7	Q. Just give me one second, please.	7	THE WITNESS: Okay. All right.
8	A. Uh-huh.	8	MS. PIERCE: Thanks.
9	Q. You have stated a couple times today	9	EXAMINATION BY
10	that the decision to invest in the Fairfield		MS. CRAWFORD:
		11	
11	Funds was ultimately made by Mr. Pollock; is		Q. Good afternoon. My name is Amy
12	that correct?	1	Crawford and I'm from the law firm of Kirkland &
13	A. Yes.		Ellis. We represent PricewaterhouseCoopers
14	Q. Would it be correct to say that		(Canada), okay?
15	that your role in those decisions was to approve	15	A. Uh-huh.
16	them?	16	Q. And I may refer to
17	A. Yes.	17	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) as "PwC
18	Q. But by approving well, I guess		(Canada)," okay?
19	I just earlier you had said your role was	19	A. Very good.
20	that of support?	20	Q. Now, you mentioned that you don't
21	A. Yes.		recall seeing considering who the auditor of
22	Q. So, in terms of approving them, do you		Fairfield Sigma was prior to St. Stephen's
23	mean that you supported the decision that was		investment in Sigma, right?
24	made by someone else?	24	A. Correct.
25	A. I did, and part of that role is to try	25	Q. And you didn't know who the auditor of
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 144		Page 145
1	-		
1	R. Routhier	1	R. Routhier
2	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made	2	R. Routhier auditing; is that fair to say?
2 3	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?	2 3	<b>R. Routhier</b> auditing; is that fair to say? A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad.
2 3 4	<b>R. Routhier</b> the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No.	2 3 4	R. Routhier auditing; is that fair to say? A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about
2 3 4 5	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No. Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma	2 3 4 5	R. Routhier auditing; is that fair to say? A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I
2 3 4 5 6	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No. Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially	2 3 4 5 6	R. Routhier auditing; is that fair to say? A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I understand that a little bit, but that it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No. Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. Routhier auditing; is that fair to say? A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I understand that a little bit, but that it's a profession, for instance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No. Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No. Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested? A. No. Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No. Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested? A. No. Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No. Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested? A. No. Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No. Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested? A. No. Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment? A. Yes. Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No. Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested? A. No. Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment? A. Yes. Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that would support that PwC (Canada) did anything wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you have any idea that PricewaterhouseCoopers</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that would support that PwC (Canada) did anything wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I have no idea of PwC (Canada)'s specific</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. Routhier the fund was after the investment was made either, did you? A. No. Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested? A. No. Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment? A. Yes. Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right? A. Correct. Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you have any idea that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) was involved in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and</li> <li>that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I have no idea of PwC (Canada)'s specific involvement in that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you have any idea that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) was involved in</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I have no idea of PwC (Canada)'s specific involvement in that.</li> <li>Q. To be clear, it was Madoff who was a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you have any idea that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) was involved in</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q the audits of Sigma?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I have no idea of PwC (Canada)'s specific involvement in that.</li> <li>Q. To be clear, it was Madoff who was a fraud, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you have any idea that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) was involved in</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q the audits of Sigma?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I have no idea of PwC (Canada)'s specific involvement in that.</li> <li>Q. To be clear, it was Madoff who was a fraud, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you have any idea that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) was involved in</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q the audits of Sigma?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. And I know that you mentioned that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 17 18 20 21 22	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I have no idea of PwC (Canada)'s specific involvement in that.