

EXHIBIT B

PART I

TO THE AP'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 5 TO PRECLUDE OBEY CLOTHING FROM PRESENTING SHEPARD FAIREY'S EXPERT WITNESSES AT TRIAL

**The Shepard Fairey Obama HOPE poster as Icon:
Report for the legal case Shepard Fairey vs. The Associated Press**

Marita Sturken, Ph.D.
Professor and Chair
Department of Media, Culture, and Communication
New York University

(1) I have been asked to offer an opinion on the status of the Shepard Fairey Obama HOPE poster in relation to the history of the image of political figures, the history of the use of photographic images in art, and its iconic status. In the report that follows, I will refer to the image in question (at issue in this law suit) as the "Fairey Obama poster," which had two renditions with the words HOPE and PROGRESS. In this report, I focus on the Fairey HOPE poster. (Figure 1)

(2) It is my opinion that the original photographic image was transformed in this poster into an image that has acquired iconic status. By iconic, I mean that the image became famous enough to stand in for several intersecting aspects of American culture and politics in the past two years: President Barack Obama's popularity, the grass roots interest in Obama's 2008 political campaign, popular political figures in general, and political power. Evidence of this iconic status, which I will discuss further in this report, can be found in the fact that it has become the source of a remarkable number of knock-offs, references, and parodies.

(3) In their study of iconic photographs, Robert Hariman and John Lucaites state that iconic photographic images are "widely recognized and remembered, are understood to be representations of historically significant events, activate strong emotional identification or response, and are reproduced across a range of media, genres, or topics" (Hariman and Lucaites, 27). In a similar sense, I believe that the Fairey Obama poster has achieved the status of an image icon, one that stands in for a particular political persona, era, and style.

(4) It is my opinion that the iconic status of the image can be attributed to its particular combination of a recognizable conventional political pose (one established through a long history of political poses that in American culture begins with George Washington) with a distinct aesthetic and artistic style, a style that is emblematic of contemporary artistic and popular styles and now associated with Shepard Fairey in particular.

A. Method

(5) I am a specialist in the study of visual culture, which includes the study of images across different social arenas including art, advertising, popular culture,

politics, journalism, and science. My training and background is interdisciplinary and in the arts and humanities, drawing on the fields of media studies, cultural studies, art, and American studies. While I am versed in social science method and approaches, in particular in communication, my method derives from the humanistic approaches of semiotics and discourse analysis (analyzing images as meaningful texts, how they circulate and are talked about, and how their meanings can change in difference contexts). In semiotics, for instance, the process of reading images focuses on connotations—the cultural and social meanings that are conveyed by a particular combination of elements in a particular context. One might say, for example, that the denotative or literal meaning of an image of a cowboy is simply the image of a man riding a horse. The connotative meaning is much more layered and complex, bringing in the cultural associations that are widely accepted for such an image—the cowboy as rugged individualist, American icon, a symbol of the dying west, masculinity, etc. A key aspect of my methodological approach that is worth noting is that it focuses on the image itself, within the social, cultural, and political contexts in which it generates meaning. In this methodological approach, while understanding the artist/creator's intentions can be useful in analyzing an image, the broader commonly-shared cultural meaning of the image is the primary focus of analysis.

(6) I am co-author of a book, *Practices of Looking: An Introduction to Visual Culture*, with Lisa Cartwright, published by Oxford University Press, that is a very popular teaching book on visual culture, how images make meaning, and the theories and approaches that we have to understand images. This book has become the standard text in many college and university courses that deal with the cultural interpretation of popular images. The Second Edition of this book, published in 2009, includes an analysis of the Fairey Obama poster. I have also published two essays (one co-authored) that address the meaning of the Fairey Obama poster in relation to the changing aesthetics of American patriotism and to new concepts of cultural entrepreneurship (Sturken 2009; Banet-Weiser and Sturken, 2010). While *Practices of Looking* has a section on copyright in relation to image reproduction, I am not a specialist in copyright. My expertise is focused on the cultural and political meaning of images, rather than their legal status.

(7) A copy of my c.v. is attached as Exhibit A. I have had prior experience as an expert witness in two legal cases: *Medavoy vs Klein, Allergan et al.*, 2004, and *Klein-Beck vs Allergan*, 2006. In both cases, I provided analysis in relation to false advertising claims and the marketing of off-label use of Botox. I wrote reports for each and in the first case, I went through the deposition process. The first case went to trial but the portion of the case in which I was an expert was dismissed. The second case was settled.

(8) In preparation for writing this report, I reviewed the complaints and the depositions of Shepard Fairey and Mannie Garcia. I am being compensated for my time spent in connection to this case at a rate of \$100 per hour. To date, I have spent approximately 45 hours in preparing this report.

B. The Conventional Pose of a Political Leader

(9) It is my opinion that the Fairey Obama poster succeeds as a political image and has gained iconic status through the ways it transformed a conventional image of a political candidate through particular aesthetic styles. The success of the image is derived from its transformation of the recognizable conventions of a political pose (of a politician appearing thoughtful, inspirational, and leader-like) through a set of image techniques that signal a new kind of aesthetic in that tradition. I see the elements of the conventional pose as a three-quarters pose, a view from below, and a gaze toward the horizon.

(10) In the Fairey Obama poster, Barack Obama is in three-quarters pose, looking out seemingly above the crowd and toward an imagined horizon. The pose is a convention that creates the image of a figure who is thoughtful and pensive, tangible and accessible yet above the crowd. Like many generic poses, it can thus be seen as conjuring a set of contradictory meanings—the leader is powerful and formal yet accessible (through the image itself, an effect compounded when the image is a photograph). To the extent that the original Mannie Garcia photograph reproduces this convention, it could be said that its meaning comes not only from its form (a photograph) but from content (political conventions) that existed prior to the photograph and that has a venerable history behind it. This does not negate the individual skills that photographers might use to create images. The meaning of conventional images, however, is derived from shared understandings of their codes, just as how the meaning of unconventional images derives from how they depart from those codes.

(11) The conventions of imaging political leaders in inspiring poses are evidenced in the history of how modern political leaders have been represented in news photographs and political posters, on currency and official portraits, from American presidents to Soviet politicians to political leaders throughout the world to revolutionaries such as Che Guevara. These conventions can be seen as largely modern (I use this term in its historical sense, to indicate the social historical context of the last few centuries with its rise of industrial technology, the mass media, and modern nation states), and related to the political iconography of the modern nation state. These poses circulate and are replicated by modern technologies of visual reproduction, such as the photograph.

(12) There are many ways in which we can methodologically attempt to understand how such conventions take hold. For instance, one approach might be to draw upon the perspective of neuroscience to understand how particular kinds of poses affect viewers psychologically (Powell and Schirillo). From the methodological perspective of visual culture analysis, conventions are understood as semiotic signs that gain power through repetition. Thus, iconographies are established over time and become commonly understood as signifying particular meanings. This means that particular gazes, postures, and

poses are awarded meaning as they become increasingly generic, and are reproduced by photographers (and, as in this case, by people such as politicians) whether consciously or unconsciously. Iconic and conventional poses are thus reproduced by politicians and photojournalists and selected by photo editors with the understanding that they will resonate with viewers. In semiotics, this means that they form a recognizable sign the meaning of which has been built up and affirmed through multiple images.

(13) Another way of understanding this is to address how conventions are reproduced not only by image-makers but also by the people represented within the images. Familiar signs are repeated because they create a shorthand meaning to viewers. People who are posing for photographs quite often deliberately assume conventional poses before the camera as a means to convey a particular meaning—whether they do so consciously or not. Iconic images are thus often recreated not only through the choices made by photographers but also through the actions of those posing within the frame. One example of a repeating icon might make this clear. (Figure 2) The Franklin photograph of firefighters who raised a flag at Ground Zero, which became an iconic image of 9/11, is a clear reference (almost a remake) of the famous image by Rosenthal of the flag being raised at Iwo Jima, an image so iconic that it has been subject to an extraordinary number of remakes (Hariman and Lucaites, Chapter 4). I have argued elsewhere that the Franklin photograph remakes the Iwo Jima image in a way that was participated in not only by the photographer who replicated the original images via angle and placement of the figures, and by the photo editors who immediately pulled the picture out from a group because it looked familiar, but also by the firefighters themselves who, consciously or unconsciously, participated in replicating the gesture of raising the flag on a site of violent conflict (Sturken 2007, 189-90). Politicians are particular adept at replicating conventional poses, in particular because they are photographed so much and participate quite regularly in events that are photo opportunities. Like many popular politicians, President Obama is highly skilled in how he presents himself in contexts where he is being photographed. (Figure 3)

(14) The Fairey Obama poster replicates this political pose and deploys a set of conventions that have been replicated for decades, if not centuries, in the images of politicians. The modern political photograph draws on the history of political portraiture (as well as the history of portraiture in general) but its status as a photograph is crucial to its meaning. In an essay entitled “Photography and Electoral Appeal,” well-known semiotician Roland Barthes wrote that the political photograph offers a particular kind of constructed intimacy for the viewer that transports political discourse from policy to a “socio-moral status.” Thus, Barthes argued, the electoral photograph functions like a mirror to the viewer and “offers to the voter his own likeness, but clarified, exalted, superbly elevated into a type” through which the viewer is “invited to elect himself” (Barthes 91). The political photograph is, as Barthes notes, “replete with signs.”

(15) Thus, within this framework, I would argue that the Garcia photograph is a conventional photograph of a politician. This does not negate from its quality as a photograph. The fact is that it is the work of photojournalists covering politicians to reproduce conventional images that are recognizable to viewers within a tradition of political images.

(16) There are several aspects to this political pose, in both its photograph convention and in its longer history in painting, which I will discuss separately.

