Exhibit E

	Page 358		Page 359
1	NATALIA HATGIS	1	NATALIA HATGIS
2	"The partnership commenced operations on May 1,	2	for four or five, six months when you decided to
3	2006." Do you see that?	3	invest, correct?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. I don't know. I guess so.
5	Q. This indicates that the Greenwich	5	Q. Now, you talked about how your
6	Sentry Partners Limited Partnership began on May	6	husband's notes of his meeting with Philip Toub
7	1, 2006, right?	7	formed, I think you said, part of the big bowl
8	A. Uh-huh. Go ahead.	8	of memories about the facts of your investment,
9	Q. Do you have a question?	9	right?
10	A. No. No. You go.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. So there were no year-end	11	Q. But those notes don't mention
12	financial statements for Greenwich Sentry	12	PricewaterhouseCoopers' name in them, do they?
13	Partners, the fund that you invested in, by the	13	A. The big bowl?
14	time that you decided to invest in September or	14	MR. VICKERY: You better take a look
15	October of 2006, right?	15	at it.
16	A. Well, not I don't know. Not for	16	Q. The notes. Why don't you take a look
17	that year, no.	17	at Exhibit 3.
18	MR. VICKERY: You're representing	18	A. Exhibit 3?
19	that, right?	19	Q. Yes.
20	THE WITNESS: Not for 2006.	20	A. Okay. What does he say here?
21	BY MS. CRAWFORD:	21	Q. On page 2.
22	Q. Right, because the fund just commenced	22	A. On page 2.
23	in May, right?	23	Q. At the end of the first full
24	A. Right.	24	paragraph, it says, "He," meaning Philip Toub,
25	Q. So the fund had only been in existence	25	"Told me that the Sentry Fund was audited by
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 360		Page 361
1	NATALIA HATGIS	1	NATALIA HATGIS
2	FGG's auditors and the manager, Bernard Madoff,	2	there was the name PricewaterhouseCoopers in the
3	was also audited." Do you see that?	3	Fairfield Greenwich marketing materials, right?
4	A. No. Which one?	4	A. There was the name somewhere.
5	Q. The last sentence of	5	Q. You didn't rely on anything that
6	A. Paragraph which one?	6	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) did in making
17	Q the first full paragraph on the	'/	the decision to invest, did you?
8	second page.	8	A. No, not that I recall.
9	A. Yes. Okay. He told me that the	9	Q. You didn't have any contact with
10	Sentry Fund was audited by yes. Q. So that doesn't indicate that	10 11	anyone at PwC (Canada) before you invested? A. No.
11 12	PricewaterhouseCoopers was Sentry's auditors,	12	A. No. Q. And you didn't receive any materials
13	right?	13	from PwC (Canada) before you invested?
14	A. No, not here.	14	A. No, I did not.
15	Q. And nothing that your husband said	15	Q. As you sit here today you don't recall
16	indicated that PricewaterhouseCoopers was the	16	receiving any audit reports for any of the
17	auditor, right?	17	Fairfield funds, right?
18	A. I can't remember what everything my	18	A. No.
19	husband said, but it's certainly not in here.	19	Q. That's correct?
20	Q. In any event, you only know that	20	A. That's correct.
21	PricewaterhouseCoopers was an auditor for one or	21	Q. Thanks. I think it's me, not you.
22	more Fairfield funds?	22	A. No, that's okay.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. Okay. Can you look at Exhibit 22,
24	Q. And you only knew that at the time	24	your declaration.
25	that you made your decision to invest because	25	A. 22, yes.
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 362		Page 363
1	NATALIA HATGIS	1	NATALIA HATGIS
2	Q. If you look at paragraph 4, you	2	Q. Did he tell you anything about what
3	stated, "In deciding to make and hold my	3	price about what PricewaterhouseCoopers did
4	investment in the fund, my husband and I	4	before you made the decision to invest?
5	reviewed and relied upon a variety of documents	5	A. I can't remember.
6	provided by the Defendants, including a	6	Q. As you sit here today, you don't
7	prospectus. We also reviewed annual reports on	7	recall?
8	the Fund's performance and documents regarding	8	A. No.
9	FGG's extensive due diligence and depth of	9 10	Q. Can you please look at paragraph 9?
10 11	monitoring practices." Do you see that? A. Uh-huh.	11	A. 9? Q. Yes.
12	Q. Yes?	12	Q. Yes. A. Okay.
13	A. Yes, I do. I'm sorry.	13	Q. It says, "At all relevant times, I
14	Q. That's okay.	14	relied upon Defendants' representation that the
15	So, consistent with your answer to my	15	fund was going to invest, and actually was
16	prior questions, you're not referring in	16	investing, my money in securities. The
17	paragraph 4 to anything that	17	Defendants had verified the existence of these
18	PricewaterhouseCoopers did in this paragraph,	18	holdings and that the various financial
