Doc. 122

Windsor v. The United States Of America

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064 TFI EPHONE (212) 573-3000

LLOYD K GARRISON (1946-1991) RANDOLPH E PAUL (1946-1986) SIMON H RIFKIND (1950-1993) LOUIS S WEISS JOHN F WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER & DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 373-3086 WRITER & DIRECT FACBIMILE

(212) 373-2037 WRITER S DIRECT E MAIL ADDRESS

rkaplan@paulweiss.com

UNIT 3601 FORTUNE PLAZA OFFICE TOWER A CHAO YANG DISTRICT PEOPLE & REPUBLIC OF CHINA TELEPHONE (86 10) 5828 8300

12TH FLOOR HONG KONG CLUB BUILDING 3A CHATER ROAD, CENTRAL TELEPHONE (852) 2846 0300

10 NOBLE STREET LONDON EGZY 73U, U K TELEPHONE (44 20: 7367 1000

Fukoku seimei Building 3-2 uchisajwaicho 2-chome Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-001 (Japan Telephone (8) 3) Ji97-8101

2001 K STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-1047 TELEPHONE (2021 325-7300

500 DELAWARE AVENUE SUITE 200 POST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON, DE 18999-0032 TELEPNONE (302) 655-4410

April 19, 2011



MICCOLM

BY HAND

The Honorable Barbara S. Jones United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 620 New York, NY 10007

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED:

Windsor v. United States, 10 Civ. 8435 (BSJ)(JCF)

Dear Judge Jones:

On behalf of plaintiff Edith Windsor, we write in response to Jean Lin's letter of yesterday's date concerning the motion filed yesterday by the United States House of Representatives Bipartisan Legal Advisory Group ("BLAG") to intervene in the above-captioned action.

While the Department of Justice certainly has a right to submit a response to BLAG's motion to intervene, we are concerned about their request that the Court not decide the intervention motion until the Department of Justice's response has been filed, particularly in light of the fact that the Department of Justice has stated that it does not intend to oppose the intervention motion.

As Your Honor knows, we filed this case back in November of last year. our client is 81 years old and not in good health, and we still do not have a schedule to get the constitutional issues in this case ready for resolution by the Court. Intervention by

Dockets.Justia.com

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
The Honorable Barbara S. Jones

2

BLAG is thus appropriate here only if it does not result in further delay or prejudice to Ms. Windsor. See e.g., Citizens Against Casino Gambling in Eric Cnty v. Hogen, No. 10 Civ. 2132, 2011 WL 1134316, at *1 (2d Cir. Mar. 28, 2011). Thus, while we do not intend to oppose BLAG's motion to intervene, we do so based on our understanding that BLAG's participation in this case will not further delay resolution of this matter.

In light of the above, we respectfully request that the Court rule on the motion to intervene no later than by May 9, when Judge Francis has ordered there to be a status/scheduling conference among the parties. In the meantime, we intend to promptly reach out to counsel for BLAG to meet and confer with them concerning an appropriate schedule going forward.

Respectfully submitted,

Roberta A. Kaplan

cc (by hand):

Hon. James C. Francis, United States Magistrate Judge

(by email):

James Esseks, Esq.

Jean Lin, Esq.

Paul D. Clement, Esq. Jeffrey S. Bucholtz, Esq. Kerry W. Kircher, Esq.