1. Three-quarters pose:

(17) The history of portraiture in Western art demonstrates that the portrait was an important genre of painting, in particular when commissions of the wealthy and aristocratic were a primary means for artists to produce work. The conventions of many portraits until the Renaissance were predominantly in profile, with the subjects depicted on a flattened surface. (Figure 4) With the development of techniques of visual perspective in the Renaissance in the early fifteenth century, which produced an enormously important shift in the commonly understood visual codes for representing reality and a set of codes for imaging three dimensions, portraiture became less flat and more dimensional. In fifteenth century Dutch painting, there was a shift toward the portraiture of new kinds of individuality, and the three-quarter angle was a key feature of this shift (Janson). (Figures 5-7) The three-quarters pose also emerged in the context of the Italian Renaissance with the work of such artists as Leonardo da Vinci and Raphael. (Figures 8-9) By the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, the three-quarters pose had become a reliable portrait convention, in which a certain openness of the portrait subject was suggested, yet one with a convention of not revealing too much intimacy (as was deemed appropriate for monied and aristocratic subjects). The three-quarters pose signifies a certain power to the person being depicted. They look away from the viewer in a way that does not acknowledge their presence.

(18) In the early years of the United States, this iconography took hold quickly in relation to the portraits of presidents, both in terms of official painting portraits and in subsequent photographic images. Two of the most famous versions of this are the Gilbert Stuart painting of President George Washington, which was then replicated on the one dollar bill, (Figures 10 and 11) and the Matthew Brady photograph of President Abraham Lincoln in 1860 (Kismaric; Goldberg, 75). (Figure 12) Stuart's 1796 unfinished portrait of Washington (referred to as the Athenaeum) was then replicated in numerous copies made by Stuart and transposed into engravings that then become part of the dollar bill design. The three-quarters pose is evident across U.S. currency, with James Madison on the twenty-dollar bill and Benjamin Franklin on the one-hundred dollar bill among others. (Figures 13 and 14)

(19) When early photographic portraiture began, which it did quite rapidly after the invention of photography in 1839, it incorporated many of the conventions of

painting portraiture. Early cameras were cumbersome and demanded that subjects sit still at length, and so the conventions of formal photographic portraiture were quite rigid. Both a steady camera gaze and a three-quarters pose were very popular poses from the beginning. As I have noted, these conventions get repeated for a number of reasons: their iconographies signify a shared set of understandings of the poses, and they are then replicated by artists/photographers and by the subjects of the images themselves. The formality of such portraiture was also aided by the limits of the technologies producing them.

(20) The three-quarters gaze demands that the posed figure look off to one side outside of the picture, rather than at the viewer. A review of portraits and photographs of famous politicians and American presidents reveals that while this is not exclusively the singular pose through which they are depicted, it is clearly the dominant mode. (Figures 15-19) Some social research suggests that in the history of the images of American presidents in particular, the three-quarters pose has been the most common pose (Mullen, 824).

(21) There are a large number of examples of this kind of political pose, in particular in the context of American political iconography. (Figures 20-34) As Figures 20-34 demonstrate, the three-quarters pose, with the head tilted slightly upward and the gaze toward the horizon is a common political pose that is repeated by both politicians and the photographers who document them (and the publications that report on them). While this is by no means the only pose we see of these politicians, its repetition is notable. In semiotic terms, the pose forms a sign that connotes leadership, inspiration, and forward-thinking. Semiotically, we could also say that pose connotes someone who is above the crowd and visionary.

(22) Roland Barthes, in analyzing the electoral photograph, defined the three-quarters pose as one that connotes inspiration. While the full-face photograph, according to Barthes, "expresses penetration, gravity, frankness: the future deputy is looking squarely at the enemy, the obstacle, the 'problem,'" the three-quarters photographic pose suggests "an ideal: the gaze is lost nobly in the future, it does not confront, it soars, and fertilizes some other domain, which is chastely left undefined" (92).

(23) Importantly, a three-quarters pose positions the subject as looking away from the camera or viewer. There are many images of political leaders that deploy a direct gaze for effect, including the most widely circulated image of Chinese leader Chairman Mao (of such iconic status that it was subject to Andy Warhol's remaking). (Figure 35) However, direct gaze (as Barthes notes) can be seen as connoting confrontation (within the visual codes of cinema, a direct gaze to the camera is understood as threatening the fictional narrative by breaking through to the viewer). While this has been used with great effect for many political images (including the famous recruiting poster of Uncle Sam pointing a

figure at “you”) it can result in a potentially negative and confrontational image for many modern politicians.

(24) The history of photographic images of American politicians demonstrates that for the past few decades, a trend toward candid, unrehearsed images has been underway, from the Kennedy era onward. Thousands of images are produced of American presidents and other world leaders through a range of media, and viewers are accustomed to seeing them across an array of poses, from formal portraits to orchestrated photographic opportunities to candid and potentially embarrassing off-the-cuff moments. Importantly, the Fairey Obama poster departs from this trend. Instead, it makes a point of referring to the more formalized traditional image of a political leader; it is deliberately situating Obama within the particular political iconography of this pose, rather than within the broader and more accessible political image available to viewers today.

2. View from below:

(25) It has long been a convention in photography to use a low angle looking up to create images of powerful people. Thus, photojournalists tend to assume positions that allow them to aim their cameras up toward those subjects who are powerful and many political events are orchestrated to have the press be physically below the politicians on view. Of course, in the history of political rallies, protests, and gatherings, political figures are usually standing above the crowd in order to be seen, and sometimes quite deliberately posed as larger than life. This view from a lower angle has the effect, depending on the angle, of creating a sense of the physical presence or power of the subject, sometimes to the point of them looming physically within the frame. In some cases, the effect is more subtle, as it is in the Fairey Obama poster, with a slight angle upward.

3. Gaze toward horizon:

(26) A gaze out over the crowd and toward an end point off-screen and out of the frame is also typical of many political poses that incorporate the three-quarters gaze. Semiotically speaking, this is often seen as connoting a look toward the future or an inspired state of being. Here again, Barthes is useful: “Almost all three-quarter face photos are ascensional, the face is lifted towards a supernatural light which draws it up and elevates it to the realm of higher humanity; the candidate reaches the Olympus of elevated feelings, where all political contradictions are solved” (92-93). Such a gaze thus effectively creates the meaning of a political figure looking outward toward an imagined horizon, and the horizon is most often understood as connoting the future. The slight upturn of Obama’s face in the Fairey Obama poster adds to this effect, in particular when it is paired with words like “hope.”

(27) While this conventional pose has a long history, as I have noted, with politicians, it is also a convention that has been used to image other political leaders. I would like to note, in particular, that one of the most famous and iconic images of a political leader, is the photograph taken by the Cuban photographer

Korda of Che Guevara. (Figure 36) This image, which has had quite an extraordinary "afterlife" as an image of an icon of political struggle and revolutionary politics, gains its power from a combination of elements (Che's beret is a potent sign within it of revolutionary style) the most important of which is Che's gaze off-screen toward an imagined future (Casey). Even very stripped down versions of this image can indicate Che through signally its basic features. (Figure 37)

(28) To summarize, the conventional political pose that is in evident in the Fairey Obama poster can be situated within a long tradition of images of politicians and political leaders. The effect of the poster is derived in part from the recognizability of this pose to viewers within a poster format that transforms that pose into a new kind of aesthetic.

C. Use of Photographic Images in Art

(29) Shepard Fairey's use of a photographic image in his poster can be situated within the history of appropriation and the use of photographs in art. As a technique, appropriation in art is defined as a borrowing of forms, text, images into a new work and transforming them in some way. Importantly, the strategy of appropriation is geared at retaining an aspect of the original thing borrowed in order to create a new meaning. Borrowing photographs into artworks has often had the effect of signaling a connection to the immediacy of media and modern culture, or some sense of the real. Thus, it is often the case that the trace of a photographic image provides meaning when inserted into an art image that a drawing or painting would not.

(30) Appropriation has thus been a central strategy deployed by artists in the modern art canon. As I will discuss further, in the context of more recent postmodern art style, referencing and borrowing have become even more central in art making. In the modern tradition, appropriation was seen as an avant-garde means to create layers of meaning and the appropriation of news images into art works as a means to connect art to the world of mass media and politics. Key to this intended meaning is that it means something different to incorporate a photograph into a work of art than it does to redraw it. As I will explain further, I believe that the Fairey Obama poster appropriated the conventional political pose into the poster form, and deployed the graphic sign of a photographic outline to convey political immediacy.

(31) The artist whose work forms the most obvious antecedent to Shepard Fairey's work in general is Andy Warhol, who is arguably one of the most influential artists of modern art. Warhol's oeuvre transcended the relationship of art and popular culture in ways that have had a lasting cultural influence and one can see his influence on Fairey's use of media images, his silk-screen techniques (I will discuss this further in the next section), his use of color, and his

preference for the multiple copies of the poster format (Livingstone). In Warhol's pop origins, he incorporated brands, logos, and consumer products into his art and then transformed them through visual techniques, collage, and repetition.

(32) Warhol began incorporating images from popular culture in his art in the early 1960s, and produced a large number of works in the 1960s that used a bold screen-printing technique to screen color into photographic news images (of car crashes, disasters, and race riots) often in repetitive formats (Figure 38) While Warhol's signature color style colorized these images and he repeated them to create graphic patterns, many of these images were not significantly transformed by his processes into new image forms.

(33) Warhol later became famous for his color screen printing of famous people, first as cultural icons (such as Elvis Presley) and then commissioned "vanity" portraits. (Figures—39-41) One of Warhol's most well-known celebrity portraits was of Marilyn Monroe, of whom he produced a number of diptych images shortly after her death in 1962. Using a publicity still of Monroe as his original source, Warhol printed color fields into Monroe's image and then repeated it across the canvas. This serial repetition, which became a signature of his work, was a means to connote the repetitive quality of celebrity as a form of mass production.