</li> <li>Q. To be clear, it was Madoff who was a fraud, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And it was Madoff who lost the money</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 17 18 9 20 22 22 22	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you have any idea that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) was involved in</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q the audits of Sigma?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. And I know that you mentioned that you got an M.B.A., right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I have no idea of PwC (Canada)'s specific involvement in that.</li> <li>Q. To be clear, it was Madoff who was a fraud, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And it was Madoff who lost the money that St. Stephen's invested, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you have any idea that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) was involved in</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q the audits of Sigma?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. And I know that you mentioned that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 17 18 20 21 22	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I have no idea of PwC (Canada)'s specific involvement in that.</li> <li>Q. To be clear, it was Madoff who was a fraud, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And it was Madoff who lost the money</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 17 18 9 20 22 22 22	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you have any idea that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) was involved in</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q the audits of Sigma?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. And I know that you mentioned that you got an M.B.A., right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a</li> <li>profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I have no idea of PwC (Canada)'s specific involvement in that.</li> <li>Q. To be clear, it was Madoff who was a fraud, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And it was Madoff who lost the money that St. Stephen's invested, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 14 15 17 18 9 0 11 22 23 22 22 24	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>the fund was after the investment was made either, did you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Sigma had changed audits since St. Stephen's initially invested?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall earlier you were asked what materials you relied on in maintaining St. Stephen's investment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You didn't rely on any audit reports or audits of year-end financials, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Until this lawsuit was filed, did you have any idea that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) was involved in</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q the audits of Sigma?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. And I know that you mentioned that you got an M.B.A., right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>R. Routhier</li> <li>auditing; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. Any knowledge? That's pretty broad. A little tiny knowledge about</li> <li>auditing, yes. A little bit. I mean, I</li> <li>understand that a little bit, but that it's a profession, for instance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But you yourself have no</li> <li>background in accounting or auditing, right?</li> <li>A. None whatsoever.</li> <li>Q. And you don't have any knowledge that</li> <li>would support that PwC (Canada) did anything</li> <li>wrong in connection with its audits of Sigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Only that the fund was a fraud and</li> <li>that it was never uncovered, but beyond that, I have no idea of PwC (Canada)'s specific involvement in that.</li> <li>Q. To be clear, it was Madoff who was a fraud, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Correct.</li> <li>A. And it was Madoff who lost the money</li> <li>that St. Stephen's invested, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I think yes, he's the root of</li> </ul>

	Page 146		Page 147
1	R. Routhier	1	R. Routhier
2	involved in in sort of the safeguards along	2	Q. Do you know of Harry Markopolous?
3	the way. That's what I meant.	3	A. No.
4	Q. You understand that PwC (Canada) did	4	Q. I'm going to read you a list of names
5	not audit Bernard Madoff's firm, correct?	5	and please tell me if you recognize any of them.
6	A. I don't know. I don't know that, no.	6	Walter M. Noel, Jr.
7 8	<b>Q.</b> So you don't even know what A. I don't know	7	A. I have heard his name as a principal at Fairfield Greenwich. Founder, I think.
9	Q PwC (Canada) is why PwC (Canada)	9	Q. Did you have any communications with
10		10	Walter M. Noel, Jr.?
11	· · · ·	11	A. No.
12	-	12	Q. Jeffrey Tucker?
13	MR. CATTELL: Victor, I have just a	13	A. No. I don't know the name, no.
14		14	Q. Andres Piedrahita?
15	MR. STEWART: Go ahead.	15	A. No.
16	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY	16	Q. Amit Vijay I'm butchering his last
17		17	name Vijayvergiya?
18		18	A. No.
19 20	8 8 1	19 20	Q. Gregory Bowes?
20 21	<i>v</i>	20 21	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Cornelis Boele?</li></ul>
22 22		22	<b>Q. Cornelis Boele?</b> A. No.
23	8	23	Q. David B. Horn?
24		24	A. No.
25		25	Q. Robert A. Blum?
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 148		Page 149
1		1	
1	R. Routhier	1 2	<b>R. Routhier</b> A. No.
2 3	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Lourdes Barreneche?</li></ul>	3	A. No. Q. Santiago Reyes?
4	A. No.	4	A. No.
5	Q. Vianney d'Hendecourt?	5	Q. Andrew Smith?
6	A. No.	6	A. No.
7	Q. Yanko Della Schiava?	7	Q. Philip Toub?
8	A. No.	8	A. No.
9	Q. Corina Noel Piedrahita?	9	Q. So, other than Walter M. Noel, who I
10		10	believe well, excuse me. Withdraw the
11	<b>C</b>	11	question.
