Exhibit B

to the AP's
Opposition to One 3 Two, Inc.'s
Motion in Limine No. 7 to Exclude
Unrelated Copyright Claims and
Settlement Evidence

From: Olivia Perches January 10, 2007 9:38:04 AM (-08)

To: 'Don Juncal'; 'Chris @ OBEY'

Cc: 'afairey@mac.com'; 'Shepard'; 'NicholasJamesGrp@aol.com'

Bcc:

Subject: FW: Bravado v. Obey

Attachments:

Dear Don and Chris,

Bravado and their attorney Feinswog have approached us for an image used by Obey Clothing on T-shirts that they claim infringes on their client. Bravado represents The Clash and the attached image is their specific complaint.

We were approached through our attorney Richard Sigler, and we have included his correspondence with Feinswog.

It is our belief that this image is not selling T-shirts because of the name "the Clash" but rather because of the Obey branding and the social commentary "Hip Hop vs Punk". Additionally, there is no logo, photo or other rendering of any specific image owned by the Clash. While we do not believe that Mr Feinswog has a case, we understand you may choose to make a payment to Feinswog/Bravado rather than incur legal fees.

Please let us know if we can be of any assistance to you in this. We will be glad to lend whatever support we can.

Olivia

Redacted

From: KFeinswog@aol.com January 09, 2007 12:38:53 PM (-08)

To:

SiglerLaw@aol.com

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: Re: Bravado v. Obey

Attachments: OBEYCLASHSHIRT.pdf

I have attached a copy of the Clash shirt.

Kenneth A. Feinswog 6100 Center Drive Suite 630

CONFIDENTIAL FAIREY 3000329

Los Angeles, CA 90045 Telephone-310-846-5800 Facsimile- 310-846-5801

In a message dated 12/1/2006 10:32:06 AM Pacific Standard Time, SiglerLaw writes:

Dear Ken:

In response to your letter of November 9, 2006, I was able to access the web address you provided to find the t-shirt I expect you are complaining about. As to other claims that my client is selling shirts embodying the trademark of The Clash, could you please provide a citation or sample of that so I can evaluate that claim.

As you know, my client is widely noted for his political commentary on public figures using transformative art containing such of their images and brands as is necessary to inform the viewer of the subject of his commentary. You and I have disagreed in the past over where the line between his protected right of free speech and commercial misappropriation has occurred. I know you are an expert in this area of the law, so you are familiar with the very most recent cases finally drawing the line closer to protecting the right of free speech than finding infringing commercial use. This is a welcome development to those of us in the free speech advocacy business. As the recent Barney case noted, the "growing assault on free speech" by predatory trademark and copyright users is being rolled back to a level more respectful of free speech rights.

In the past, we have asserted the free speech, transformative art defense against the claims of Bravado, but the economics of the disputes have not warranted the expenditures necessary by my client to defend its art. However, as to the claimed offending t-shirt, we think the case is even stronger in our favor, not only due to the most recent cases, but due to the content of the shirt. It is a collage with original art of material taken from (and about) a headline on the subject in Britain's Daily Mirror newspaper (see www.thefilthandthefury.co.uk/home.htm).

We have no desire to misappropriate your client's commercial art endeavors and do not believe our t-shirt and art does so. At the same time, we have a free speech opinion about it, have created transformative work of art commenting on it, and have made a limited edition t-shirt available with the art on it for those to purchase who may appreciate, or agree with, its message.

In sum, as to the one t-shirt we are aware of at the present, we believe we are within our First Amendment rights to do what we have done. If you have other information or other product for me to evaluate, I will be happy to do so.

Very truly yours,

Richard

Richard Sigler

Attorney at Law
433 North Camden Dr., Suite 400
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Tel (310) 547-3660
Fax (310) 547-3816
SiglerLaw@aol.com

CONFIDENTIAL FAIREY 3000330

CONFIDENTIAL FAIREY 3000331