(34) Warhol's influence can be seen as having a direct impact on how the relationship of popular culture and art are considered. His work deliberately pushed at the boundaries of definitions of art (in such now famous works as his pile of Brillo boxes) just as the work of Dada artists such as Marcel Duchamp had before him. His primary role in helping to reshape modern art is now undisputable and he is considered by most art critics and art historians to have been one of the most important artists of the twentieth century. Repetition and redundancy were a key feature of Warhol's portraits of celebrities and appropriations of news images. The poster aesthetic was implied by his large color silk-screens in which repetition of images across the canvas evoked the mechanical reproduction of the image, mass culture, consumerism, and the pleasures of abundance and excess. All of these qualities of his work form an important lineage to the work of Shepard Fairey.

(35) Another artist whose work incorporated photographic images in a way that marks a clear antecedent to the Fairey Obama poster is Robert Rauschenberg. In a number of works, some of them quite large, Rauschenberg incorporated news photographs into paintings, often using a typical Abstract Expressionist brushstroke effect to incorporate the images. Notably, Rauschenberg created a series of silkscreen prints about John F. Kennedy (that presage the Fairey Obama poster) in order to convey the meaning of Kennedy as a popular media figure as well as to demonstrate how the news media had penetrated daily lives in the 1960s. In the 1964 *Retroactive I*, for instance, (Figure 42) Kennedy's image is framed by screened reproductions of news images of the space

program. Rauschenberg reproduced and combined these images, overlapping and painting over them, to comment on Kennedy's iconic status as a political figure defined by and through the news media. Importantly, if Rauschenberg had simply drawn these pictures, they would not have had the same meaning or impact that these recomposed images bring to it. His visual techniques transform the images through paint, washes, color, and collage technique. The graphic, newsprint reproduction gives the work a sense of urgency, giving it an overall sense of the rush of information culture and the power of image reproduction to evoke history.

(36) There are many other contemporary artists who deploy images from the news media in their work: Barbara Kruger, whose work garnered attention starting in the 1980s, to the point where she did illustrations for the cover of *Newsweek* and other publications, created a signature style of enlarged news images with bold phrases splashed across them on red bands. (Figure 43) These works form a range of techniques to transform the images that they borrow, yet they share a sensibility of engagement with the world of news, politics, and the immediacy of the photograph.

(37) This tradition of deploying photographic images in order to signal the real in some way includes a broad range of engagements with appropriation and transformation. Some of these artists transform images by incorporating them into collages, others use color but do not change the image forms dramatically, others break the images down to the point where they are barely recognizable, remaining only as a trace.

(38) I contend that the fact that Shepard Fairey used the outline of a photographic image in making his poster of Obama was absolutely crucial to its effect. The poster image gains its power from the political pose translated through the graphic indication, broken down to mere elements, of a photograph. Its very trace signals immediacy, media content, and the real. A drawing would not have had the same effect. It is also crucial in this case that the original photograph has been reduced to two factors: the conventional photographic pose and the graphic trace that signals a photograph. By comparison, many of Warhol and Rauschenberg's images took the photograph whole and transformed it minimally.

(39) While these artists form important lineages to the work of Shepard Fairey, and in particular his use of news images in his work, he has built on these legacies and created a signature style of his own. I will discuss the specific Obama HOPE poster and style in more depth in what follows, in which I argue that Fairey's influence has been substantial. I would like to note that he has drawn on the aesthetic and strategic legacies of these artists in a way that translates those aesthetic strategies and modern techniques into new terrain.

(40) It is not incidental to Fairey's reputation and influence as an artist that he began as a street artist, creating work that was intended to be in dialogue with the visual urban terrain of the street from advertisements to graffiti to skateboard culture. As is now well known, he began his career by stenciling Obey Giant graphics in urban environments. This interest in the street situates Fairey within the legacy of 1980s art that incorporated the work of street artists such as Keith Haring and Jean-Michel Basquiat, into the art world, yet in Fairey's work it is combined with a savvy contemporary engagement with branding and cultural entrepreneurship. That he integrates art, design, street art, and running a company with a branded line of clothing makes him, to a certain extent, emblematic of the ease in contemporary culture with mix of branding, art, entrepreneurship, and fashion. His work has consistently deployed strategies of borrowing historical styles and Warholesque repetition. He has created a significant number of works that play off the codes of political posters, often creating posters quickly, producing many versions of images and posting weekly versions on his web site for his fan base. For instance, he produced a series of posters of political figures such as Angela Davis, Che Guevara, and Lenin (as well as posters of cultural figures like Sid Vicious, Jimi Hendrix, and Bob Marley) using a mix of historical poster styles. (Figure 44) While the Obama poster put him on the map in an entirely different way, it follows directly from Fairey's particular aesthetic style of mixing style, postering, and bold graphic design.

D. Shepard Fairey's Transformation of the Garcia Photograph

(41) As the court documents indicate, the Fairey Obama poster has had a rather extraordinary trajectory into the public domain since it was first created, not only as a poster that came to symbolize the Barack Obama campaign and what made it different from other political campaigns, but also as a poster that has come to symbolize political images in general and created a new kind of aesthetic for American patriotism.

(42) The conventional political pose struck by Obama in the original Garcia photograph is transformed in the Fairey poster through several visual effects, primarily among them are texture/pattern, color, and the use of text ("HOPE"). The image is extended and amplified by the screen-printing technique into a series of shapes and textures. This can be broken down into the effects of lines, shape and color:

1. Striated lines:

(43) The striated lines that shape the contours of Obama's face evoke the texture of newsprint and a long tradition of graphic posters that were produced with traditional printing presses. This simple effect of the striated lines thus evokes the long history of political posters, from Soviet political posters to other forms of cheaply-produced posters for political causes around the world. In particular, this effect deploys the visual style of graphic poster design used by the Bolshevik

agitprop artists of the 1920s (in the wake of the 1917 Russian Revolution), giving the work a sense of political urgency. This style at one level indicates a reference to this particular art tradition of leftist politics, and at a more general level evokes a political immediacy and message.

2. Shape:

(44) In the poster, Obama's face, neck, and clothing are transformed into shapes in a way that evokes traditional silkscreen printing. This has what can be seen as a kind of topographical effect, in which the contours are transformed into different color fields. Here again, a longer tradition of political art is evoked, as well as the modern art style of screening color into black-and-white images. As I have noted, the artist who made this kind of style most famous was Andy Warhol. This photo-silkscreen technique has the effect of reducing the image (in this case, the shape of Obama's face) to an elemental yet recognizable set of outlines. This technique has been deployed by artists from Warhol onwards as a means to conjure the meaning of popular printing, the power of image reproduction and repetition, and the power of celebrity. Thus, for instance, when Che Guevara's image is stripped down by photographic techniques to the elemental shape of his face (much as Fairey did in abstracting the contours of Obama's likeness from the original photograph), the reworked image can be understood as evoking the power of his celebrity as well as the celebrity status of the image itself, because viewers can read the original photograph through the mere shapes.

3. Color:

(45) The color of the poster is a key feature in its meaning and its iconic status. The color is both evocative of traditional patriotic colors (red, white, and blue) and a play off those colors. The red is slightly more orange than a typical patriotic orange, the blue is lighter and more gray in tone, and the white is a kind of yellow off-white. Fairey has made this color palette a signature of his style (the off-white tone is predominant in many of his works), and used it in a number of images since the Obama poster. See, for instance, his subsequent poster about wind power (Figure 45) It is also a key feature of the many knock-offs and parodies of the Fairey Obama poster.

(46) The crucial feature of this off-color palette is that it *references rather replicates* the traditional red, white, and blue colors of the American flag and other objects of U.S. patriotism. Thus, viewers of the Fairey Obama poster can recognize that the colors play with the traditional palette. This potentially has the effect of evoking a certain ambivalence toward traditional and conventional forms of American patriotism, and in the case of the Obama campaign poster, had the effect of signaling something different about this particular political candidate.

4. Text:

(47) The Fairey Obama poster combines image and text—a simple aspect of its status as a political poster that is very important not only to its transformative

status but to its political message. The interaction of text and image has a long history, and analyses of images (from advertisements to political posters) have demonstrated the complex interaction of signs that text and image create. The words direct the viewer to read certain qualities and meanings into the image. Font, letter size, color, and typographic feel all contribute to the meaning of text in particular when combined with images.

(48) The words "HOPE" are boldly presented on the poster in size, font (a sans-serif, straightforward, modern style) and color. Obviously, the blue color of the HOPE text connects it to the overall color palette of the poster. The size is emphatic, and the fact that the capital letters are situated squarely at the bottom of the frame draws again on the visual legacies of Soviet and leftist poster techniques. There are many ways in which political posters can use text, and a softer, less emphatic use of text is increasingly the norm (certainly much thinner type fonts are more common).

(49) Finally, the word "hope" directs the meaning of the image in crucial ways. Viewers are invited to create an equation and correlation between the image of Obama's face and his gaze off screen and the concept of Hope. As the many parodies of this image demonstrate, other words can easily transform the picture into other meanings (this is one the central tenets of semiotics, that images and words can be directed in particular contexts to create new signs). I believe that this poster did succeed quite well in creating a sense of hope for the many viewers who circulated it and embraced it during the campaign.

