

Toby M.J. Butterfield, Esq.
 Jeremy S. Goldman, Esq.
 FRANKFURT KURNIT KLEIN & SELZ, P.C.
 488 Madison Avenue
 New York, New York 10022
 Tel.: (212) 980-0120
 Fax: (212) 593-9175
tbutterfield@fkks.com
jgoldman@fkks.com
Attorneys for Defendant FilmOn.com, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X		
CBS BROADCASTING, INC., et al.,	:	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	Civ. No. 10 Civ. 7532 (NRB)
	:	
- against -	:	
	:	
FILMON.COM, INC.,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
-----X		

DEFENDANT’S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS’ FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant FilmOn.com, Inc. (“Defendant”), by and through its attorneys, Frankfurt Kurnit Klein & Selz, P.C., answers the First Amended Complaint (the “Complaint”) of Plaintiffs CBS Broadcasting, Inc., NBC Studios, LLC, Universal Network Television, LLC, NBC Subsidiary (KNBC-TV) LLC, Open 4 Business Productions LLC, NBC Subsidiary (KNBC-TV), Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation, Fox Television Stations, Inc., American Broadcasting Companies, Inc., ABC Holding Company, Inc., and Disney Enterprises, Inc., (collectively “Plaintiffs”) as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. To the extent that Plaintiffs’ allegations relate to FilmOn.com’s conduct prior to the Court’s issuance of a temporary restraining order, FilmOn.com admits the allegations

contained in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint that since September 27, 2010, FilmOn.com has streamed over the Internet, to subscribers throughout the United States, programs in which Plaintiffs claim copyright ownership, without Plaintiffs' consent. FilmOn.com denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 that its actions are similar to those of defendants in *WPIX, Inc. v. IVI, Inc.*, Case No. 10-7415, and that the purpose of this action is to restrain Defendant from exploiting and violating Plaintiffs' intellectual property rights. Defendant denies all remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.

2. To the extent that Plaintiffs' allegations relate to FilmOn.com's conduct prior to the Court's issuance of a temporary restraining order, FilmOn.com admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint that it offers and sells online access to the signals of Plaintiffs through which programs in which Plaintiffs claim copyright ownership are broadcast, without Plaintiffs' authorization. Defendant denies all remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.

3. To the extent that Plaintiffs' allegations relate to FilmOn.com's conduct prior to the Court's issuance of a temporary restraining order, FilmOn.com admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint that it markets real-time access to Plaintiffs' programming throughout the United States, but denies all remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

4. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint.

5. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.

7. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

8. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.

9. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.

THE PARTIES

10. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint.

11. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

12. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint.

13. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.

14. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint.

15. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

16. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint.

17. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

18. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint.

19. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint.

20. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint.

21. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint.

22. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint.

NATURE OF DEFENDANT'S ALLEGED UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

23. FilmOn.com admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint.

24. FilmOn.com admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of the Complaint.

25. To the extent that Plaintiffs' allegations relate to FilmOn.com's conduct prior to the Court's issuance of a temporary restraining order, FilmOn.com admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of the Complaint.

26. To the extent that Plaintiffs' allegations relate to FilmOn.com's conduct prior to the Court's issuance of a temporary restraining order, FilmOn.com admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 of the Complaint.

27. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint.

28. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint.

COUNT I

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

29. Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response contained in paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Answer as if fully set forth herein.

30. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 30 of the Complaint.

31. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of the Complaint.

32. FilmOn.com admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint that it has streamed, without authorization, programs in which Plaintiffs have claimed copyright ownership, but denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 32.

33. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint.

34. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint.

35. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 35 of the Complaint.

36. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint.

37. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 37 of the Complaint.

38. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint.

39. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim against Defendant upon which relief can be granted.

Second Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs lack copyright ownership rights in a number of the works in which they claim Defendant to be infringing.

Third Affirmative Defense

Defendant is exempt from liability for copyright infringement because it is a "cable system" as defined in Section 111(f) of the Copyright Act and in the Copyright Office's regulations, 37 C.F.R. § 201.17(b)(2).

Fourth Affirmative Defense

Defendant is exempt from liability for copyright infringement, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §111(a)(3), because it is a carrier.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

Defendant's streaming of Plaintiffs' programming for limited periods of time constitutes fair use under 17 U.S.C. § 107.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs are equitably estopped from asserting their copyright infringement claim.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs have waived their right to assert their copyright infringement claim.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs have acquiesced in Defendant's conduct.

Ninth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs are barred from asserting their copyright infringement claim due to their unclean hands.

JURY DEMAND

Defendant demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

[THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

WHEREFORE, Defendant demands a judgment dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint, along with an award of costs, disbursements and reasonable attorney fees, in addition to such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
September 27, 2011

FRANKFURT KURNIT KLEIN & SELZ, P.C.

By: 

Toby M.J. Butterfield
Jeremy S. Goldman

488 Madison Avenue, 10th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Tel.: (212) 980-0120
Fax: (212) 593-9175
tbutterfield@fkks.com
jgoldman@fkks.com

Attorneys for Defendant FilmOn.com, Inc.

TO: Anthony D Boccanfuso
Arnold & Porter, LLP
399 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
anthony.boccanfuso@aporter.com

Robert Alan Garrett
Arnold & Porter, L.L.P.
555 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
robert.garrett@aporter.com

Hadrian Ronald Katz
Arnold & Porter, LLP
555 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
hadrian.katz@aporter.com

C. Scott Morrow
Arnold & Porter, LLP
555 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
scott.morrow@aporter.com

Peter L. Zimroth
Arnold & Porter, LLP
399 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
peter.zimroth@aporter.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs