# Exhibit G

```
Page 1
 1
 2
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
     PASHA S. ANWAR, et al.,
 5
                     Plaintiffs,
                                            Civil Action No.
 6
                                            09-CV-0118 (VM)
                VS.
 7
     FAIRFIELD GREENWICH LIMITED, et al.,
 8
                     Defendants.
 9
10
         VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SIMON KESSELL
                    New York, New York
11
12
                      July 22, 2011
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
    Reported by:
24
    KATHY S. KLEPFER, RMR, RPR, CRR, CLR
25
     JOB NO. 39764
```

Page 294 Page 295 1 1 S. Kessell S. Kessell 2 A. It is possible that after December 11, 2 **Greenwich Sentry Partners?** 3 2008 she may have taken steps, and but prior to 3 A. I'm not aware if she spoke to her 4 4 that date, I'm not aware that she took accountant. 5 5 affirmative steps in the sense of initiating a O. Did she ever meet with an investment 6 6 process. But I know at some point she was advisor about redeeming her entire investment in **Greenwich Sentry Partners?** 7 7 thinking about it because she discussed it with 8 8 me. A. I'm not aware if she did or she 9 9 So before December 11, 2008, you have didn't. 10 no knowledge of any specific affirmative steps 10 Q. Mr. Kessell, did you ever seek any 11 that your wife took toward redeeming her entire 11 investment advice directly from anyone at Citco 12 12 investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, is that Fund Services (Europe) or Citco (Canada) Inc. 13 13 concerning your wife's investment in Greenwich accurate? 14 14 MR. VICKERY: Objection to form. Sentry Partners? 15 So by "affirmative" you mean putting 15 A. So did I ever seek investment advice? into place after a decision had been made as 16 16 Q. Directly from anyone --17 17 opposed to simply thinking that she might do it So that the question is clear on the 18 18 and wanted to get more information? record. I need to restate it. 19 19 A. Sure. Q. Correct. 20 20 So the affirmative -- so I had no O. Because otherwise it's too much of a 21 21 knowledge, and I certainly don't recollect, conversation between you and me. 22 22 Natalie initiating the process of redeeming her 23 23 entire investment prior to December 11. Q. Mr. Kessell, you never sought any 24 24 Q. Did she ever speak with an accountant investment advice directly from anyone at Citco 25 about redeeming her entire investment in 25 Fund Services (Europe) or Citco (Canada) Inc. Page 296 Page 297 1 1 S. Kessell S. Kessell 2 concerning your wife's investment in Greenwich 2 A. Okay. 3 3 Sentry Partners, correct? Q. Now, Mr. Kessell, I think you bent 4 A. I don't recall seeing any investment 4 over backwards today to state clearly that you 5 5 advice. were not actually the investor here, right? 6 6 I hope I've made that clear. MS. McGOVERN: I have no further 7 7 Q. You were not the decider, you have questions. 8 8 MR. MIRRER-SINGER: Let's go off the said? 9 9 A. I think that's correct. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 10 Q. And to the extent that you were 11 5:47 P.M. We are now off the record. 11 advisor, you were simply a provider of 12 12 information, correct? 13 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now A. I think I said I tried to collect 14 5:48 P.M. We are now back on the record. 14 information for Natalie, yes. 15 **EXAMINATION BY** 15 O. And you didn't try to weigh the 16 16 information or filter it to her so that she MS. CRAWFORD: 17 Q. Mr. Kessell, my name is Amy Crawford 17 should give emphasis to certain things and not 18 and my firm, Kirkland & Ellis, represents 18 other things, right? 19 19 PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada). I may refer to A. There might have been times that I 20 PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada) as PwC (Canada), 20 tried, in response to something she asked, to -for she might have said, "How should I think 21 21 okay? 22 22 about that" and I might have given her an A. Yes. 23 Q. And I may also refer to PwC --23 explanation. I didn't knowingly attach any 24 24 PricewaterhouseCoopers firms generally as PwC, weights to what I said because I tried very hard

25

25

to make her understand that her risk profile was

Page 298 | Page 299

## S. Kessell

mine and therefore whatever weights I would attach to it wouldn't be the same as hers.