E. Fairey's Use of Pastiche and Postmodern Style

(50) There are several important aspects of the aesthetic style that Fairey uses to transform the photographic image into a political poster image and to reconfigure the conventional political pose. These aspects of style can be situated within a broader context of contemporary styles of art and popular culture. This is often referred to as postmodern style (distinguishing it from the conventions of modernism and avant-garde modern art), which is characterized by a self-conscious kind of meta-communication, referencing/quoting, pastiche (borrowing and mixing different styles from history), and an integration of art with popular culture. Much postmodern art is defined by its derivative and appropriative quality, one that involves playing off the codes of previous art styles and previous works of art. Postmodern style is thus defined in part as a style that is always pointing to previous styles of imaging.

(51) Most pertinent to an interpretation of the Fairey Obama poster is the way it creates meaning through referencing. As I have discussed, the poster refers to Soviet agit-prop art styles, the newsprint of political posters in general, the Warholesque silkscreen effect of evoking popular culture and celebrity, and the traditional color scheme of American patriotic images and objects, in particular

the U.S. flag. For such an image, the reference to other images, styles, and objects is its primary message, rather than a simple and direct set of meanings. This has the effect of creating layered meanings and demanding relatively complex readings from viewers.

(52) Fairey's Obama poster, and much of his poster work in general, is clearly situated in the context of remix culture that proliferates today via the Internet, digital media, Youtube culture, hip-hop music forms, and a whole array of cultural engagements that remake, remix, mash-up, parody, and borrow other cultural forms and references. While much of this culture is generated in the context of the emergence of digital media, as I have noted, appropriation has a very long history in art, not only as a key feature of postmodern art (which arguably begins in the 1980s but could date back to the 1920s) but also modern art.

(53) One of the key features of the pastiche-postmodern style of referencing and quoting is that it defines a particular kind of viewer, a knowing one who is accustomed to reading images as references and citations and who recognizes the different styles of media and popular culture. While the Fairey Obama poster deploys the clichés of celebrity and political affirmation in its image of a leader looking off toward an imagined horizon, it effectively recodes its political image in a way that addresses viewers adept at reading references as a key feature of style. In other words, it addresses a viewer who can recognize that these are the conventions of the typical political pose (in the same way that a viewer of *The Daily Show* recognizes the codes of television news that are the object of its parodies). Every element of the Fairey Obama poster signals a reference through its style—the use of off-key colors to signal the flag, the screen printing style (not inherent to the production of the image but added as effect) to evoke early modern printing styles of political posters, the Warholesque screening of color into black and white forms. These all address a viewer who can read the image as one that plays with image conventions rather than replicating them. Thus, in my opinion, the image plays with the idea of a political poster at the same time that it presents an inspiring image of this particular politician. This kind of dual position, playing with the style while simultaneously asserting a direct message through it, is a feature of postmodern style. In other words, the poster signals to the viewer the codes of the political poster, but still advocates for its candidate. (Figure 46)

(54) That not all viewers would read the references in the poster to the full extent does not mean that they have any less power. Today viewers (many of whom grew up watching *Sesame Street* episodes, Pixar animated films, and *The Simpsons*, all of which deploy referencing as a style) recognize the knowing position of an image text that references other sources and styles, even if they might be unaware of the actual original source. In other words, viewers (even very young ones) are accustomed today to recognizing that references are being made even if they are unfamiliar with exactly what is being referenced.

(55) This postmodern style of referencing proliferates in popular culture, but has, until quite recently, been largely absent in conventional political culture. While parodies of politicians and their style might proliferate on *The Daily Show*, and other political shows, most political posters and campaign imagery have remained strictly conventional. Indeed most American patriotic imagery can easily be characterized as kitsch—evoking simplistic modes of sentiment and un-ironic demonstrations of political affiliation.

(56) On one hand, the poster is clearly an effective affirmation of the then-candidate and his message of hope and change. On the other hand, its aesthetic of referencing and image play also creates an ironic subtext. It is safe to say that the style of irony has had little to no history in official American patriotic culture (Sturken 2009). The history of patriotic, nation-affirming images in the United States have been decidedly un-ironic embraces of U.S. exceptionalism, power, and deployments of a mythic culture of American innocence.

(57) The transformative nature of the Fairey Obama poster can thus be seen as deploying the style of appropriative, reference-laden culture to a mode of political representation in ways that were largely unprecedented. As I have written elsewhere, the popularity of the Fairey Obama poster has been quite extraordinary for many reasons, primary among them because its contemporary pastiche aesthetic resonated with a broad swath of the American public (many of them younger citizens) that had never felt addressed by the aesthetic of typical patriotic images and political posters. In my opinion, this image is a harbinger of a shift in the aesthetic of American patriotism, a shift from traditional and conventional patriotic images (many kitsch, all un-ironic) to images that engage in play and irony (Sturken 2009). Thus, the fact that a fine art version of the poster is now on display in the National Portrait Gallery of the Smithsonian Institution, where it sits among conventional presidential portraits of political leaders, signals an aesthetic shift in the codes of patriotism. It remains to be seen whether this will be a temporary shift or more permanent one. The image speaks to younger generations of viewers/citizens for whom such an appropriative aesthetic is the norm, and that it is likely to signal the potential for ironic, playful images to coexist within the image culture of U.S. politics and patriotic culture along with more conventional images.

(58) In this sense, the Fairey Obama poster had an impact that cannot be underestimated. Fairey's accomplishment in creating a poster that transfers an aesthetic of popular youth culture to the realm of political patriotism and the traditional political pose was unprecedented. It happened to resonate for viewers because its timing was right for viewers, politically, culturally, technologically, etc. Such a response and resonance is difficult to predict beforehand because the factors that go into it are so multiple and complex.

F. Parodies, Knock-Offs, and References as Evidence of the Fairey Obama Poster's Iconic Status

(59) Of all of Fairey's work, the Obama poster has had the most influence, visibility, and cultural circulation. The poster has been phenomenally popular, spawning not only many imitators but also a significant number of second-generation references. It is clear to me that it is the poster, not the original photograph, that has engendered and encouraged this cultural response and production. In signaling the original Fairey Obama poster, most of these knock-offs are deploying a second level of meaning that connects to its status as a political image and as a political poster. Most of the knock-offs use the color of the original, its silk-screen print effect, the three-quarter pose, or some combination of the three elements to signal it.

(60) The first references to the original HOPE poster were made by Shepard Fairey himself, as he produced several additional posters of Obama including a Vote poster for the campaign (Figure 47) and an inauguration poster that posted the new president, in a new pose, within a triangular frame. (Figure 48) He also produced a *Time Magazine* person of the year cover (Figure 49) and a *Rolling Stone Magazine* cover (Figure 50), both of which used different images of the president. In the *Time* cover, Obama retains the political pose, looking off to an imagined horizon on his right, and is imaged in the same color scheme but against a textured background of symbols. In the *Rolling Stone* cover the color palette is referenced rather than directly replicated, and laid over the presidential seal. It is a more circumspect image, more potentially cautious in its representation of Obama—a fact underscored by its text "bold action or compromise?"

(61) As I have noted, the original HOPE poster has inspired an extraordinary number of references and remakes. I have looked at a large number of these images, and I think it most useful to place them into several different categories. These categories are as follows: (1) Obama as an inspirational political leader; (2) negative and critical images of Obama that rework the connotation of the image from one of hope to one of untrustworthiness; (3) political leaders in general; (4) charged images of people whose lives have changed those of others; and (5) political poster images in general

(62) 1. The original HOPE poster has produced a significant number of knock-off images and objects (in the form of T-shirts, ties, and other curios) as well as remakes that are inspired to reference the original in homage. These knock-offs aim to capitalize on replicating the original poster for consumer purposes. Others just use it humorously. (Figures 51-55)

(63) 2. Parodies of the Obama poster have proliferated in circuits that are in opposition to the President and his policies. These usually deploy the essential elements of the original poster (color palette, screen printing effect, position of

text) with new text and other elements in order to rework the positive meaning of the original image into one of critique. (Figures 56-58)

(64) 3. Images of political leaders in general, from Sarah Palin to French President Nicolas Sarkozy, in ways that take the iconography of the Fairey image and apply it as a means to signal political potency. In these images, the use of Fairey's signature color palette is used to reference the power of the original poster. (Figures 59-60)

(65) 4. Charged images of people whose lives have impacted those of others. For instance, during the 2009 upheavals protesting the Iranian election, images of the young woman Neda Agha-Soltan, whose on-camera death created a martyr and icon of the struggle, were remade in the style of Fairey's poster and circulated on the Web. Many used a green palette, which had become associated with the Iranian resistance movement, within the textured screen print effect of the Fairey poster. (Figures 61-62)

(66) Evidence that the Fairey poster has at this point come to stand in for all political posters of impact can be seen in the recent parodies by political comedians, including Jon Stewart and Stephen Colbert in their planned October 2010 rally on the mall to "restore sanity." For instance, the Stephen Colbert parody deliberately remakes the Fairey Obama poster while turning its color palette into something more jarring and electric in order to convey Colbert's political personality. The reference to the Fairey Obama poster thus provides a shorthand for Colbert's joke, allowing him to signal his character's association with political power. With their signature knowing style, Stewart and Colbert create a layered meaning in these references—they refer in humor not only to the original poster but in essence also to its iconic status and inevitability as a reference. (Figures 63-65)

(67) In the history of image icons, this kind of riffing, referencing, and remaking has a long tradition, as the history of the Che image makes clear. Often this process of reducing the image of a few recognizable elements is a key factor (for Che, the gaze and beret, for the Fairey Obama poster, the color and silkscreen effect). Iconic images are not easily created, and almost never by design. They emerge from complex sets of social, cultural, and political factors that can change over time. I cannot define all of the social and historical reasons why the Fairey Obama poster became an icon at this particular historical moment, but I am confident in stating that its iconic status in the history of American political iconography is assured.

G. Summary

(68) It is my opinion that the original photographic image taken by Mannie Garcia was transformed in this poster into an image that has acquired iconic status.

