## EXHIBIT A

	Page 1
1	JOHN MORROW 1
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	x
5	MARVEL WORLDWIDE, INC.,
	MARVEL CHARACTERS, INC.,
6	and MLV RIGHTS, LLC,
7	Plaintiffs,
8	v. Case No. 10-141-CMKF
9	LISA R. KIRBY, BARBARA J.
	KIRBY, NEAL L. KIRBY and
10	SUSAN N. KIRBY,
11	Defendants.
12	x
13	
14	Video Deposition of JOHN MORROW
15	(Taken by Plaintiffs)
16	Raleigh, North Carolina
17	January 10, 2011
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by: Marisa Munoz-Vourakis -
	RMR, CRR and Notary Public
24	
25	TSG JOB NO. 35702

		Page 2
1	JOHN MORROW	2
2	APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:	
3	For the Plaintiffs:	
4	DAVID FLEISCHER, ESQ.	
5	Haynes and Boone, LLP	
6	1221 Avenue of the Americas	
7	New York, NY 10020	
8		
9		
10		
11	Also Present: ELI BARD,	
	VP - Deputy General Counsel, Marvel	
12		
13		
14	For the Defendants:	
15	MARC TOBEROFF, ESQ.	
16	Toberoff & Associates	
17	2049 Century Park East	
18	Los Angeles, CA 90067	
19		
20		
21		
22	Also Present: DeANDRAE M. SHIVERS, Videographer	
23		
24		
25		
		<u> </u>

_	Page 3
1	JOHN MORROW 3
2	
3	Video Deposition of JOHN MORROW, taken by
4	the Plaintiffs, at Smith Anderson, 2500 Wachovia
5	Capital Center, 150 Fayetteville Street, Raleigh, North
6	Carolina, on the 10th day of January, 2011 at 8:43
7	a.m., before Marisa Munoz-Vourakis, Registered Merit
8	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter
9	and Notary Public.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- Marvel paid for them, Jack wouldn't have had the
- opportunity to throw them in the garbage. Marvel would
- 4 have done something with them.

- $^{5}$  Q. Am I correct that you don't have any
- firsthand knowledge about whether or not Jack was paid
- <sup>7</sup> for the pages you're referring to in this sentence?
- 8 A. Well, by firsthand knowledge, was I there,
- <sup>9</sup> for instance? No, of course not. I was much too young
- $^{10}$  to be there. You know, I'm not privy to Marvel's
- books, so, no, I can't say definitively that it was on
- the books that he was paid.
- I know when we did -- Marvel wanted to do a
- book called Fantastic Four Lost, which was -- I
- assembled an unused Fantastic Four story from various
- 16 collector's collections. They had scattered pieces of
- this story that Marvel -- Jack had drawn in, I guess,
- 18 1969 but Marvel never published.
- Marvel read my article in the Kirby
- Collector and said oh, we should get that together and
- finish it and publish it.
- So when they contacted me about doing all
- of that, I told them that, you know, unless there's
- some reason to believe that they paid for that
- originally that, you know, no, they're not going to get

- 2 the article for free. They are going to have to pay
- the Kirbys for that and finally pay for the page use,
- which they did, and that's what this was. They finally
- paid the \$325 per page to use that unpublished story.
- Ο. Are you aware of testimony given by Stan
- Lee in this case to the effect that whether pages were
- rejected or not, if he had asked Jack to draw a story,
- he would pay for it? Do you have any reason to
- 10 contradict a statement to that effect by Stan Lee?
- 11 MR. TOBEROFF: Asked and answered.
- 12 Α. Yeah, I do, I mean, there's a lot of
- 13 historical references to artists saying when their work
- 14 got rejected, they didn't pay for it. I think I
- 15 included one in here from John Romita talking about
- 16 when Stan canceled a story on him, he didn't get paid
- 17 for those.
- 18 But there's a lot of other instances
- 19 throughout all the stuff I've read and published over
- 20 the years, where people say things got rejected, we
- 21 didn't get paid for it, or, you know, Stan was always
- 22 asking me to make changes on things, and I didn't get
- 23 paid for it.
- 24 So, yeah, I've got a major reason to
- 25 dispute that.