19	right?	19	statements reflecting the value of these
20	A. I'm not referring specifically to	20	holdings and the value of the Partnership were
21	that, no	21	accurate." Do you see that?
22	Q. Are you referring	22	A. Yes.
23	A to anything that	23	Q. Are you referring there to anything
24	PricewaterhouseCoopers did. But you'd have to	24	that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) did?
25	ask my husband as well, because this is a "we."	25	A. Not specifically that I can remember.
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 364		Page 365
1	NATALIA HATGIS	1	NATALIA HATGIS
2	Q. When you say "not specifically," what	2	Q. And you actually said you said,
3	do you mean?	3	"I'm not quite sure what they were auditing,"
4	A. I mean I can't remember specifically	4	right?
5	Pricewaterhouse providing me or Pricewaterhouse	5	A. Yes.
6	(Canada)	6	Q. Do you actually know what
7	Q. Or PricewaterhouseCoopers at all?	7	PricewaterhouseCoopers was auditing?
8	A. Yes, giving me anything.	8	A. I thought they were auditing the
9	Q. You don't remember them giving you	9	actual securities, but I didn't quite no. I
10	anything, right?	10	mean, specifically, no.
11	A. No.	11	Q. You don't know?
12	Q. And you believe that the	12	A. At that time, no.
13	representation representations regarding the	13	Q. Okay. And do you understand that
14	existence of the holdings in the Greenwich	14	you understand that PricewaterhouseCoopers
15	Sentry Partners Fund I believe you testified	15	(Canada) did not audit Bernard Madoff, right?
16	earlier came from Fairfield, right?	16	A. Yes.
17	A. That's right.	17	Q. I believe you said you looked at the
18	Q. And you said I think you testified	18	Pricewaterhouse review in making your
19	earlier, "and the Greenwich people had the	19	investment. Again, are you just referring to
20	Pricewaterhouse audits," do you remember saying	20	the PricewaterhouseCoopers name generally?
21	that?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. You're not referring to any actual
23	Q. But you didn't have the	23	documents, right?
	Pricewaterhouse audits?	24	A. On Pricewaterhouse letterheads? No.
24 25	A. No. No, I did not.	25	Q. Could you look at Exhibit No. 21. TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	366	Page 367
1 NATALIA HATGIS		NATALIA HATGIS
2 A. 21. Hold on.	2	to compile information. This may have been on
3 Yes. Yes.	3	their list, but I don't completely remember.
4 Q. These are the materials that you	4	MR. VICKERY: Let me instruct you
5 requested and obtained from Lina Pava in A	April 5	don't go any further with respect to advice
6 of 2009, correct?	6	of counsel.
7 A. Apparently, yes.	7	THE WITNESS: Okay.
8 Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of	8	BY MS. CRAWFORD:
9 page of Hatgis 510?	9	Q. You didn't have a copy of the audited
10 A. Yes.	10	financial statements before you asked for them
Q. That begins the list of the materials	11	in April of 2009, right?
you requested, right?	12	MR. VICKERY: Objection to form.
13 A. Yes.	13	A. I could not find that.
Q. Okay. If you flip to the next page?	14	Q. You didn't have a copy of the
15 A. Yes.	15	auditor's reports or the management letters
Q. You see that letter C asks for the	16	either, right?
audited financial statements, auditors repor	I	A. I could not find any.
and management letters, do you see that?	18	Q. Could you turn, same exhibit, but turn
19 A. Yes.	19	back to the last no, excuse me, to Hatgis
Q. Why did you ask for those?	20	611.
A. I may have been advised by my lawyers		A. 611?
to do so or I may not have. I'm not sure.	22	Q. Yes.
23 Q. Well, why	23	A. Yes.
A. Well, let's look at the timeline here	24	Q. These are the first page here, 611,
because April '09. I was asked by my counse		says that these are the Greenwich Sentry
TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
13G Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9380		130 Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9380
Page	368	Page 369
1 NATALIA HATGIS	1	NATALIA HATGIS
2 Partners, L.P. financial statements for the	year 2	you that
3 ended December 31, 2007 and the period er	nded 3	Q. If it doesn't, it doesn't. Is it fair
4 December 31, 2006. Do you see that?	4	to say it doesn't really look familiar to you,
5 A. Yes.	5	right?
6 Q. Before you got this from Lina Pava,	6	A. Well, it's hard because I know your
you didn't have a copy of this document,	7	logo really well. Now, whether I know it from
8 correct?	8	something I owned or something I saw on a
9 A. I don't remember. I really I	9	billboard, I can't tell you.
cannot remember this far back.	10	Q. You don't remember reading this
Q. Does this document look familiar to	11	before?