- Q. At the end of the day, you can't say precisely what information she gave credence to or didn't; what she may have relied on in making the decision to invest, right?
- A. I don't know how she used the information or and what weighting she give gave it.
- Q. So is it fair to say you don't know what she relied on to invest?
- A. I know she used the information I gave her and the discussions that we had, so I can't say I don't know what she relied on. She would have relied on the conversations that we had. She might have relied on the summary. She would have relied on asking me questions at various times. So...
- Q. Well, you're saying what she would have relied on and what she might have relied on. I mean, you're saying that, in a general sense, she relied on information you gave her, but among that information, you don't know what specific pieces of information were important to

# S. Kessell

her or might not have been important to her, right?

A. Yes.

- Q. Could you take a look at Exhibit 7. Let me know when you have it.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Could you turn to the second page of your summary. It has the number Hatgis 3026 at the bottom. Do you see that?
    - A. First -- yes.
  - Q. Looking at the first full paragraph at the top of the page, and going to the very last sentence of the paragraph, you're speaking about statements that Philip Toub made to you, and the sentence is -- the sentence says there, "He told me that the Sentry Fund was audited by FGG's auditor, and the manager, Bernard Madoff, was also audited." Do you see that?
    - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Exactly what did Philip Toub tell you with respect to FGG's auditors?
  - A. He told me that Pricewaterhouse -- and I'm using the term because I don't recall whether he specifically said Pricewaterhouse

Page 300

Page 301

# S. Kessell

(Canada) or he actually referred to which branch or subsidiary of Pricewaterhouse, but he mentioned Pricewaterhouse being Fairfield Greenwich's auditors, and by manager, Bernard Madoff was -- and this is the person Bernard Madoff -- was audited.

So that's what we discussed. I don't think we separately discussed who audited Bernard Madoff, the person, or his personal investment group.

- Q. So you're saying that the last phrase of that sentence, "the manager, Bernard Madoff, was also audited," that refers to his personal auditor?
- A. Meaning that it may not have been -- it may have been a separate auditor to PricewaterhouseCoopers and the fund itself and Fairfield Greenwich.
- Q. Well, what exactly did Philip Toub tell you with respect to Bernard Madoff's auditors?
- A. He simply said that he was audited. He had his own auditors, but the fund itself had auditors, and that Fairfield Greenwich had its

#### S. Kessell

auditors. And my understanding -- and he specifically mentioned PricewaterhouseCoopers as being Fairfield Greenwich and Fairfield Sentry's auditors.

- Q. So you understood that PricewaterhouseCoopers did not audit Bernard Madoff or his investment company, right?
- A. That's what I understood at that time from Philip Toub.
- Q. And did you even discuss Greenwich Sentry fund with Philip Toub, the fund that your wife ultimately invested in?
- A. We did. We talked about the Limited at some point. He talked about the domestic. He talked about whether, because Natalie was a Greek citizen as well as an American, whether it was possible or even considerable for her to invest either as an offshore or onshore investor, and by the time we began discussing it being an onshore investment, then talk changed to the specific fund.

But he talked about the partnership and I think there might have been another domestic Fairfield Sentry Fund.

Page 302 | Page 303

## S. Kessell

- Q. Do you have an understanding or did Mr. Toub make a specific representation with respect to who audited Greenwich Sentry Partners specifically?
- A. Yes, he told me that PricewaterhouseCoopers did.
- Q. Audited Greenwich Sentry Partners; is that right?
  - A. That was my recollection.
- Q. And what was the significance of the statement that the Sentry Fund was audited by FGG's auditors? What did that -- what significance did that hold for you?
- A. For me? Because we -- because we discussed who they were and I knew who PricewaterhouseCoopers was, I regarded that as a positive element of any possible investment.

