2
_
2

8

9 10

11

v.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED

AUG 2 8 2009

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A.,

NO. C 07-03952 JW

Plaintiff,

VERDICT

Akanoc Solutions, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

WE THE JURY IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CASE UNANIMOUSLY FIND AS FOLLOWS:

CLAIM ONE

CONTRIBUTORY TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

QUESTION NO. 1

As to the following Defendants, did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that the following Defendant or Defendants sold services to some other persons or companies or acted on behalf of a company that sold services to some other persons or companies?

ANSWER:

A.	Akanoc	Solutions,	Inc.	[Circle	one:
		,		_	_

Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:] В.

Steven Chen [Circle one:] C.



No

No

No

[If your answer to Question No. 1 is "Yes," as to any Defendant, proceed to Question
No. 2. If your answer to Question No. 1 is "No," as to any Defendant ignore that
Defendant in Questions 2 - 7. If your answer is "No" as to all Defendants proceed to
Question No. 8.]

QUESTION NO. 2

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that, using services provided by Defendants, one or more of Defendants' customers or a successor-in-interest to Defendants' customers directly infringed a trademark of Plaintiff, by proving that the customer knowingly and intentionally used a mark in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of goods in the United States or in a way that would substantially affect commerce in the United States; and that the mark was counterfeit; and that the use was likely to confuse or deceive?

ANSWER:



No

[If your answer to Question No. 2 is "Yes," proceed to Question No. 3. If your answer to Question No. 2 is "No," proceed to Question No. 8.]

[The Charts below identifies each Trademark.]

Trademark	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TRADEMARK PICTURE	CLASS OF GOODS
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) in a Circle Design	286,345	X	18
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Design	297,594		18.
LOUIS VUITTON	1,045,932	LOUIS VUITTON	18
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design	1,519,828	Z	18
LOUIS VUITTON MALLETIER A PARIS in Rectangle Design	1,615,681	LOUIS VUITTON MALIETIER A PARIS	16, 18

TRADEMARK	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TRADEMARK PICTURE	CLASS OF GOODS
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design	2,291,907	W	34
		X	
LOUIS VUITTON	2,303,212	LOUIS VUITTON	34
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design	2,361,695		25
LOUIS VUITTON PARIS and Damier (pattern design)	2,378,388		18

ates District Court	orthern District of California
ited States	For the Northern I
	щ

TRADEMARK	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TRADEMARK PICTURE	CLASS OF GOODS
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) on Epi Leather Design	1,655,564		18
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Pattern Design	1,770,131		25
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design	1,794,905		16, 25
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Design	1,875,198		16
Louis Vuitton Interlocked Letters)	1,938,808		14, 24
OUIS UITTON Vorld Mark	1,990,760	LOUIS VUITTON	16, 18, 24, 25

[Using the last three digits of the Registration Number of the trademarks listed in the chart, answer the following question.]

QUESTION NO. 3

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that the following trademarks were directly infringed by one or more of Defendants' customers?

	· 🦱	Customici	Detellation
No	(Yes)		' 345
No	Yes		' 594
No	Yes		' 932
No	Yes		'828
No	Yes		' 681
No	Yes		' 907
No	Yes		'212
No	Yes		' 695
No	Yes		' 388
No	Yes		' 564
No	Yes		'131
No	Yes		'905
No	Yes		'198
No	Yes		'808
No	Yes		' 760

[If you answered "Yes" to any of the marks proceed to Question 4. If you answered "No" to all of the marks proceed to Question No. 8.]

QUESTION NO. 4

2

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that the following Defendant or Defendants knew or should have known that: one or more of Defendants' customers or successors-in-interest to those customers were using services sold by Defendants to infringe or to facilitate others to directly infringe the trademark or trademarks of Plaintiff in the United States; and that Defendants had reasonable means to withdraw its services so that their services could not be used to directly infringe but Defendants continued to provide its services to the customers?

ANSWER:

A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:]	
----	--------------------------------------	--

No

В. Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:] No

C. Steven Chen [Circle one:]



No

[If you answered "Yes" to Questions 4, proceed to the next question. If your answered "No" to Question No. 4 proceed to Question No. 8.]

QUESTION NO. 5

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that Plaintiff was damaged by the contributory infringement of Defendants of the identified trademark or trademarks?

ANSWER:

No

[If you answered "Yes" to Question 5, proceed to Question 6. If you answered "No" to Question No. 5 proceed to Question No. 8.]

QUESTION NO.6

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove that in contributing to direct trademark infringement the following Defendant or Defendants acted willfully?

ANSWER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A. Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:] No
- Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:] В. No
- C. Steven Chen [Circle one:] No

[Proceed to the next Ouestion.]

DAMAGES CONTRIBUTORY TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

QUESTION NO.7

What amount, if any, do you award to Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. for statutory damages for contributory trademark infringement?