12 you?	12	A. No, I don't remember reading this.
A. I cannot tell you whether it looks	13	Q. And if you look at Hatgis 613?
14 familiar. It just I don't remember.	14	A. Yes.
Q. Why can't you tell me if it looks	15	Q. This isn't addressed to you, right?
16 familiar?	16	A. No. It's to the
17 A. Because nothing looks familiar to me	17	Q. It doesn't have your name on it?
at this point. I'm overwhelmed with papers. S	So 18	A. It doesn't have my personal name.
I cannot tell you it does and it doesn't. I	19	Q. It doesn't have your address on it?
mean, all these documents look the same after	ra 20	A. No.
21 while.	21	Q. As far as you know, PwC (Canada) never
Your logo's on the first page, and I	22	actually sent you any materials, correct?
can't tell you whether that I can't I	23	A. All I can say with certainty is if I
can't tell you with certainty whether I have	24	asked Lina for it, I didn't have it. That's
seen this or not. I really can't. I can tell	25	what I can tell you.
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I	•
TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 370 Page 371 1 1 NATALIA HATGIS NATALIA HATGIS 2 Q. Do you recall getting any materials in 2 quoting money that actually didn't exist. 3 3 the mail from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada)? Q. And are you aware of any other 4 A. I don't recall, but I don't -- I don't 4 auditors that discovered Madoff's Ponzi scheme 5 recall, basically. 5 before December 11, 2008? 6 A. I don't -- no. I mean, I'm not Q. And you never had any contact with 6 7 anyone from PwC (Canada). 7 relating this to Madoff. I'm relating this to 8 8 A. No. No. Greenwich. 9 Q. You understand that you're suing PwC 9 Q. Tell me what you mean by that. 0 0 A. In other words, you're asking me (Canada) in this lawsuit? 11 A. I believe you're involved, yes, I 11 whether, you know, why am I suing Greenwich 12 12 understand that. Capital. I mean, I'm not quite sure what this 13 13 Q. What do you contend that PwC (Canada) has to do with Madoff. Do I know that other failed to do? 14 people were auditing Madoff? I guess so. 15 15 A. I am contending that the audits that MR. VICKERY: I think all the 16 you were giving Fairfield Greenwich and that 16 allegations with respect to PWC are set 17 117 they were giving us seemed to be inaccurate, and forth in the Second Amended Complaint, and 18 yet you were hired to give --18 that's her -- she's part of the class. 19 19 MS. CRAWFORD: I'm entitled to ask my Q. And what's your --A. -- legitimate audits. 20 20 questions. 21 Q. And what's your basis for that 21 MR. VICKERY: You can ask the 2.2 2 contention? questions. I'm just telling you if you want 23 23 A. That there was -- there was no -the facts, the allegations are in the Second 24 Amended Complaint. 24 there was no money. You know, as a layperson, 25 that's the only way I can explain it. So we're 25 BY MS. CRAWFORD: TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Page 372 Page 373 1 1 NATALIA HATGIS NATALIA HATGIS 2 2 Q. What's the basis for your contention THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 that PwC (Canada) should have discovered the 3 **EXAMINATION BY** 4 **Madoff Ponzi scheme?** 4 MS. PIERCE: 5 A. If you were the auditing firm hired by 5 O. Last but not least. 6 6 these guys that I invested with to audit their Another one? 7 7 books and their performance, I would have Q. Yes. 8 8 assumed that, as an auditor -- as auditors, you A. Aren't you patient. 9 would have applied your skills to see if there 9 Q. Ms. Hatgis, my name is Betsy Pierce 10 10 was actually any money there. I mean, again, I and I'm associated with the firm of Hughes 11 can't get into a technical... 11 Hubbard & Reed. We represent 12 12 Q. You certainly have no auditing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) in this 13 13 litigation, and I guess my first question for expertise, correct? 14 you is have you ever heard of 14 A. Oh, good Lord, no. I'm sorry. 15 15 Q. And you don't have any information PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? 16 that would support the notion that PwC (Canada) 16 A. No. 17 17 conducted its audits negligently or improperly, Q. And you just answered questions from 18 18 counsel for PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). Do right? 19 19 vou understand that there's a difference between A. No. 20 20 the firm PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) and the MR. VICKERY: We'll have lots of 21 2.1 expert testimony on that. firm PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? 22 22 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'd love to see A. No, I do not.