11 12 13		12	And for all the names that I just
13 14	C 111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13 14	listed for you that you did not recognize, you did not have any communications with them
14 15		14 15	did not have any communications with them either, correct?
15 16	<b>c</b> 8	16	A. Totally correct.
17		17	MR. CATTELL: No further questions at
18		18	this time.
19		19	MR. STEWART: Does anyone else on your
20	A. No.	20	side have any questions?
21		21	(No response.)
22 23		22	MR. STEWART: All right. I may have a
23	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	23	few. Just give me a minute to look over my
24		24	notes.
25	<b>Q. Charles Murphy?</b> TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	25	(Pause.) TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
1			

	Page 162		Page 1	63
1	R. Routhier	2		
2	isn't it?	3	CERTIFICATE	
3	MS. PIERCE: No further questions for	4	STATE OF NEW YORK )	
4	me.		: SS	
5 6	MR. CATTELL: I think, subject to receiving the documents that we requested	5	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)	
7	earlier in this deposition, we have no	6	I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered	
8	further questions at this time.	7	Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and	
9	MR. STEWART: Assuming there are any	8	for the State of New York, do hereby certify:	
10	documents.	10	That RICHARD MICHAEL ROUTHIER, the	
11 12	MR. CATTELL. Of course. No future	11	witness whose deposition is herein before	
13	questions.	12	set forth, was duly sworn by me and that	
14	The bill of the bi	13	such deposition is a true record of the	
15		14	testimony given by such witness.	
16		15	I further certify that I am not	
17	â	16	related to any of the parties to this action	
18 19		17	by blood or marriage and that I am in no way	
19	DICULADD MICULAEL DOUTLIED	18	interested in the outcome of this matter.	
20		19	In witness whereof, I have hereunto	
21	Subscribed and sworn to	20 21	set my hand this 30th day of August, 2011.	
	before me this day	21 22		
22	of 2011.	~~	KATHY S. KLEPFER, RPR, RMR, CRR, CL	R
23		23		
24		24		
25		25		
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	
	Page 164		Page 1	65
2	INDEX	2	INDEX (Cont'd.)	
3	TESTIMONY OF R. ROUTHIER: PAGE	3	ROUTHIER EXHIBITS: PAGE	,
4	Examination by Mr. Cattell 8, 146, 159	4	Exhibit 9, a document bearing Bates Nos. 68	
5	Examination by Ms. Moscato 130, 161	5	St. Stephens 178 through 179	
6	Examination by Ms. Pierce 140	6	Exhibit 10, a document bearing Bates Nos. 70	)
7	Examination by Ms. Crawford 143	7	FGANW3576197 to FGANW3576206	
8	Examination by Mr. Stewart 150	8	Exhibit 11, a document bearing Bates Nos. 72	2
9	ROUTHIER EXHIBITS: PAGE	9	St. Stephens 182	
10	-	10	Exhibit 12, a document bearing Bates Nos. 76	5
11	·	11	FGANW3576351	
12		12	Exhibit 13, a document bearing Bates Nos. 78	;
13		13	ANWAR-CFSE-339 through 362	
14	-	14	Exhibit 14, a document bearing Bates Nos. 86	; ;
15	*	15	SGANW3576591 through 592	
16		16	Exhibit 15, a document bearing Bates Nos. 89	)
17	1 6	17	St. Stephens 192	
18	-	18	Exhibit 16, a document bearing Bates Nos. 91	.
19	· · ·	19	St. Stephens 163	
20	-	20	Exhibit 17, Amended Addendum No. 2 9	
21		21	Exhibit 18, Declaration of Duncan Pollock 95	i I
22	-	22	In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class	
23	·	23	Certification	
24	-	24	Exhibit 19, a document bearing Bates Nos. 97	'
25	*	25	St. Stephens 16 through 19	
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	1	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	