[If your answer to Question No.6 is "No, to that Defendant or Defendants you may award not less than \$1,000 or more than \$200,000 per counterfeit mark per class of goods or services sold, offered for sale, or distributed, in the United States. If your answer to Question No. 6 is "Yes," as to that Defendant or Defendants you may award not more than \$1,000,000 per counterfeit mark per type of goods or services sold, offered for sale, or distributed in the United States.

C.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ANSWER: 1 2 A. Akanoc Solutions, Inc. В. Managed Solutions Group, Inc. 3 C. Steven Chen 4 **Total Amount:** 5 6 [Proceed to the next question.] 8 9 CLAIM TWO 10 CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT QUESTION NO. 8 11 As to the following Defendants, did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. 12 prove by a preponderance of evidence that one or more of the Defendants' customers 13 or a successor-in-interest to Defendants' customers used the services provided by 14 Defendants to directly infringe a copyright of Plaintiff in the United States? 15 16 ANSWER: 17 Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:] 18 A. No В. Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:] 19 No

[If you answered "Yes" Question 8 proceed to the next Question. If you answered "No" have your presiding juror date and sign this verdict form and send out a note that you have reached a verdict.]

No

Steven Chen [Circle one:]

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[The Charts below identifies each copyright.]

	Registration No.	Date Published	Date Registered
Multicolor Monogram	VA 1-250-121	12/18/02	6/24/04
Black Print – Exhibit 450			İ
	VA 1-250-120	12/18/02	6/24/04
	200 120	12, 10, 02	5/ 2 1/ 0 -1
White Print – Exhibit 449			

[Using the last three digits of the Registration Number of the listed in the chart copyrights, answer the following question.]

QUESTION NO. 9

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence the following copyrights were directly infringed by one or more of Defendants' customers that you identified in your answer to Question No. 8?

'121	Yes	No
'120	Yes	No

[Proceed to the next question.]

QUESTION NO. 10

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that the following Defendant or Defendants knew or should have known that one or more of Defendants' customers or successors in interest of those customers were using services sold by Defendants to infringe or to facilitate others to directly infringe the copyright or copyrights of Plaintiff in the United States and that Defendants had reasonable means to withdraw its services so that they could not be used to directly infringe but continued to provide its services?

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	ANSWER:
2	A. Akanoc Solutions, Inc.[Circle one:] Yes No
3	B. Managed Solutions Group, Inc.[Circle one:] (Yes) No
4	C. Steven Chen [Circle one:] Yes No
5	
6	[If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, proceed to Question 11. If you
7	answered "No" as to all Defendants, have your presiding juror date and sign this
8	verdict form and send out a note that you have reached a verdict.]
9	
10	QUESTION NO. 11
11	Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of
12	evidence that Plaintiff was damaged by the contributory infringement of the
13	Defendants of a copyright or copyrights?
14	ANSWER:
15	(Yes) No
16	
17	[If you answered "Yes," proceed to Question 12. If you answered "No," have your
18	presiding juror date and sign this verdict form and send out a note that you have
19	reached a verdict.]
20	
21	QUESTION NO. 12
22	Did Defendants prove by a preponderance of evidence that they are service
23	provides who acted in a manner that entitles Defendants to the "safe harbor"
24	provisions of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act?
25	
26	
27	

28

1	ANSWER				
2	A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
3	В.	Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
4	C.	Steven Chen [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
5					
6	[If you answered "No" as to any Defendant, proceed to Question 13. If you				
7	answered "Yes," as to all Defendants, have your presiding juror date and sign this				
8	verdict form and send out a note that you have reached a verdict.]				
9					
10	QUESTION NO. 13				
11	Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove that in contributing to direct				
12	copyright infringement Defendants acted willfully?				
13	ANSWER:				
14	A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
15	В.	Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
16	C.	Steven Chen [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
17					
18	[Proceed to	the next Question.]			
19					
20	DAMAGES CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT				
21	QUESTION	NO. 14			
22	What amount, if any, do you award to Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A.				
23	for statutory damages for contributory copyright infringement?				
24	[If your answer to Question No. 13 is "No, you may award not less than \$750				
25	nor more than \$30,000 per copyright infringed, the direct infringement of				
26	which	you found Defendants contributed in the United	States. If y	our answer	

to Question No. 13 is "Yes," you may award as much as \$150,000 for each

ANSWER	₹:	
A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc.	\$ 300,000
B.	Managed Solutions Group, Inc.	\$ 300,000
C.	Steven Chen	\$ 300,000
Tot	al Amount:	\$ 900,000

8-28-09 DATED: Signature of Presiding Juror

Robin Samuels

Print Name of Presiding Juror

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

Annie S Wang annie@coombspc.com Brian S. Edwards bse@gauntlettlaw.com David A. Gauntlett info@gauntlettlaw.com J. Andrew Coombs andy@coombspc.com James A. Lowe info@gauntlettlaw.com

Dated: Ingenst 24, 2009

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

/s/ JW Chambers Elizabeth Garcia **Courtroom Deputy**