Q. Why?

A. Well, because having watched the Big Eight go to the Big Six go to the Big Four, and having watched the investment environment, I realized how important that auditors are in the process. Auditors had come into Melleos Capital and audited and I had seen the process that they

#### S. Kessell

had gone through when they looked at various things, and I had worked at Lehman Brothers when we had done some equity offerings and we worked with accountants, and though the auditors per se were not part of the drafting process, the -- the reference was made to the auditors.

And at some point I think
PricewaterhouseCoopers may have, and I say may have, I don't recall specifically, been a part of one of those equity underwritings and I remember the detail in which they went through when any issue of fact came up in one of the offering documents. Stuff often had to be held while we waited for the accountants to confirm that they believed it was accurate.

And I have been following myself equity investments and who the auditors are, their letters at the end, and their involvement, if there was any problems as to talking about their process, was always important. So who the auditors were mattered a lot.

Q. So your prior experience with PricewaterhouseCoopers was that they conducted very detailed audits; is that right?

Page 305

Page 304

S. Kessell

A. My recollection is if I hadn't worked directly with PricewaterhouseCoopers, I had definitely, and I may have, but my -- my recollection is they were very detailed. They were one of the top remaining firms.

And may I also add that at the time that Sarbanes-Oxley came in, one of the comments that management would always say was just how thorough the accounting firms became.

- Q. With respect, again, to Bernard Madoff's auditor, you don't have any recollection of specifically who that auditor was, right?
- A. No, at that -- at that time, I don't even know if his name was raised or the firm's name was raised.
- Q. Going back to PricewaterhouseCoopers, do you have a specific recollection of communicating to your wife that PricewaterhouseCoopers audited the Fairfield funds?
  - A. Yes.

Q. When did you make that statement to your wife?

S. Kessell

A. It would have been part -- I don't remember whether it was in the very first conversation I had, but it would have been as part of the initial post-meeting conversations or debriefings, if you like, that I had where all the aspects, all the information that I had gathered, and I wanted to make her understand this is the whole process.

So I definitely mentioned PricewaterhouseCoopers and I made sure that she understood what that meant, and by that I mean in terms of my understanding of the quality of the firm.

- Q. Okay. Earlier in the paragraph that we've been discussing there's a sentence that reads, "He," and again that's Mr. Toub, "said that they had several layers of monitoring. He said that their analysts regularly visited managers, reviewed trades, audited accounts, and applied analytic tools that identified possible aberrations or irregularities." Do you see that?
- 23 that? 24 A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Now, when you used the phrase "audited

Page 306 Page 307 S. Kessell S. Kessell accounts" there, you're not referring to would do, right? anything that PricewaterhouseCoopers did, right? A. I think I was. I was inclusive there, A. In that sentence, I think I'm because I regarded that as part of the referring to their, Fairfield Greenwich's,

Q. Okay. And then in the third full paragraph on the page, I'm looking at the second sentence, it reads, "I told PT that what would allow me to overcome any objections was PT's description of FGG's institutional safeguards and risk management, the due diligence and auditing process, the Sentry funds vetting process, its liquidity and a quick process of redemption that PT was confident, and PT was confident that FGG knew the fund well, was satisfied that it was a stable, well run liquid fund, and would advise us immediately if there was any change in these fundamentals." Do you see that?

analysts. So that sentence I don't think

applies to PricewaterhouseCoopers.

A. Yes, I do.

Q. And again, with respect to the phrase "auditing process" in that sentence, you're not referring to anything that an external auditor

- A. I think I was. I was inclusive there, because I regarded that as part of the institutional safeguards and risk management, the due diligence and auditing process. So I definitely meant both the internal Fairfield Greenwich auditing and the external process.
- Q. Okay. But stepping back, you're referring to Mr. Toub's description of the auditing process and those other elements in that sentence, right? You said you told --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- Philip Toub what would allow you to overcome objections were Mr. Toub's description, right?
- A. So it says here "PT's description," so if -- if that's what I'm referring to, I must be referring to his description.
- Q. And you never actually saw any audit reports of PricewaterhouseCoopers before your wife made her investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, did you?