- Q. What I'm getting to is this, if you had
- written a scientific paper, where you set forth, take a
- wild example, the proof of Fermat's last theorem.
- $^{5}$  A. Whatever that is.
- Q. Which is A to the end, plus B to the end
- <sup>7</sup> equals C to the end, has no whole number solution
- greater than two, and you published that paper, the
- 9 scientific mathematical community would be in a
- position to test that by looking at your calculations.
- And I'm trying to get to is there any way the reader of
- 12 your report could apply some methodology to testing the
- reliability of your conclusions?
- A. A methodology to test reliability? By, I
- assume, by reading the rest of the testimony in this
- case, other people's depositions, that could, you know,
- support or reject some of the things I say in my
- opinions. But, again, I'm not -- I don't really know
- how to answer that question.
- Q. Let me direct your attention to page three
- of your report, not the final report, that's the one --
- Exhibit 9, and specifically to the sentence appears to
- be the third sentence in the second full paragraph,
- which I'll read for the record, "Prior to my 1996
- article, the unused Fantastic Four story was unknown to

138

- the public at large and to the then current Marvel
- Comics editorial department.
- What is the factual basis -- well, first of
- $^{5}$  all, let me ask you, is it intended by you to be a
- 6 statement of fact that the then current Marvel
- <sup>7</sup> editorial board was unaware of the unused story?
- A. Yes.

- 9 O. And that's a statement of fact?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 O. And how -- what is the basis for that
- 12 statement of fact?
- 13 A. The main basis for that is Tom Brevoort,
- who is an editor, or still is an editor up at Marvel,
- when he contacted me about reassembling that story, the
- sense I got from our discussion was that prior to my
- doing an article in 1996, they didn't even know about
- 18 that story.
- As far as the public at large, same thing,
- all of these letters of comment that we got to our
- publication, after we published that article or
- actually after I published that article, we said wow,
- we had no idea there was an unused Fantastic Four story
- out there. The fact that Marvel billed this
- <sup>25</sup> publication as this lost Fantastic Four story further

- leads me to conclude that no one knew about this thing.
- So, yes, I intend that as a statement of
- 4 fact.

- <sup>5</sup> Q. Now, apart from Tom Brevoort, do you know
- who the other members of Marvel Comics were part of the
- 7 editorial department at the time?
- A. I'm sure I was familiar with a few of them,
- 9 but Tom was one of the key people there and certainly
- if they knew what was going on, Tom would have known.
- 11 Q. That's a presumption on your part?
- A. You could say that, sure.
- O. You don't know whether or not --
- 14 A. I did not speak to every member of Marvel's
- editorial department and get a sense from them whether
- they knew about this story. But Tom is the editor up
- there who has the most thorough knowledge of, you know,
- Marvel's history and comics history and what they
- published in the past, and I don't think it's any
- stretch to think that if Tom wasn't aware of this, that
- 21 anyone else up there, who is much younger and less
- knowledgeable about it, would have.
- MR. TOBEROFF: How do you spell his
- name?
- THE WITNESS: Brevoort,

184

mentioned it earlier.

- Q. From that, don't you conclude, at least as
- far as Mr. Goodman was concerned, Simon and Kirby were
- 5 not at that time free to submit work to others as
- full-time workers of Marvel?
- A. Well, you could. You could also consider
- 8 that as not that they weren't necessarily free, but he
- <sup>9</sup> just took that as a major sign of disloyalty, that they
- were doing it behind his back, and that he wasn't happy
- with their unhappiness over the financial arrangements
- of Captain America, so to make things easier for him,
- he just got rid of them.
- Q. Are you familiar with the Challengers of
- the Unknown story?
- <sup>16</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Who created Challengers of the Unknown?
- 18 A. To my knowledge, Jack Kirby created
- 19 Challengers of the Unknown.
- O. Is he the sole creator of it?
- A. There's some dispute on that. Joe Simon
- might have been involved in some respect, but I've yet
- to see evidence to prove that.
- We know that Kirby went to DC and did
- <sup>25</sup> Challengers of the Unknown. Simon wasn't involved at

185

<sup>2</sup> that point.

- So you could ask Joe Simon on that, but to
- 4 my knowledge, Kirby was the creator of that.
- Q. When was the first issue of Challengers of
- the Unknown published?
- A. Oh, I am going to have to ask your
- forgiveness on that. I don't remember the exact date.
- 9 It was sometime in the mid-'50s, but I don't remember
- the specific cover date. It was actually in Showcase
- 11 number -- it wasn't in Challengers of the Unknown, it
- was in Showcase Comics number -- what number was that?
- 13 I don't recall, but DC had a tryout comic, where they'd
- try out new ideas first, and it was called Showcase,
- and it started in there, had about three or four
- appearances there before it got its own comic.
- Q. Do you know if Challengers of the Unknown
- was created pursuant to an assignment by some editor at
- <sup>19</sup> DC?
- A. No, I don't believe that was. I believe
- that was something -- my understanding is that that
- strip was probably created while Simon and Kirby were
- doing their Mainline publishing company. And then when
- things went bad with that, that was a left over
- creation that they had done on their own at Mainline

- $^2$  and brought over to DC.
- Q. Do you know what arrangements with regard
- $^4$  to the copyright ownership of the work done on that
- 5 strip at DC were made between Mr. Kirby and/or
- 6 Mr. Simon and DC?