23

24

25

877-702-9580

23

24

25

MS. CRAWFORD: Me, too.

I have no further questions.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

Q. Do you just -- just in hearing that

those two firms have two different countries

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

attached to their names, what would that make

1 2	Page 374		Page 375
2	NATALIA HATGIS	1	NATALIA HATGIS
	you think about those firms in terms of the	2	you receive any materials
3	difference between the two?	3	A. No.
4	MR. VICKERY: Objection to form.	4	Q from PricewaterhouseCoopers
5	MS. PIERCE: Fair.	5	(Netherlands)?
6	BY MS. PIERCE:	6	A. No, I did not.
7	Q. Would you like me to try to rephrase	7	Q. And prior to your decision to invest
8	that?	8	in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you review any
9	A. Yes.	9	materials that may have come from
10	Q. So if one country or, if one firm	10	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)?
11	is called PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) and	11	A. Not that I can recall right now.
12	the other firm is called PricewaterhouseCoopers	12	Q. Now I'm going to switch to after you
13	(Netherlands), just based on that, what do you	13	made your investment.
14	think the difference is?	14	A. Okay.
15	A. I would think that the Netherlands	15	Q. After making your investment in
16	branch looked after the companies in the	16	Greenwich Sentry Partners, L.P., did you speak
17	Netherlands and the Canada was handling	17	to anyone with PricewaterhouseCoopers
18	companies based out of Canada.	18	(Netherlands)?
19	Q. Okay. Prior to your decision to	19	A. No, I did not.
20	invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners, L.P., did	20	Q. And after making your investment in
21	you speak to anyone at PricewaterhouseCoopers	21	Greenwich Sentry Partners, L.P., did you receive
22	(Netherlands) about your investment?	22	any materials from PricewaterhouseCoopers
23	A. No, I did not.	23	(Netherlands)?
24	Q. Okay. Prior to your decision to	24	A. Not that I can recall.
25	invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners, L.P., did	25	Q. Do you recall reviewing any materials
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	· ·		
1	Page 376	1	Page 377
1	NATALIA HATGIS	1	NATALIA HATGIS
2	that may have come from PricewaterhouseCoopers	2	(Netherlands) in making the decision to invest
3 4	(Netherlands) after making your investment?	3 4	in Greenwich Sentry Partners, L.P.?
	A. No, I don't recall.Q. Based on the answer you just gave	1 4	MD VICKEDY, Do you and destand the
		1	MR. VICKERY: Do you understand the
5		5	question?
6	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands),	5 6	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry.
6 7	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say	5 6 7	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE:
6 7 8	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from	5 6 7 8	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the
6 7 8 9	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)	5 6 7 8 9	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no
6 7 8 9	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) MR. VICKERY: Objection to form.	5 6 7 8 9	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment
6 7 8 9 10	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. Q in making your decision to invest	5 6 7 8 9 10	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)?
6 7 8 9 10 11	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. Q in making your decision to invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. Q in making your decision to invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners? MR. VICKERY: If you understand the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. Q in making your decision to invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners? MR. VICKERY: If you understand the question, you can answer it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. Q in making your decision to invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners? MR. VICKERY: If you understand the question, you can answer it. THE WITNESS: Can you please rephrase	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. Q in making your decision to invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners? MR. VICKERY: If you understand the question, you can answer it. THE WITNESS: Can you please rephrase that or just repeat it?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did A. As far as I can remember right now.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. Q in making your decision to invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners? MR. VICKERY: If you understand the question, you can answer it. THE WITNESS: Can you please rephrase that or just repeat it? BY MS. PIERCE:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did A. As far as I can remember right now. Q in making your investment?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. Q in making your decision to invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners? MR. VICKERY: If you understand the question, you can answer it. THE WITNESS: Can you please rephrase that or just repeat it? BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Sure. Based on the things we were	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did A. As far as I can remember right now. Q in making your investment? A. I agree.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. Q in making your decision to invest in Greenwich Sentry Partners? MR. VICKERY: If you understand the question, you can answer it. THE WITNESS: Can you please rephrase that or just repeat it? BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Sure. Based on the things we were just talking about, the questions I was asking	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did A. As far as I can remember right now. Q in making your investment? A. I agree. Q. Do you understand that you have sued
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did A. As far as I can remember right now. Q in making your investment? A. I agree. Q. Do you understand that you have sued PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) in this
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did A. As far as I can remember right now. Q in making your investment? A. I agree. Q. Do you understand that you have sued PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) in this litigation?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did A. As far as I can remember right now. Q in making your investment? A. I agree. Q. Do you understand that you have sued PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) in this litigation? A. I understand that.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did A. As far as I can remember right now. Q in making your investment? A. I agree. Q. Do you understand that you have sued PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) in this litigation? A. I understand that. Q. Are you aware, though, that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did A. As far as I can remember right now. Q in making your investment? A. I agree. Q. Do you understand that you have sued PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) in this litigation? A. I understand that. Q. Are you aware, though, that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) was not the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands), would you agree with me that it's fair to say that you didn't rely on anything from PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question? THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. BY MS. PIERCE: Q. Just to my understanding of the testimony you just gave is that you have had no contact prior to or after making your investment with PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands)? A. Right. Q. So would you agree with me then that you didn't rely on anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) did A. As far as I can remember right now. Q in making your investment? A. I agree. Q. Do you understand that you have sued PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) in this litigation? A. I understand that. Q. Are you aware, though, that

