# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE HERALD, PRIMEO, AND THEMA FUNDS SECURITIES LITIGATION

ECF Case
Case No. 09 Civ. 0289 (RMB) (HBP)

### PARTIAL OBJECTION OF LEAD PLAINTIFF NEVILLE SEYMOUR DAVIS TO THE OCTOBER 5, 2009 ORDER APPOINTING LEAD COUNSEL

Lead Plaintiff Neville Seymour Davis partially objects to the Court's October 5, 2009

Order (the "Order") and respectfully requests the appointment of Johnson Bottini, LLP as Lead

Counsel as follows:

#### I. BACKGROUND

- 1. In the Order, the Court appointed Mr. Davis as Lead Plaintiff in *Perrone v. Benbassat*, No. 09 Civ. 2558 (RMB) (HBP).
- 2. The Court also appointed Mr. Davis's proposed Liaison Counsel, Murray, Frank & Sailer LLP, as Lead Counsel in the *Perrone* action on the basis that "only one lead attorney is necessary." Dkt. No. 60 at 17.<sup>1</sup>
- 3. Mindful of the fact that Mr. Davis had requested that Johnson Bottini, LLP be appointed Lead Counsel, the Court granted him leave to make objections regarding counsel appointment. *See id.* n.11.
- 4. After having carefully reviewed the Order, Mr. Davis has decided to (a) withdraw his previous request to appoint Murray, Frank & Sailer LLP as Liaison Counsel; and (b) request that the Court approve his selection of Johnson Bottini, LLP as sole Lead Counsel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All citations to the docket refer to *Repex Ventures S.A. v. Madoff*, No. 09 Civ. 0289 (RMB) (HBP).

5. In support of his request, Mr. Davis submits (a) a Declaration dated October 9, 2009, attached to this partial objection as Exhibit A; and (b) a proposed order attached as Exhibit B.

#### II. ANALYSIS

- 6. The Court should grant Mr. Davis's request for three reasons.
- 7. First, as the Court recognized (Dkt. No. 60 at 6), Mr. Davis is entitled to "select and retain counsel to represent the class," subject to the Court's approval. 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(B)(v).
- 8. Second, in similar cases, this Court has approved lead plaintiffs' choices of lead counsel based on the chosen law firms' qualifications, regardless of whether they are headquartered in New York. *See*, *e.g.*, *Caiafa v. Sea Containers Ltd.*, Nos. 06 Civ. 2565 (RMB) *et al.*, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57776, at \*8 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 14, 2006) (Berman, J.) (approving lead plaintiff's choice of a Philadelphia-based law firm as lead counsel). And as demonstrated in its firm resume, Johnson Bottini, LLP has in-depth experience in litigating securities class actions and has played a leadership role in numerous complex cases across the country, including cases in this District. *See* Dkt. No. 40 Ex. E.
- 9. Here, approval of Mr. Davis's selection of lead counsel is particularly appropriate because, as demonstrated in his October 9, 2009 Declaration (Ex. A) and his May 15, 2009 declaration (Dkt. No. 50), he has been working closely with attorneys at Johnson Bottini, LLP on this litigation and established a protocol to (a) manage counsel; and (b) "ensure that the attorneys' fees and expenses in this litigation will be fair and reasonable." Dkt. No. 50 ¶ 7.
- 10. Third, Mr. Davis makes this request because he shares the Court's concern over the potential inefficiency resulting from appointing multiple law firms as co-lead counsel. Ex. A ¶¶ 2-4.

## III. CONCLUSION

- 11. The Court should grant Mr. Davis's request and amend the Order to reflect its approval of his selection of counsel. *See McNally v. Port Auth. of N.Y. & N.J.*, 414 F.3d 352, 381 (2d Cir. 2005) (stating that district courts have "the authority, as with any interlocutory order, 'to revise its order at any time before the entry of final judgment'").
- 12. Accordingly, Mr. Davis respectfully submits for the Court's signature a proposed order appointing Johnson Bottini, LLP as sole Lead Counsel in the *Perrone* action (Ex. B).

Dated: October 12, 2009 Respectfully submitted

JOHNSON BOTTINI, LLP

s/ Albert Y. Chang

Frank J. Johnson Francis A. Bottini, Jr. Albert Y. Chang (AC-5415) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1400 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 230-0063

Facsimile: (619) 233-5535

Proposed Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiff Neville Seymour Davis