While the original photograph was conventional and generic, the Fairey poster transformed it into something significantly unique, original, and culturally significant. The power of the image is derived from its combination of a conventional political pose (with a three-quarters pose, a gaze toward the horizon, and a low angle) and a visual aesthetic that exemplifies contemporary visual culture.

(69) Perhaps most importantly, I feel that this poster has had a potentially lasting impact on the aesthetics of American patriotism—opening up a door toward styles of pastiche, referencing, and irony, none of which have been present in American patriotic culture before these recent years.

(70) This poster did create hope. The fact that this hope could not be sustained, by one individual no matter how powerful, in the current morass of American politics, may be one reason why its meaning has been so easily hijacked and redeployed in ways that counter its original intent. Yet, as such, it has formed a vital component in American public culture at this moment in history.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Marita Sturken". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the end.

Marita Sturken, Ph.D.
October 1, 2010

Works Cited:

Banet-Weiser, Sarah, and Marita Sturken. "The Politics of Commerce: Shepard Fairey and the New Cultural Entrepreneurship." In *Blowing Up the Brand*, edited by Melissa Aronczyk and Devon Powers. New York: Peter Lang, 2010, 263-83.

Barthes, Roland. *Mythologies*. Translated by Annette Lavers. New York: Hill and Wang, [1957] 1972.

Casey, Michael. *Che's Afterlife: The Legacy of an Image*. New York: Vintage, 2009.

Goldberg, Vicki. *The Power of Photography: How Photographs Changed Our Lives*, Expanded and Updated Edition. New York: Abbeville, 1993.

Hariman, Robert, and John Louis Lucaites. *No Caption Needed: Iconic Photographs, Public Culture, and Liberal Democracy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2007.

Janson, H.W. and D.J. Janson. *History of Art*. New York: Abrams [1962] ADD most recent edition

Kennedy, Randy. "Obama's Face (That's Him?) Rules the Web," *New York Times*, May 30, 2009,
http://www.nytimes.com/2009/05/31/arts/design/31pain.html?_r=1.

Kismaric, Susan. *American Politicians: Photographs from 1843 to 1993*. New York: Museum of Modern Art, 1994.

Livingstone, Marco. "Do It Yourself: Notes on Warhol's Techniques." In Kynaston McShine, ed. *Andy Warhol: A Retrospective*. New York: Museum of Modern Art, 1989, 63-78.

McShine, Kynaston, ed. *Andy Warhol: A Retrospective*. New York: Museum of Modern Art, 1989.

Mullen, Lawrence J. "The President's Visual Image from 1945 to 1974: An Analysis of Spatial Configuration in News Magazine Photographs." *Presidential Studies Quarterly* 27.4 (Fall 1997), 819-34.

Nyong'o, Tavia. "Art." *Social Text* 100. 27.3 (Fall 2009), 53-57.

Powell, W.R. and J.S. Schirillo. "Asymmetrical Facial Expressions in Portraits and Hemispheric Laterality: A Literature Review." *Laterality: Asymmetries of Body, Brain and Cognition* 14.6 (February 2009), 545-72.

Sturken, Marita. *Tourists of History: Memory, Kitsch, and Consumerism from Oklahoma City to Ground Zero*. Durham: Duke University Press, 2007.

Sturken, Marita, and Lisa Cartwright. *Practices of Looking: An Introduction to Visual Culture, Second Edition*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.

Sturken, Marita. "The New Aesthetics of Patriotism," *Journal of Visual Culture* 8.2 (Fall 2009), 168-72.

Wagner, Anne M. "Warhol Paints History, or Race in America." *Representations* 55 (Summer 1996), 98-119.

MARITA STURKEN

Department of Media, Culture, and
Communication
New York University
239 Greene Street 7th Floor
New York, NY 10003

tel (212) 992-9424
fax (212) 995-4046
marita.sturken@nyu.edu
<http://homepages.nyu.edu/~ms4331>

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

- 2006- Professor and Chair, Department of Media, Culture, and Communication
New York University (Chair since September 2009)
Co-Director, Visual Culture Program, NYU Steinhardt 2006-08
- 2010 Bonnier Guest Professor, University of Stockholm
- 2005-06 Associate Professor, Department of Culture and Communication, New York
University
- 1999-2005 Associate Professor, Annenberg School for Communication and the Program
in American Studies and Ethnicity, University of Southern California. Joint
appointments in Critical Studies/School of Cinema-TV and Political Science;
affiliated faculty, Gender Studies.
- 1994-1999 Assistant Professor, Annenberg School for Communication, University of
Southern California
- 1992-1994 Lecturer, Department of Communication, University of California, San
Diego

EDUCATION

- Ph.D. History of Consciousness, University of California, Santa Cruz, 1992
Dissertation: Cultural Memory and Identity Politics: The Vietnam War, AIDS,
and Technologies of Memory (Hayden White, Chair)
- B.A. Photography, Visual Studies Workshop/Empire State College/State University of
New York, 1979
Cornell University, 1975-77

BOOKS

Tourists of History: Memory, Kitsch and Consumerism from Oklahoma City to Ground Zero. Durham: Duke University Press, 2007, 344 pages. Winner of the 2007-2008 Transdisciplinary Humanities Book Award, Institute for Humanities Research, Arizona State University.

Practices of Looking: An Introduction to Visual Culture. Co-authored with Lisa Cartwright. New York: Oxford University Press, 2001, 385 pages. Translated into Korean, 2006, and Chinese. Second Edition, revised and expanded, 2009, 486 pages. Translated into Czech, 2009.

Thelma & Louise. London: British Film Institute Modern Classic Series, 2000, 94 pages. Translated into Hebrew by Aya Breuer. Tel-Aviv: Resling Publishing, 2003.

Tangled Memories: The Vietnam War, the AIDS Epidemic and the Politics of Remembering. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1997, 350 pages. Translated into Japanese by Minoru Iwasaki. Tokyo: Miraisha Publishers, 2004.

EDITED PUBLICATIONS

(With Lisa Cartwright), *Practices of Looking Reader and Casebook*, Oxford University Press, forthcoming

Editor, *American Quarterly*, journal of the American Studies Association (2003-06).

During this time I was the supervising editor for three special issues that were reissued as books by Johns Hopkins Press: *Los Angeles and the Future of Urban Cultures*, edited by George Sánchez and Raul Villa (2005); *Legal Borderlands: Law and the Construction of American Borders*, edited by Mary Dudziak and Leti Volpp (2006); and *Rewiring the "Nation": Technology in American Studies*, edited by Carolyn de la Peña and Siva Vaidhyanathan (2007).

Marita Sturken, Douglas Thomas, and Sandra J. Ball-Rokeach, eds., *Technological Visions: The Hopes and Fears that Shape New Technology.* Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 2004, 371 pages.

ESSAYS IN JOURNALS

"The New Aesthetics of Patriotism," *Journal of Visual Culture* 8.2 (Fall 2009), 168-72.

"Memory, Consumerism, and Media: Reflections on the Emergence of the Field," *Memory Studies* 1.1 (January 2008), 73-78.

Dana Polan and Marita Sturken. "Roger and Me(dia)," *International Journal of Communication* 1 (2007), 106-12.

"The Aesthetics of Absence: Rebuilding Ground Zero," *American Ethnologist*, 31.3 (August 2004), 311-25.

"Memories of Terror: American Memorializing in Oklahoma City and New York," *Gendai-Shiso* (in Japanese) 2002.

"Desiring the Weather: El Niño, the Media, and California Identity," *Public Culture*, 13.2 (Spring 2001): 161-89.

"The Remembering of Forgetting: Recovered Memory and the Question of Experience," *Social Text*, 57 (Winter 1998): 103-25.

"Reenactment, Fantasy, and the Paranoia of History: Oliver Stone's Docudramas," *History and Theory: Studies in the Philosophy of History* 3.4 (December 1997): 64-79. Reprinted in *Spectator* 20.1 (Fall 1999/Winter 2000), 23-38.

"The Absent Images of Memory: Remembering and Reenacting the Japanese Internment," *Positions: East Asia Cultures Critique* 5.3 (Winter 1997): 687-707. Reprinted in *Perilous Memories: The Asia-Pacific Wars*, edited by Tak Fujitani, Geoffrey White, and Lisa Yoneyama. Durham: Duke University Press, 2001.

"The Wall, the Screen, and the Image: The Vietnam Veterans Memorial," *Representations* 35 (Summer 1991): 118-42. Reprinted (in Japanese) in *Shiso* 866 (August 1996): 30-60; in *The Visual Culture Reader*, edited by Nicholas Mirzoeff. New York: Routledge, 1998, Second Edition, 2002: 357-70; and in *Visual Rhetoric in a Digital World: A Critical Sourcebook*, edited by Carolyn Handa. New York: Bedford/St. Martin's Press, 2004, 401-16.

"Conversations With the Dead: Bearing Witness in the AIDS Memorial Quilt," *Socialist Review* 22.2 (April/June 1992): 65-95.

Marita Sturken and Giovanna Di Chiro, "Countering the Disempowerment of War," *Journal of Urban and Cultural Studies* 2.1 (1991): 107-13.

"Paradox in the Evolution of an Art Form: Great Expectations and the Making of a History," in *Communications (Ecoles des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales - Centre D'Etudes Transdisciplines)* 48 (1988): 125-48 (in French). Reprinted in *Illuminating Video: An Essential Guide to Video Art*, edited by Doug Hall and Sally Jo Fifer. New York: Aperture Books, 1991: 101-21; *El Paseante* no. 12 (1989) (in Spanish); *Mostra de Video NorteAmericano*, Porto, Portugal: Fundacao de Serralves, 1989 (in Portuguese); *Immagine & Pubblico: Video* (April/Sept. 1990 Suppl. 2/3) (in Italian); and *Audience 0.01: International Video*, Milan: Giancarlo Politi Editore, 1994 (in Italian).