MR. VICKERY: Objection to form.

A. I'm -- I'm not sure that's true.

Page 308

Page 309

# S. Kessell

It -- I may have seen, as part of any online information that they had where they might have included, for example, the prior year's financial statement from the fund, and I don't recall specifically, but it may have been included in that.

- Q. Okay. Again, I just want to know if you have any specific recollection of reviewing a PricewaterhouseCoopers audit report or audited financial statements for Greenwich Sentry Partners Fund before your wife made her decision to invest?
- A. Yes, I recollect looking at audited financial statements for --
  - O. For the --
  - A. For which fund?
  - Q. The Greenwich Sentry Partners Fund.
- A. Well, the partner's fund, as I recall, was only opened in that particular year, but Philip had indicated that the Sentry Funds were essentially, I don't want to say identical, but they were, in all the functional aspects, from an investment perspective, identical.

So I can't say that the partners I saw

## S. Kessell

because I don't think it had -- it had audited funds at those -- audited statements, but I definitely saw and would have drawn conclusions that the other audited statements for the other Fairfield Sentry funds were in all other respects equivalent to what one might expect from PricewaterhouseCoopers as auditor.

- Q. You never received any materials, documents, directly from PricewaterhouseCoopers before your wife made her investment, right?
- A. If the question is did PricewaterhouseCoopers send me directly information about the Fairfield Sentry Partners fund from their own e-mail or Website, I don't recall that I ever received anything directly from them.
- Q. And that's actually true before and after your wife made her investment in Greenwich Sentry Partners, right?
- A. It -- the question being related to directly? So --
  - Q. Correct.
- A. -- having been e-mailed or sent directly?

Page 310 Page 311

1 S. Kessell

S. Kessell

- Q. Correct.
- A. I don't recall anything directly coming from PricewaterhouseCoopers.
- Q. And you had no direct communications with anyone from PricewaterhouseCoopers, correct?
- A. With respect to this investment or ever?
- Q. I'm speaking about the context of this investment.

MR. VICKERY: Object to the form.

- A. I don't recall having any direct contact with respect to this investment with anyone who worked directly for PricewaterhouseCoopers.
- Q. Now, Mr. Kessell, there are several different PricewaterhouseCoopers firms in a number of different countries around the world. Are you aware of that?
- A. I think I have subsequently become aware of it. Today I'm aware of it.
- Q. But you weren't at the time, is that fair to say, at the time of your wife's decision to invest?

- A. I don't think I was aware of any significant difference myself.
- Q. And so sitting here today do you know which of the PricewaterhouseCoopers firms invest their -- excuse me, audited the year-end financial statements of any of the Fairfield funds at any particular time?
- A. Shall I refresh my memory by looking at the --
- Q. No. I'm asking you about what you understand. Do you have any understanding -- do you know now any distinction between -- withdrawn.

Do you know which

PricewaterhouseCoopers firms audited any of the Fairfield funds at any given time?

- A. Am I allowed to look at the documents to refresh my memory to make sure that I don't speak inaccurately?
- Q. No, because I'm only asking about your knowledge sitting here right now.
- A. My understanding was that it was PricewaterhouseCoopers (Europe) and it got transferred to PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada),

Page 312

Page 313

S. Kessell

but that's my understanding without looking at the documents to make sure I'm not misspeaking.

- Q. And given that you weren't aware before this litigation that there were multiple PricewaterhouseCoopers firms, that's an understanding that you came to after Bernard Madoff's fraud was discovered, is that fair to say?
- A. I was familiar with the concept of different entities in different countries.

  Lehman Brothers, when I worked there, had a different UK entity, so it -- I don't think I was unfamiliar with the idea that

  Pricewaterhouse might have different entities, but when I talked about PricewaterhouseCoopers and when I understood Philip referring to them, it was, any distinction was --
- Q. Okay. Which PricewaterhouseCoopers firm audited the Greenwich Sentry Partners Fund, to your knowledge?
- A. Off the top of my head, as I said, I thought it began with Europe but at some point it became Pricewaterhouse (Canada), but I'm not sure I recollect correctly which particular

S. Kessell

entity it was. And you're saying I can't check by looking?