Page 378 Page 379 1 NATALIA HATGIS 1 **NATALIA HATGIS** 2 2 A. Am I aware that it was not the presentation that I probably saw. 3 auditor? I am aware right now. 3 Q. And do you think that it was prepared 4 Q. Are you also aware that 4 by Fairfield Greenwich Group? 5 PricewaterhouseCoopers (Netherlands) never 5 A. I think so, yes. It has its 6 performed an audit of Greenwich Sentry Partners, 6 letterhead. 7 7 L.P., the fund in which you invested? Q. Now, the second line in the text below 8 8 A. I have no knowledge at all of whether that, that heading, says "Independent 9 9 you are or not or did or not. Verification of Prices and Account Values"? 10 0 Q. Can I turn your attention to Exhibit A. Yes. 11 11 Q. Do you remember your testimony about 12 12 that statement earlier today? A. Yes. Exhibit 5, yes. 13 13 Q. And if you could turn to the page that A. No, I don't. has the -- it's Bates-stamped Hatgis 0000758. 14 Q. You, what I understood you to say 15 15 A. Yes. earlier --16 16 Q. And is that the page you're looking at A. Okay. at the top, does it have a black bar that says 17 17 Q. - and we can go back and find the 18 "Value Added" --18 testimony --19 19 A. Yes. A. Sure. 20 20 Q. -- "By FGG"? Q. -- if you would like, but what I A. Yes. 21 21 recall understanding you saying was that you 22 22 Q. So, just based on that heading, do you would have relied on the fact that, when you 23 recognize as this being a Fairfield Greenwich 23 read this, you would have understood and relied 24 **Group document?** 24 on the fact that Fairfield Greenwich Group was 25 A. I consider it as being a PowerPoint 25 being audited by a legitimate accounting firm? TSG Reporting - Worldwide TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 877-702-9580 Page 380 Page 381 1 **NATALIA HATGIS** 1 NATALIA HATGIS 2 2 A. Yes. Q. So what do you understand that to 3 3 Q. And that that firm -mean, "Value Added by Fairfield Greenwich 4 4 Group"? Excuse me. I'm trying to read some 5 5 notes. Just a sec. A. That meant what were the advantages to 6 6 me, what were the advantages of going with the A. That's okay. 7 7 Q. So when you were discussing that Greenwich Group as opposed to another group. So what were their value added by their different 8 statement, you connected it to auditors; do you 8 9 9 services that set them apart and explained their recall that in your testimony earlier today? 0 10 MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. I management fees. 11 don't know exactly what was said earlier, 11 Q. And nothing in this, in this page 12 2 right here, independent of your understanding but --13 13 that we talked about today, nothing actually THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't, I mean --14 says that this is value added by an auditor? BY MS. PIERCE: 15 15 A. No, it does not, and it does not list Q. We can go back and find it then. 16 16 Okay. Then let's -- let me start your name. 17 17 over. Let me try again. O. It does not list 18 18 So we just agreed that this was a PricewaterhouseCoopers at all? 19 Fairfield Greenwich Group document? 19 (Continued on the next page to include 20 20 the jurat.) A. Yes. 21 Q. As far as you understand it? 21 22 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. And at the top it says "Value Added By 23 24 24 Fairfield Greenwich Group"? 25 25 A. Yes. TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 382		Page 383