CHAPTERS IN BOOKS

“Pilgrimages, Reenactment, and Souvenirs: Modes of Memory Tourism,” in *Rites of Return*, edited by Marianne Hirsch and Nancy K. Miller. Columbia University Press, forthcoming 2011.

With Sarah Banet-Weiser, “The Politics of Commerce: Shepard Fairey and the New Cultural Entrepreneurship,” in *Blowing Up the Brand*, edited by Melissa Aronczyk and Devon Powers. New York: Peter Lang, 2010, 263-83.

“The Image at Ground Zero: Mediating the Memory of Terrorism,” in *Electronic Elsewheres*, edited by Chris Berry, Lynn Spigel and Kim Soyung. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2009, 225-43.

“Tourists of History: Souvenirs, Architecture, and the Kitschification of Memory,” in *Unsettling the Past: Technologies of Memory in the Arts*, edited by Liedeke Plate and Anneke Smelik. London: Palgrave/Macmillan, 2009, 18-35.

“From Kitsch to Irony: The Aesthetics of American Memorial Culture,” *Wanas 2008: For Lust/Loss*, Wanas, Sweden: Wanas Foundation, 2008, 33-45.

“Mobilities of Time and Space: Technologies of the Modern and the Postmodern,” in *Technological Visions: The Hopes and Fears that Shape New Technology*, edited by Marita Sturken, Douglas Thomas, and Sandra J. Ball-Rokeach. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 2004, 71-91.

“Memorializing Absence,” in *Understanding September 11*, edited by Craig Calhoun, Paul Price, and Ashley Timmer. New York: New Press, 2002: 374-84.

“Television Vectors and the Making of a Media Event: The Helicopter, The Freeway Chase, and National Memory,” in *Reality Squared: Televisual Discourse on the Real*, edited by James Friedman. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2002, 185-202.

“Affliction: When Paranoid Male Narratives Fail,” in *The End of Cinema as We Know It: American Film in the Nineties*, edited by Jon Lewis. New York: New York University Press, 2001: 203-09.

“Reimagining the Archive: Art, Technology, and Cultural Memory,” in *Seeing Time*. San Francisco: San Francisco Museum of Modern Art, Fall 1999: 31-49.

“Glancing Backward: Video Art in the 1960s/1970s,” *Circa 1968*. Porto, Portugal: Fundacao de Serralves, 1999: 544-63.

"Electronic Time: The Memory Machines of Jim Campbell," *Space, Site, Intervention: Situating Installation Art*, edited by Erika Suderburg. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1999: 287-96. Originally published in *Afterimage* 25.3 (November/December 1997): 8-10.

"Narratives of Recovery: Repressed Memory and Cultural Memory," *Acts of Memory*, edited by Mieke Bal, Leo Spitzer, and Jonathan Crewe. Hanover, NH: University Press of New England, 1999: 231-48.

"The Image as Memorial: Personal Photographs in Cultural Memory," *The Familial Gaze*, edited by Marianne Hirsch. Hanover, NH: University Press of New England, 1999: 178-95. Reprinted in *Rhetorical Visions: Reading and Writing in a Visual Culture*, edited by Wendy Hesford and Brenda Jo Brueggemann. Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Pearson/Prentice Hall, 2007.

"The Monument: Defining the Past, Speaking to the Future," *The Encyclopedia of Aesthetics*, edited by Michael Kelly. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998: 272-76.

"Negotiating Art: The Artist and the Museum," *Uncommon Sense*, edited by Russell Ferguson. Los Angeles: Museum of Contemporary Art, 1997: 53-78.

"Personal Stories and National Meanings: Memory, Reenactment, and the Image," *The Seductions of Biography*, edited by Mary Rhiel and David Suchoff. New York: Routledge, 1996: 31-41.

"Steina and Woody Vasulka: In Dialogue with the Machine," in *Steina and Woody Vasulka: Machine Media*, edited by Marita Sturken. San Francisco: San Francisco Museum of Modern Art, 1996: 35-48. Reprinted in Italian in *Steina e Woody Vasulka: Video, Media e Nuove Immagini nell'arte Contemporanea*, edited by Marco Maria Gazzano. Rome: Fahrenheit 451, 1995: 25-43.

"The Politics of Video Memory: Electronic Erasures and Inscriptions," *Resolutions: Essays on Contemporary Video Practices*, edited by Michael Renov and Erika Suderburg. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1995: 1-12. Reprinted in *Screening Asian Americans*, edited by Peter Feng. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2002: 173-84.

"The Television Image and Collective Amnesia: Dis(re)membering the Persian Gulf War," *Transmission*, edited by Peter D'Agostino and David Tafler. Thousand Oaks, Ca.: Sage Press, 1995: 135-49.

"Video in the United States: Notes on the Evolution of an Art Form," in *Vidéo*. Montreal: Artexes, 1986: 55-65.

CATALOGUES

Steina and Woody Vasulka: Machine Media. San Francisco: San Francisco Museum of Modern Art, 1996. Editor: 80 pages.

Electronic Arts Intermix: Video. New York: Electronic Arts Intermix and Abbeville Press, 1991. Coeditor and coauthor: 272 pages.

Circulating Film Library Catalog. New York: The Museum of Modern Art, 1984. Coauthor, assistant editor: 304 pages.

Circulating Video Library Catalog. New York: Museum of Modern Art, 1983. Author: 52 pages.

BOOK REVIEWS

The Unfinished Bombing: Oklahoma City in American Memory, by Edward T. Linenthal, *Journal of American History* (December 2002): 1143-44.

Sense of History, by David Glassberg, *American Historical Review* (October 2002): 1187-88.

The Spitting Image: Myth, Memory and the Legacy of Vietnam by Jerry Lembcke, *American Historical Review* (April 2000): 577.

"Imaging Postmemory/Renegotiating History" (review of *Family Frames* by Marianne Hirsch, *Trespassing Through Shadows* by Andrea Liss, and *Caught by History* by Ernst van Alphen), *Afterimage* (May/June 1999): 10-12.

"Imaging and Imagining the Geographic Other," Review of *Reading National Geographic* by Catherine Lutz and Jane Collins. *Anthropology and Humanism* 22.2 (December 1997): 207-8.

Covering the Body, by Barbie Zelizer. *American Journalism* 11.3 (Summer 1994): 298-99.

SHORT ARTICLES, ART REVIEWS, AND INTERVIEWS (Selected List)

"Tent City Geography," *E-misferica*, Summer 2010.

"Memory/Recollection," *Jim Campbell: Material Light*, edited by Steve Dietz. New York: Hatje Cantz, 2010, 20.

Commentary, Michael Mandiberg's *After Sherrie Levine, Aspect: The Chronicle of New Media Art, Volume 15: Influence and References* (DVD commentary).

"Spectacle" and "Spectator Gaze." *Encyclopedia of Communication*. Blackwell, 2008.

"Weather Media and Homeland Security: Selling Preparedness in a Volatile World," Social Science Research Council Web Forum, *Understanding Katrina: Perspectives from the Social Sciences* <http://understandingkatrina.ssrc.org/Sturken/>, 2005.

Simon Leung and Marita Sturken, "Displaced Bodies and Residual Spaces," *Public Culture* 17.1 (Winter 2005): 129-52.

"Masculinity, Courage, and Sacrifice," Roundtable on September 11, *Signs* (Winter 2003): 444-45.

"The Beltway Class System Has Never Accepted Bill Clinton," (op-ed piece) *Los Angeles Times* (December 20, 1998): M5.

"You Are What You Buy: Friendly Fire and the New Public Sphere," *Afterimage* 24.4 (January/February 1997): 8-9.

"The Moving Image in Space: Public Funding and the Installation Form," *Set in Motion*, edited by Lucinda Furlong. New York: New York State Council on the Arts, 1994: 55-59.

"Video Theory in the 1990s: Thoughts on the End of the Medium," *Video Networks* 16.5 (October/November 1992).

"Cultural Icons and Technological Change: An Interview with Chip Lord," *Afterimage* 16.3 (October 1988): 6-9.

"Framing the Self," *SF Camerawork* 15.1 (Spring 1988): 20-22.

"An Interview with David Ross," *Afterimage* 15.7 (February 1988): 14-17.

"Television Fictions: An Interview with Ed Bowes," *Afterimage* 13.10 (May 1986): 4-7.

"Private Money and Personal Influence: Howard Klein and the Rockefeller Foundation's Funding of the Media Arts," *Afterimage* 14.6 (January 1987): 8-15.

"What is Grace in all this Madness: The Videotapes of Dan Reeves," *Afterimage* 13.1&2 (Summer 1985): 24-27.

"Revising Romance: New Feminist Video," *Art Journal of the College Art Association* (Fall 1985): 273-77.

- “Feminist Video: Reiterating the Difference,” *Afterimage* 12.9 (April 1985): 9-11.
- “TV as a Creative Medium: Howard Wise and Video Art,” *Afterimage* 11.10 (May 1984): 5-9.
- “An Interview with George Stoney,” *Afterimage* 11.6 (January 1984): 7-11.
- “Video Art and the TV Revolution,” *The Boston Review* 9.3 (June 1984): 7-10.
- “Artists' Television in New York,” *From TV to Video (L'Immagine Elettronica)* (1984): 49-53.
- “The Whitney Museum and the Shaping of Video Art: An Interview with John Hanhardt,” *Afterimage* 10.10 (May 1983): 4-8.
- “Video as a Performance Medium,” *Sightlines* 1.3 (Spring 1983).
- “Mary Luciers Elemental Investigations: Denmans Col (Geometry),” *Afterimage* 9.7 (February 1982): 10-11. Reprinted in *Mary Lucier: Art and Performance*, edited by Melinda Barlow. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 2000: 122-24.
- “Temporal Interventions: The Videotapes of Bill Viola,” *Afterimage* 10.1&2 (Summer 1982): 28-31.
- “A Profile of Leo Hurwitz: For Every Film There is a Season,” *The Independent* (May 1982): 11-12.
- “Video Guru: The Whitney Museum Retrospective of Nam June Paik,” *American Film* 7.7 (May 1982): 13-16.
- “An Interview with Robyn Brentano and Andrew Horn,” *Millennium Film Journal* 10/11 (Fall-Winter 1981-82): 55-60.
- “Against the Frame: George Griffins Alphabet of Anti-Animation,” *Afterimage* 8.7 (February 1981): 4-7.
- “The Camera as Witness: Documentaries and the Vietnam War,” *Film Library Quarterly* 13.4 (1980): 15-20.