- Q. Well, it's the accuracy -- I just want to get your recollection, so you have provided that.
  - A. As I sit here today. I mean now.
  - Q. Understand. Got it.

And do you have any specific recollection of providing PricewaterhouseCoopers (Canada)'s audit reports to your wife?

- A. Specifically my providing them to her? I don't recall if I provided them to her or Lina may have sent them directly to her or I may have forwarded them to her at the same time Lina sent anything to me. I don't recall specifically sending her that.
- Q. Do you recall reviewing PwC (Canada) audit reports of the Greenwich Sentry Partners funds -- partners fund?
- A. Yes, I think in the subsequent years I would have looked -- I did look at the audited reports when they became available.
- Q. And did you discuss the year-end financial statements and audit reports with your

Page 338 Page 339 1 1 S. Kessell S. Kessell 2 2 O. Mr. Kessell, what e-mail accounts do O. And what was your role with respect to 3 Mark Kessell's prospective investment in a 3 you currently have? 4 Fairfield Fund and eventual investment? 4 A. Do I currently have? I have 5 5 skessell@gmail.com. A. It was primarily to introduce him to 6 Philip Toub because he was interested in 6 O. Has that e-mail been searched for 7 7 investing money, and at that point he asked me documents relating to Fairfield? 8 8 who I might know who could help him. Philip A. I've been told it has. I provided it 9 9 Toub was a name that I gave him, and I think I to Boies Schiller. 10 10 might have asked Philip to meet with him. O. Okav. And what other e-mail accounts 11 That -- that was my role. I didn't attend any 11 do you currently have? 12 meeting with him. 12 A. I think I have one started in the last 13 13 Q. Okay. Did you receive any materials six months called tropeater@gmail.com. 14 in connection with his prospective investment 14 What other e-mail accounts do you 15 from Fairfield? 15 have? 16 16 A. It's possible that Mark forwarded to A. I'm trying to think at this point in 17 17 me stuff that he had received. I don't recall time if I have any. Well, at work I have 18 18 specifically, but it's quite possible that he S.kessell@geminimedical.com.au. 19 forwarded me stuff to --19 Q. Okay. And what about previous 20 20 Q. Did you go onto the Website to look at e-mails? Do you have any previous e-mail 21 21 any documents in connection with his potential accounts at --22 22 investment or after his investment? A. At some point, I had 23 23 A. I think it's quite likely that I simonks@attglobal.net. 24 24 looked if he had asked me to look specifically Q. Did you give that e-mail account over 25 at something. 25 to counsel? Page 340 Page 341 S. Kessell 1 S. Kessell 1 2 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 2 A. I don't believe I did. I think I was 3 MR. MIRRER-SINGER: Thank you very 3 given a date range, and I certainly didn't 4 much. 4 recall having that e-mail account at the --5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That concludes the 5 O. When did that e-mail account end? 6 video record for today. The time is now 6 At some point -- I think at some point 7 6:42 P.M. We are now off the record. 7 early 2000s I simply switched to G-mail. It is 8 0008 possible that I had left with AT&T a forwarding 9 9 10 thing, but I don't recall. Certainly since any 11 10 discussion of Fairfield came up, 12 11 knowingly using -- I mean, I don't recall using 13 12 that account. I tried very hard to stay to 14 13 G-mail. 15 14 Q. In the interest of time, do you 16 15 have --17 16 A. I also had a Bloomberg account. 18 17 Q. Is there any e-mail account that you SIMON KESSELL 18 had that you used to correspond about Fairfield 19 20 Subscribed and sworn to that you have not turned over to Boies Schiller? 19 before me this 20 (Continued on the next page to include 21 2011. 21 the jurat.) 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25