UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	-X	Part Veres DALE
	:	
VICTOR L. SUAREZ,		
	:	
Plaintiff,		09 Civ. 8417 (WHP)
	:	
-against-		<u>ORDER</u>
	:	
THE NEW YORK CITY DEPT. OF		
HUMAN RESOURCES	:	
ADMINISTRATION., et al.,		
	:	
Defendants.		

WILLIAM H. PAULEY III, District Judge:

Plaintiff Victor L. Suarez, now acting in a <u>pro se</u> capacity, brings this action alleging employment discrimination in violation of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e, <u>et seq.</u>, New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec. Law. §§ 290, <u>et seq.</u>, and New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y. City Admin. Code §§ 8-101, <u>et seq.</u> On January 20, 2010, Plaintiff submitted an application requesting counsel.

In determining whether to grant a request for counsel, this Court must consider

the merits of plaintiff's case, the plaintiff's ability to pay for private counsel, his efforts to obtain a lawyer, the availability of counsel, and the plaintiff's ability to gather the facts and deal with the issues if unassisted by counsel.

Cooper v. A. Sargenti, Inc., 877 F2d 170, 172 (2d Cir. 1989). As a threshold matter, a plaintiff must demonstrate that her claim has substance or a likelihood of success. See Hodge v. Police Officers, 802 F.2d 58, 60 (2d Cir. 1986). In addition, in reviewing a request for counsel, the Court must be cognizant of the fact that volunteer attorney time is a precious commodity, and thus should not grant appointment of counsel indiscriminately. Cooper, 877 F.2d at 172.

A more fully developed record will be necessary before this Court can determine

if Plaintiff's chances of success warrant the appointment of counsel. Therefore, Plaintiff's

application is denied without prejudice to its renewal at such time as the existence of potentially

meritorious claims may be demonstrated.

Additionally, Plaintiff's motion to "reverse and deny" the extension of time for

Defendant to respond granted on January 8, 2010 (Docket No. 5), is denied.

This Court reminds Plaintiff that the pro se office is a valuable resource in

assisting litigants, such as plaintiff, who proceed in federal court without the assistance of

counsel. Plaintiff is strongly encouraged to contact the <u>pro</u> se office for information:

Pro Se Clerk's Office

Southern District of New York

500 Pearl Street

New York, New York 10007

(212) 805-0175

Dated: January 25, 2010

New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

U.S.D.J.

-2-

Copy mailed to:

Victor L. Suarez P.O. Box 938 New York, NY 10025-0938 Plaintiff <u>Pro Se</u>

Abra Mason Assistant Corporation Counsel New York City Law Department 100 Church Street New York, NY 10007-2601 Counsel for Defendants