INVITED TALKS

“Modes of Memory Tourism,” Colloquium on From Absence to Representation: the Challenges of Memory and Memorialization, Mémorial de Caen, France, May 2010

“Tourism of Memory,” Department of Cultural Studies, George Mason University, April 2010

“From Checkpoint Charlie to Zapatista Souvenirs: The Tourism of Memory and Politics,” University of Stockholm, March 2010

“The Politics of Commerce: Shepard Fairey and the New Branding,” (With Sarah Banet-Weiser), Blowing Up the Brand Conference, New York University, May 2009

“Memory Tourism,” Humanities Institute, SUNY Stony Brook, March 2009

“The Tourism of Memory,” Hauntings Speaker Series, King Juan Carlos Center, New York University, October 2009

On-stage Interview with John Gianvito, Modern MoMA, Museum of Modern Art, September 2009

“The Security Style of Post-9/11 America: Comfort Culture and the Domestication of Torture,” Columbia Seminar in American Studies, February 2009

“The Memory of War and the Erasure of Iraq,” Institute for the Arts and Humanities, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, November 2008

“Memory Matters: Cultural Memory in the Post-9/11 United States,” Memory Symposium, Wanas Foundation, Sweden, September 2008

“Consuming Security: Defensive Design in the Comfort Culture of Everyday Life,” Keynote lecture, Accumulating Insecurity, Cornell University, September 2008

“Tourists of History: Memory, Kitsch, and Traumatic History,” Keynote lecture, Traumatic History, History Graduate Conference, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, April 2008

“Memory Matters, Kitsch Matters: Cultural Memory in the 9/11 Context,” Keynote lecture, Conference on Memory as Medium, Carleton University, Ottawa, March 2008

“Memory, Consumerism, and Kitsch,” Interdisciplinary Memory Conference, New School for Social Research, February 2008

“Tourists of History: Kitsch and Memory in American Culture,” Keynote Lecture, Graduate Conference on Affect and Representation, Ohio State University, January 2008

“Cultural Memory and the Aesthetics of Absence,” Haverford College, December 2007.

“Tourists of History: Souvenirs, Architecture, and the Kitschification of Memory,”

SUNY Stony Brook, December 2007

“The Aesthetics of Democracy and the Dilemma of Kitsch,” Visual Democracy Conference, Northwestern University, November 2007

Panel on the Innocence Industry, Shocked! Shocked! Conference, New York Humanities Institute, October 2007

“The Kitschification of Memory,” Annenberg Distinguished Speaker series, University of Pennsylvania, October 2006

“Memory, Mourning and Kitsch,” University of California, Berkeley, October 2006

“Tourists of History: Souvenirs, Architecture, and the Kitschification of Memory,” Keynote Lecture, Technologies of Memory in the Arts, Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands, May 2006

“Tourists of History: The Kitschification of Memory in American Culture,” Carl Bode Memorial Lecture, University of Maryland, College Park, April 2006

Plenary Session, Cultural Studies Association, George Mason University, April 2006

“Teddy Bears, Snow Globes, and the Kitschification of America,” University of Texas, Austin, Institute for the Humanities, Distinguished Lecturer Series, April 2006

“Tourists of History: Memory and Kitsch in American Culture,” Keynote Lecture, Ghostly Matters conference, California State University, Fullerton, March 2006

“Teddy Bears, Snow Globes, and the Kitschification of America,” University of Wisconsin, Madison, February 2006

“Tourism and Trauma: The Debate over Ground Zero in New York,” University of Regensburg, Germany, June 2005

“Cultural Memory and the Kitschification of Grief,” Conference on the Merits of Memory: Uses and Abuses of a Concept, Martin Luther University, Halle-Wittenberg, Germany, June 2005

“Kitsch and Repetition: Urban Trauma and the Tourism of History,” Conference on Urban Trauma and the Metropolitan Imagination, Stanford University, May 2005

“The Consuming of September 11: Tourism, Kitsch, and Mourning at Ground Zero in New York,” Clinton Institute for American Studies, University College Dublin, April 2005

Panel Participant, "Memorials and Monuments," Forest Lawn Museum, Glendale, California, October 2004

"Memory, Media and Trauma: Contesting the Space of Ground Zero," Doshisha University, Kyoto, and Center for Pacific and American Studies, University of Tokyo, Japan, June 2004

"The Aesthetics of National Memory: The American Preoccupation with Memorials," Kwansai Gakuin University, Hyogo, and Meiji University, Tokyo, Japan, June 2004

"The Selling of Preparedness: American Society in the New Age of Terror," Japanese Association of American Studies Conference, Japan Women's University, Tokyo, Japan, June 2004

Panel Respondent, Conference on Memory and History in Contemporary China, Fairbank Center, Harvard University, May 2004

"The Eternal Eternal Frame," Pacific Film Archive, Berkeley, March 2004

"Kitsch, Memory, and the Tourism of History: Mourning in America," Center for Race and Ethnicity, University of California, San Diego, January 2004

"Memory, Media and Trauma: Contesting the Space of Ground Zero," Electronic Elsewheres Conference, Northwestern University, May 2003

"Cultural Reenactment and the Trauma of Memory: Oklahoma City and Sept. 11," Conference on Trauma, Therapy and Representation, University of Aberdeen, Scotland, April 2003

"Memories of Terror: Contesting the Space of Ground Zero," Special Session Paper, American Anthropological Association, November 2002

"Image Icons and the Memory of Terror: American Memorializing in Oklahoma City and New York," Sweet Briar Collage, October 2002

Session on Visual Culture, Teachers Institute of the Art Institute of Chicago, July 2002.

"Survivor Envy and the Experience of Remembering: Memory and Postmodernism," Robert Penn Warren Center, Vanderbilt University, April 2002

"Memories of Terror: American Memorializing in Oklahoma City and New York," International Symposium: Between War and Media, Maison Franco-Japonaise, Tokyo, March 2002

"The Accidental and the Infamous: When Ordinary People Become Celebrities, The Case of Timothy McVeigh," Celebrity and Politics Seminar, Norman Lear Center, USC,

November 2001

“Citizens and Survivors: Lessons from Oklahoma City,” Occidental College, Los Angeles, October 2001

“Paranoia, the Survivor, and the Citizen: American Mourning in Oklahoma City,” Plenary Address, American Mourning Conference, Keele University, Staffordshire, England, May 2001

“Survivor Envy and the Paranoia of History: Reflections on Postmodern Memory at the End of the Millennium,” Keynote Talk, Memory, Autobiography and DNA: American Studies Graduate Student conference, University of Kansas, April 2000.

“Memory, Forgetting and the Paranoia of History: Reflections on Postmodern Memory,” Humanities Institute, University of Memphis, January 2000.

“Memory, Forgetting, and the Paranoia of History,” Memory/Postmemory/Gender Conference, Dartmouth College, June 1999

Panel participant, Visualizing History: Contemporary Memorials, Richmond Art Center, March 1999

“Cultural Memory and Social Forgetting: Memory Practices and Absent Artifacts,” Social Remembering Conference, Islamorada, Florida, April 1998

“Desiring the Weather: El Nino, the Media, and California Identity,” Pinkel Memorial Lecture on Media, Pomona College, April 1998

“Memory, Monuments, and Ruins,” Book Talk panel on *Tangled Memories*, San Francisco Museum of Modern Art, March 1998

“Art and the Public Sphere: Public Art in the Media Culture of the 1990s,” Museum of Contemporary Art, Los Angeles, November 1996

“Steina and Woody Vasulka: Machine Media,” Santa Fe Museum of Art, Santa Fe, October 1996

“The Absent Images of Memory: Remembering and Reenacting the Japanese Internment,” The Politics of Remembering the Asia-Pacific War, East-West Center, University of Hawaii, September 1995

“Personal Stories, National Meanings: The Image, the Docudrama, and the Making of History,” Life Likenesses: The Seduction of Biography, Harvard University, October 1993

“Remembering AIDS, Remembering Bodies: Memory and Recognition in the AIDS

Epidemic," AIDS Appropriations: Cultural Studies Perspectives, Rice University, October 1993

"The Politics of Cultural Memory: The Vietnam War and the AIDS Epidemic in American National Consciousness," Memory Symposium, University of Essex, June 1993

"The Camera Arts and Memory," San Francisco Museum of Modern Art, 1992

"The Wall, the Screen, and the Image: The Vietnam Veterans Memorial," San Francisco Camerawork, 1989; Southern Exposure Gallery, San Francisco, 1990; Memory & Catastrophe Conference, University of California, Santa Cruz, 1990

Memory, Erasure, and the Making of Video History," New Langton Arts, San Francisco, 1988; Media Alliance Conference, 1989; San Jose State University, 1990

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

Panel moderator, Rites of Return: Poetics and Politics conference, Columbia University and CUNY Graduate Center, April 2008