- A. No, I'm not, sorry.
- <sup>8</sup> Q. Returning to the development of the Marvel
- 9 method, do you know what circumstances gave rise to the
- development of the Marvel method by Mr. Lee?
- 11 A. Well, Mr. Lee has gone on the record saying
- that it was a very expedient way to do things so that
- he could keep more artists working at the same time to
- 14 produce more work.
- So whereas prior to that, he had sat at his
- typewriter all day, supposedly on yellow legal paper,
- and typed out script after script after script, and
- artists, whenever they walked in, would take whatever
- script was on top of the stack and go home and draw it.
- For expediency sake, so he can keep his entire staff of
- $^{21}$  artists -- I'm not going to say staff -- all of his
- freelancers working, he developed that method, where
- you had a big story conference, you go on your way and
- start working, you're a good story teller, so you can
- plot out the pages and all that, as you see fit, and

- A. I believe I saw the one for Captain
- America. It's been a number of years though. I don't
- 4 remember -- I don't remember any terminology -- the
- terminology work-for-hire in anything prior to -- I
- $^{6}$  know the first time I even heard that term was, as I
- mentioned earlier, Neal Adams was mentioning getting
- the original art back and stuff, that was in the late
- <sup>9</sup> '70s, is the first time I even heard the term
- work-for-hire, so.

- 11 Q. So it's your testimony that when you
- reviewed the copyright registration applications for
- 13 Captain America one through ten, there's no reference
- to work-for-hire?
- A. I don't recall any in there, no.
- Q. And if it was Marvel's practice on all of
- its copyright applications to identify the work as a
- work made-for-hire, would that change the opinion that
- 19 you rendered here, to the effect that Marvel itself
- understood that the freelance work was not work
- 21 made-for-hire?
- MR. TOBEROFF: Lacks foundation,
- assumes evidence, assumes facts.
- A. Well, I think that's -- no, that's
- irrelevant, because the creators involved, I don't

- no, I don't believe I relied on this for the report.
- Q. I place before you Exhibit 19, which bears
- 4 production numbers JM282 through 285.
- 5 (The document referred to was marked
- 6 Plaintiff's Morrow Exhibit Number 19 for
- identification.)
- Q. Is this a document that you prepared?
- 9 A. Yes.

- 10 Q. And it's headed list of research materials
- in possession of John Morrow.
- What was the purpose for your preparation
- of this document?
- A. You had sent a request for documentation
- from the expert report, people who were doing expert
- reports, which Mr. Toberoff's office forwarded to me,
- and if I'm remembering correct, I believe part of that
- request was you guys were asking for this.
- 19 O. Where are the documents that are identified
- in Exhibit 19?
- 21 A. The items listed are all in my home about
- 22 20 miles from here.
- MR. FLEISCHER: Let me make a request
- for the record for copies of the Birnbaum
- interview identified under item five. These

JOHN MORROV
JOHN MORRON

are not questions. I just want to identify
things for the record that we would like to
have.

MR. TOBEROFF: Could I make a suggestion that we had asked a long time ago if there's anything on this list you want, please let us know, and you didn't. The best thing to do is just send me a letter.

MR. FLEISCHER: This is more for me,

Marc. I made note of them in preparation.

So the other ones on this document that I'd like to see are the documents under -- identified in number seven on Exhibit 19, number 10 and number 11.

We'll take a break now. The tape
needs to be --

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is

4:05 p.m. This is the end of tape number 6.

We're off the record.

21 (Recess.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is

4:13 p.m. This is the beginning of tape

number seven. We're back on the record.

25

1

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

- A. No, I have no reason to think they would be
- inaccurate.

- 4 Q. By any chance were you at the San Diego
- 5 Comic-Con in 1975?
- A. No, I wish I were, but no, I was 13 then.
- 7 That would have been a lot of fun.
- 9 Q. I've placed before you a document
- 9 consisting of two pages. It appears to be an article
- entitled Leave Them Wanting More, by John Morrow.
- 11 (The document referred to was marked
- 12 Plaintiff's Morrow Exhibit Number 23 for
- identification.)
- Q. Can you identify this document?
- A. I believe I wrote this for a collection of
- Marvel's Nick Fury Agent of S.H.I.E.L.D. series.
- 17 Q. The bottom of the first page there's a
- sentence that begins: Legend has it, and runs over to
- the next page that Jim was running late with the final
- installment of the Tale and as an assurance against
- missing the deadline, Stan called up Kirby and asked
- him to pencil an issue that'd fill -- that's that,
- 23 apostrophe D -- 20 pages without actually advancing the
- $^{24}$  plot.
- Well, first of all, let me ask you if you

257

- have any information with regard to whether these
- fill-in pages were pages for which Kirby was paid?
- A. Oh, I would assume, yes, they were actually
- 5 pages that were published.
- Q. And they were intended as an assurance
- <sup>7</sup> against Jim Steranko not turning in his pages on time?
- 8 A. Not assurance exactly, they thought
- 9 Mr. Steranko wasn't going to turn in his pages in time
- and had to get the issue out on time, so they turned to
- 11 Jack Kirby, knowing he could turn a story around in a
- weekend. And said Jack, we need an issue of Captain
- 13 America and we need it by Monday, and you can't tell a
- story with it. You just have to keep the story where
- it is, because then the next issue we are going to
- publish Steranko's conclusion to the story.
- Jack drew the story, turned it in, it was
- published, and then the following issue they ran part
- three of Steranko's story.
- Q. Was that the last one or the penultimate
- <sup>21</sup> one?