1	NATALIA HATGIS	1	NATALIA HATGIS
2	A. On this page, no.	2	
3	Q. Okay.	3	CERTIFICATE
4	MS. PIERCE: Thank you. I think those	4	STATE OF NEW YORK)
5 6	are all I think those are all the questions I have.	_	: SS
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	5	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:11	7	I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and
9	P.M. We're going off the record.	8	for the State of New York, do hereby
10	oOo	9	certify:
11		10	That NATALIA HATGIS, the witness whose
12		11	deposition is herein before set forth, was
13		12	duly sworn by me and that such deposition is
14 15		13	a true record of the testimony given by such
16		14	witness.
17		15	I further certify that I am not
	NATALIA HATGIS	16	related to any of the parties to this action
18		17	by blood or marriage and that I am in no way
19	Subscribed and sworn to	18	interested in the outcome of this matter.
	before me this day	19	In witness whereof, I have hereunto
20	of 2011.	20 21	set my hand this 30th day of June, 2011.
21		22	
22		2.2	KATHY S. KLEPFER, RPR, RMR, CRR, CLR
23		23	KATTIT G. KEET ER, KI K, KIMK, CKK, CEK
24		24	
25		25	
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Page 384		Page 385
1	NATALIA HATGIS	1	NATALIA HATGIS
2	INDEX	2	INDEX (Cont'd.)
3	TESTIMONY OF N. HATGIS: PAGE	3	NATALIA HATGIS EXHIBITS: PAGE
4	Examination by Ms. Ricciardi 7	4	Exhibit 8, a document bearing Bates Nos. 175
5	Examination by Ms. McGovern 317	5	Hatgis 000829 through 000830
6	Examination by Ms. Crawford 350	6	Exhibit 9, a document bearing Bates Nos. 178
7	Examination by Ms. Pierce 373	7	Hatgis 000307
8		8	Exhibit 10, a document bearing Bates Nos. 184
9	NATALIA HATGIS EXHIBITS: PAGE	9	Hatgis 0002149
10	Exhibit 1, a document bearing Bates Nos. Hatgis 54	10	Exhibit 11, a document bearing Bates 187
11	002044	11	Nos. ANWAR-CCI-000095 through 0000104
12	Exhibit 2, a document bearing Bates Nos. Hatgis 57	12	Exhibit 12, a document bearing Bates 187
13	002057 through 002061	13	Nos. ANWAR-CCI-0000115 through 0000124
14	Exhibit 3, a document bearing Bates Nos. Hatgis 74	14	Exhibit 13, a document bearing Bates Nos. 205
15	000248 to 000249	15	FGANW002895361 to 2895362
16	Exhibit 4, Addendum to Plaintiffs' Responses 85	16	Exhibit 14, a document bearing Bates Nos. 208
17	and Objections to Defendants' Joint First Set	17	Hatgis 000281 through 000286
18	of Interrogatories to Plaintiffs for Plaintiff	18	Exhibit 15, a document bearing Bates Nos. 211
19	Natalia Hatgis	19	Hatgis 0001828 through 1829
20	Exhibit 5, a document bearing Bates Nos. 126	20	Exhibit 16, Addendum Number 2 to Plaintiffs' 216
21	Hatgis 000739 through 000820	21	Responses and Objections to Defendants' Joint
22	Exhibit 6, a document bearing Bates Nos. 156	22	First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiffs for
23	Hatgis 0001910 through 0002034	23	Natalia Hatgis
24	Exhibit 7, a document bearing Bates Nos. 168	24	Exhibit 17, a document bearing Bates Nos. 224
25	Hatgis 000290 through 000292	25	Hatgis 0003019 to 3020
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580