"Loving the Brand: Global Branding and National Identity," American Studies Association Annual Meeting, October 2006

"Kitsch and Repetition: Images and the Tourism of History," International Communication Association Conference, May 2005

"Defending the Home-Land: The Aesthetic of Security," Society of Cinema and Media Conference, University College London, March 2005

"Kitsch and the Tourism of History," American Studies Association Annual Meeting, November 2004

Panel chair and presenter, "Citizenship, Paranoia, and Consumerism: Considering Oklahoma City," International Communication Association Conference, 2001

"Desiring the Weather: El Niño, and California Identity," American Studies Association Annual Meeting, 1998

Co-organizer, Technological Visions: Utopian and Dystopian Perspectives Conference, Annenberg Center for Communication, USC, 1998

"Television and the Paranoia of History: The Conspiracy of Citizenship," Society for Cinema Studies Conference, 1998

Panel chair and presenter, "Memories of Conspiracy: American History as Paranoia," American Studies Association Annual Meeting, 1997

Double Diasporas, Panel Respondent, International Communication Association Conference, 1997

"Reenactment and the Making of History: Oliver Stone and the Docudrama," Speech Communication Association Conference, 1996

Panel Chair, Thinking Gender Conference, USC/UCLA, 1996 and 1997

"Cultures of Memory and Forgetting: The Constitution of American National Culture," Speech Communication Association Conference, 1995

"The Remembering of Forgetting: Repressed Memory Syndrome as National Culture," American Studies Association Annual Meeting, 1995

"Image Vectors: The Helicopter, the Freeway Chase, and the Nation," Society for Cinema Studies Conference, 1995

"The Camera Image and the Making of History," Organization of American Historians Meeting, 1993

"Gender Politics and National Memory: The Vietnam Veterans Memorial and the AIDS Quilt," Feminist FRA conference, University of California, Santa Cruz, 1992

"Vietnam and the Gulf War: Remembering and Remaking War," American Anthropological Association Annual Meeting, 1991

"The AIDS Quilt: A Moving Memorial," California American Studies Association Conference, San Jose State University, 1991

RESEARCH AWARDS/GRANTS

Transdisciplinary Humanities Book Award for *Tourists of History*, Institute for Humanities Research, Arizona State University, 2008

Humanities Council grant, Visual Culture Working Group, with Nicholas Mirzoeff, 2007-2009

Zumberge Fellowship, Visual Culture Project, with Vanessa Schwartz and Anne Friedberg, 2004-05

Gamma Sigma Alpha Professor of the Year, 2003

Visiting Scholar, Japanese Association of American Studies (US-Japan Friendship Commission), June 2004

Entertainment grant, Annenberg School, 2000

Innovative Teaching Award, Center for Excellence in Teaching, USC, 1999

Annenberg Center for Communication Fellow, 1999-2001

Southern California Studies Center, James Irvine Foundation Grant, 1998-2000

Southern California Studies Center Junior Faculty Award, 1998

Co-Investigator, Metamorphosis Project, Annenberg School for Communication, with Sandra Ball-Rokeach and Douglas Thomas, 1997-99

Zumberge Faculty Research and Innovation Fund, USC, 1996-97

Co-Investigator, L.A. Link, Annenberg Center for Communication, 1995-96

Resident Fellowship, Humanities Research Institute, Dartmouth College, 1996

American Association of University Women Dissertation Fellowship, 1991-92

Video Writing Grant, New York State Council on the Arts, 1982, 1983, 1985

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

Visiting Professor, McCann-Erickson, Advertising Education Foundation, 2008

Expert Witness, false advertising, Klein-Becker vs Allergan, 2006

Expert Witness, false advertising, Medavoy vs Klein, Allergan et al, 2004

Executive Committee, American Studies Association, 2003-06

Editorial Boards of *Television and New Media*, *Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies*, *Memory Studies*, *Cultural Studies Review*, *American Quarterly*, NYU Press Book Series in Cultural/Critical Communication

Editorial Board, *Cultural Studies*, 2001-2009

Program review, Pomona College Media Studies Program, 2005

Reader for University of California Press, University of Minnesota Press, Duke University Press, MIT Press, Sage Publications, Rowman & Littlefield Publishers,

Blackwell Publishers, Peter Lang, National Endowment for the Humanities, *Cultural Studies, Positions, Comparative Studies in Society and History, Communication Theory, Cinema Journal, Western Journal of Communication*.

Reviewer for National Endowment for the Humanities, National Science Foundation, Nominator for Rockefeller Foundation

Member of the American Studies Association, Society for Cinema and Media Studies, International Communication Association, National Communication Association.

ADDITIONAL PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Co-curator, "Machine Media: Steina and Woody Vasulka," Exhibition, San Francisco Museum of Modern Art, February-March 1996; Santa Fe Museum of Art, October-November 1996

Electronic Arts Intermix, New York, 1985-87
Editor and coauthor of videotape distribution catalogue and coordinator of collection expansion.

Curator, "Cultural Impressions," National Video Festival, American Film Institute, 1984

Museum of Modern Art, New York, 1981-83
Coauthor and assistant editor on film collection catalogue, author of videotape collection catalogue, and research assistant for exhibitions.

ADDITIONAL TEACHING EXPERIENCE

UCSC, Teaching Fellow, 1990

San Francisco Art Institute, Adjunct Professor, 1990-91

Philadelphia College of Art, Adjunct Professor, 1987

Ramapo College, Adjunct Professor, 1984

UNIVERSITY SERVICE

USC

1994-96 Undergraduate Curriculum Committee

1994-96 Job Search Committee

1994-96 Study of Women and Men in Society Grants/Awards Committee

1995-96 Masters Admission Committee

1995-96 Cultural Studies Task Force

1995-96 Cultural Studies Curriculum Committee

1996 Annenberg Center Grant Committee
 1996-97 Minors Curriculum Committee
 1996-97 Ph.D Admissions Committee
 1997-99 Center for Feminist Research Awards Committee
 1997-99 Faculty Council
 1997-98 Ph.D Admissions Committee
 1997-99 Center for Feminist Research Steering Committee
 1997-02 Faculty Advisor, Cultural Studies Minor
 1998-99 Masters Comprehensive Exam Committee
 1999-00 Committee on Academic Policy
 1999 Undergraduate Program Review Committee
 1999-02 Ph.D Structure Committee
 1999-01 Annenberg Center Fellow
 2000-02 Chair, Entertainment Track Committee
 2000 Academic Senate Selection Committee
 2000-01 Entertainment Search Committee
 2001-02 Chair, Entertainment Search Committee
 2001-02 BA Committee
 2001-03 Academic Senate Committee on Gender Equity
 2002- Chair, Diversity Committee
 2002-03 Ph.D Curriculum Committee
 2002-03 Director Search Committee, Entertainment Studies
 2003-05 University Curriculum Committee on Diversity Requirements
 2003-04 Global Communication Committee
 2003-04 Journalism Studies Search Committee
 2003-04 Organizer, Visiting Scholar Program
 2004-05 Chair, Search on Race/Ethnicity and Communication
 2004-05 Ph.D Admissions Committee

NYU

2005-07 Chair, Study Committee
 2005-06 Chair, Events Committee
 2005-06 MA Committee
 2005-06 Steinhardt School Naming Committee
 2005- Hemispheric Institute Steering Committee
 2005- Provost's Council on Media and Culture
 2006-08 Steinhardt School Committee on Tenure and Promotion
 2006-09 Dean's Advisory Committee
 2006- Humanities Council Executive Committee
 2006-07 Chair, Latino Media Search Committee
 2006-09 Ph.D Committee
 2007-08 Chair, Senior Search Committee

COURSES TAUGHT**NYU—Graduate**

Special Topics in Visual and Cultural Studies: Visual Consumption and Theories of Consumerism
 Methods in Interpreting Popular Culture
 Media, Memory and History
 Introduction to Communication Research—Doctoral Methods

NYU—Undergraduate

Advertising and Society
 Visual Culture of Science and Technology

USC—Graduate:

Global Culture
 Cultural Studies and Communication
 The Culture of New Technology
 Interpreting Popular Culture
 Feminist Theory and Communication
 Qualitative Methods (Core curriculum)
 Feminist Theory (Gender Studies)
 Cultural Studies and Cultural Theory (Cinema-Television)

USC—Undergraduate:

Visual Culture and Communication
 Advertising and Society
 Interpreting Popular Culture
 Cultures of New Media
 Communication, Culture and Technology
 Communication as a Liberal Art (Core curriculum)
 Gender, Media and Communication
 The Communication Revolution and the Arts

University of California, San Diego

Advertising and Society
 Gender and Film
 Introduction to Media Use in Communication
 Images of Women
 AIDS: Media Representation and Cultural Politics
 Images of Technology in Popular Culture
 Television Theory
 Documentary Video (video production class)
 Feminist Video (video production class)

Figure 1. HOPE poster by Shepard Fairey



Figure 2 Thomas Franklin photograph of firefighters at Ground Zero, 2001



Figure 3 President Obama



Figure 4 Paolo Uccello, Portrait of a Young Man, 1450



Figure 5 Rogier van der Weyden, Francesco d'Este c. 1455



Figure 6 Hugo van der Goes, Portrait of a Man, c. 15th Century



Figure 7 Jan van Eyck, Portrait of a Man (Self Portrait?) 1433



Figure 8 Leonardo da Vinci, Portrait of Ginevra Benci, c. 1475



Figure 9 Raphael, Portrait of Baldassare Castiglione, 1514



Figure 10 Gilbert Stuart, *George Washington, The Athenaeum*, 1796



Figure 11 One Dollar Bill



Figure 12 Matthew Brady, Abraham Lincoln, 1860



Figure 13 20 Dollar Bill



Figure 14 100 Dollar Bill

