EXHIBIT 1

B-1 1 - VOLUME B -2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE 4 5 IN RE: : Chapter 11 Case 6 MARVEL ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC., THE : ASHER CANDY COMPANY, FLEER CORP., : FRANK L. FLEER CORP., HEROES WORLD : Case No. 97-638-RRM 7 DISTRIBUTION INC., MALIBU COMICS ; 8 ENTERTAINMENT INC., MARVEL CHARACTERS: INC., MARVEL DIRECT MARKETING INC., : 9 and SKYBOX INTERNATIONAL INC. 10 Debtors. 11 12 Wilmington, Delaware Tuesday, November 16, 1999 At 10:05 a.m. 13 14 ---- ----BEFORE: 15 HONORABLE RODERICK R. MCKELVIE, U.S.D.C.J. 16 **APPEARANCES:** 17 18 PEPPER HAMILTON LLP 19 BY: DAVID B. STRATTON, ESO. -and-20 BATTLE FOWLER LLP 21 BY: DAVID FLEISCHER, ESQ. and JODI KLEINICK, ESQ. 22 (New York, New York) 23 Counsel for Marvel Enterprises, Inc. 24 25 Brian P. Gaffigan Official Court Reporter $\Delta \pi$ EXHIBIT Deponent van 10 (2-6-14 Date

MARVEL0016713

CONFIDENTIAL

Evanier - direct

1	community of what he relies on to show that general industry
2	practice, if that is the direction you are headed.
3	BY MR. DILIBERTO:
4	Q. Okay. Mr. Evanier, in the late 1960s through December
5	31, 1977, are you aware of any custom or practice in the
б	comic book industry that gave comic book companies ownership
7	of materials they published?
8	A. On only a company-by-company basis, what specific
9	companies may have issued.
10	MR. FLEISCHER: Your Honor, I'll object to this
11	because it's beyond the scope of the report. Mr. Evanier,
12	in his report, gave opinions on that subject matter but was
13	unspecific as to time. And in his deposition, he indicated
14	that his report was not time specific. And, therefore, any
15	testimony that he gives with respect to these time specific
16	questions would be beyond the scope of his report.
17	THE COURT: Overruled.
18	MR. DILIBERTO: Thank you, your Honor.
19	BY MR. DILIBERTO:
20	Q. You were saying?
21	A. Where was I? I'm lost.
22	Q. Okay. Yes. The question was, are you aware of any
23	comic book industry custom or practice between the late 1960s
24	to December 31 of 1977 that would have given comic book
25	companies ownership of any characters and stories that they

-101 A

Evanier - direct

1 published?

2 A. Not an industry-wide practice, no.

3 Q. Did the issue of ownership of characters and stories
4 vary by different companies?

5 A. Yes, it did.

6 Q. In what way?

7 A. Different companies had different forms, different
8 documents. Some had none whatsoever. Different policies.
9 Q. So when you see, for example, Joe Simon's complaint,
10 is that something you rely upon in forming your opinion as to
11 whether creators had given a price to characters?

I had that opinion before I saw the Joe Simon 12 Α. 13 complaint but, yes, in this particular case, this, the Joe Simon situation here as evidenced in this document presents 14 15 a complete new situation -- let me take that back. There were other examples of it, but there were quite a few --16 17 there were quite a few cases where business was done very different. There were no lawsuits of this kind of other 18 19 characters. It was specific to the Captain America. 20 0. So is it your opinion then that creators believed that 21 they own rights to characters unless they transferred rights

22 of those characters?

23 A. I believe so, yes.

Q. Can you give other examples of creators who were
attempting to enforce rights on their characters, say, in the

B-215