- A. Which one?
- Q. You said that Kirby's fill in was to -- was
- restricted to the story line that was in the preceding
- $^{25}$  issue. And so that the final issue -- I thought I

- $^2$  understood you to say so that the final issue would
- also be consistent. Was the pages that Steranko was
- doing was the issue -- same issue that Kirby did, same
- <sup>5</sup> story, right?
- A. Well, Steranko had a three-part story in
- $^7$  the works. He got in the first issue on time. He got
- in the second issue on time and they were published.
- 9 But it was obvious to the people of Marvel that he was
- qoing to miss his deadline for part three of that
- story. They still had to keep the printing presses
- running and get an issue out on time. So they had to
- come up with something to fill that until Steranko got
- his story finished so that it could be in -- the third
- part could be in the fourth issue.
- So they just had an empty hole there, and
- they had to fill it with something.
- So they called in Jack Kirby, asked him to
- create a story over the weekend, to print it in, that
- was issue 112, I believe, of Captain America.
- Otherwise they wouldn't have had an issue to ship that
- month.
- Q. And then they ultimately did publish the
- third installment that Steranko did?
- A. They did, but a month late, because they

- went ahead and published Kirby's fill-in story.
- Q. And the idea was that Kirby's story
- 4 couldn't advance the plot at all, because that might
- 5 affect what was already in the works with Steranko?
- <sup>6</sup> A. Right.
- Q. I've placed before you what I have marked
- 8 as Exhibit 24, and it appears to be a two-page document
- 9 and it's entitled: Introduction by John Morrow.
- 10 (The document referred to was marked
- Plaintiff's Morrow Exhibit Number 24 for
- identification.)
- 13 Q. Is this a document that you wrote?
- A. It is. With all these introductions,
- 15 Marvel editorial staff had the ability to change
- things. I don't recall any major changes on any of
- 17 them.
- MR. TOBEROFF: I just want to note for
- the record it's a bit hard to read. It's
- very dark, at least for me.
- MR. FLEISCHER: I agree that it's
- dark, but I also would submit that it's
- entirely legible.
- Q. There's a statement in the third paragraph:
- As usual, for most new issues in the 1960s, Kirby was

262

- interchangeably, or at least our company does.
- Q. Is it interchangeably anywhere else, other
- 4 than your company?
- <sup>5</sup> A. A lot of scholarly comics publications do.
- Q. Is there such a thing as a scholarly comic
- 7 publication?

- 8 A. Well, ours are scholarly publications. I
- 9 consider them that.
- 10 Q. I've placed before you Exhibit 25, which
- bears production numbers PHP3278 through -- oh, no,
- right, it's an internal number. They are all labeled
- <sup>13</sup> PH3278.
- In any event, it's a document entitled Joe
- 15 Sinnott Interview, Interviewed by John Morrow on
- <sup>16</sup> December 18, 1995.
- 17 (The document referred to was marked
- Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 25 for
- identification.)
- Q. Is this document an interview that was
- published that you did of Joe Sinnott?
- 22 A. Yeah, I believe it was published in Jack
- 23 Kirby Collection number nine, if I remember correctly.
- Q. And is the transcription of the interview
- set forth here an accurate transcription?

- A. Yes, I was doing all my own transcribing in
- $^3$  those days. I would do some editing as I transcribed,
- 4 so this is an edited version.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. I've placed before you Exhibit 26, which is
- a document entitled: Journey into Mysteries Avenger.
- 7 Can you tell me what this is?
- 8 A. It's actually Avenger Reassembled, it's a
- <sup>9</sup> piece -- hang on a second.
- 10 (The document referred to was marked
- Plaintiff's Morrow Exhibit Number 26 for
- identification.)
- Q. Oh, I see, the title goes over into the
- 14 next page?

- A. Across. This is a, much like we did with
- that Fantastic Four story that was not published, there
- were a lot of these unused store pages that are all
- 18 from the same sequence. And we tried to put those back
- together into the, what we perceived to have been
- Mr. Kirby's original version of the story and tried to
- from that deduce, you know, what happened, why the
- pages got rejected and what the original story would
- have been.
- Q. And the article begins, I'm sorry, and
- you're the author of this?