	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	X
3	ADRIAN SCHOOLCRAFT,
4	Plaintiff,
5	
	Case No:
6 7	- against - 10 CV 06005
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ET AL.,
8	
9	Defendants.
L O	x
11	111 Broadway
	New York, New York
L 2	
	April 11, 2014
L 3	10:21 a.m.
L 4	
L 5 L 6	DEDOCTOR OF WILLIAM COUCH TO THE
17	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM GOUGH, pursuant to Notice, taken at the above place, date and
. 8	time, before DENISE ZIVKU, a Notary Public
. 9	within and for the State of New York.
20	washan and 101 one beade of New 101%.
21	
22	
3	
4	
5	

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

What did he tell you to do?

Α.

Q.

Yes.

23

24

25

1	CONFIDENTIAL - WILLIAM GOUGH
2	Objection. You could answer.
3	A. He specifically told me to go to
4	the residence where Officer Schoolcraft was
5	staying and attempted to serve him with a
6	notice to be restored to duty.
7	Q. Was that the first time you were
8	told to go to the residence of Schoolcraft?
9	A. I believe so can I clarify
10	that?
11	Q. Yeah, of course you can.
12	A. We were told on October 31, 2009
13	to go to his actual residence. This would
14	be the residence of his father.
15	Q. I will rephrase that question
16	because that's a good point. I understand
17	that you responded to Officer Schoolcraft's
18	home in Queens, right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. So putting that aside, was
21	January 20, 2010 the first time that you
22	were told by your supervisors to go to try
23	and deliver papers to Officer Schoolcraft in

To best of my recollection, yes.

upstate?

Α.

24

25

1	CONFIDENTIAL - WILLIAM GOUGH
2	Q. And your commanding officer told
3	you to serve some legal papers, is that what
4	he was telling you to do?
5	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
6	Objection. You could answer.
7	A. Could you be more could you
8	clarify legal papers?
9	Q. Did you have papers with you
10	that you were trying to deliver?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What were those papers?
13	A. To the best of my recollection,
14	it was the order for restoration.
15	Q. What is an order of restoration.
16	A. It's simply an order that states
17	that the police department would like him to
18	return on a certain time and date to be
19	restored to duty.
20	Q. Had you ever done that
21	previously?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Have you ever been licensed to
24	serve of process?
25	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

1	WILLIAM GOUGH
2	Q. Did it appear to you at any time
3	that the response was excessive?
4	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
5	Objection. You can answer.
6	A. No.
7	Q. It was an appropriate response
8	under the circumstances?
9	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
10	Objection. You could answer.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. As of the time that you went
13	into Schoolcraft's residence on October 31,
14	2009 for that first occasion, did you have
15	any knowledge that Schoolcraft had been
16	taping other members of the service?
17	A. No.
18	Q. When did you first learn of
19	that?
20	A. I don't recall.
21	Q. When you reported to the
22	Schoolcraft residence on October 31, 2009,
23	did you know that his guns had been removed?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. How did you know that?

1	WILLIAM GOUGH
2	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
3	Objection, asked and answered multiple
4	times, but you can answer again.
5	A. The first phone call I received
6	from the first time I was advised of the
7	situation that he walked out of work, they
8	advised me that his gun has been removed.
9	He was on restricted duty status.
10	Q. That's the individual you don't
11	remember who it was, right?
12	A. Right.
13	Q. Do you remember that person
14	telling you that he had his guns removed for
15	psychological reasons?
16	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
17	Objection, asked and answered multiple
18	times. You may answer again.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Are there other reasons, other
21	than psychological reasons, for why a member
22	of service could have their guns removed?
23	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
24	Objection, asked and answered, as well.
25	You can answer again.