## Exhibit A

Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK \_\_\_\_\_ EDITH SCHLAIN WINDSOR, in her capacity as Executor of the Estate of CLARA SPYER, Plaintiff, -against-10-CV-8435 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. \_\_\_\_\_ (Caption continued on next page.) DEPOSITION OF GEORGE A. CHAUNCEY, Ph.D. Tuesday, July 12, 2011





Г

		Page	2
1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT		
4	JOANNE PEDERSEN & ANN MEITZEN,		
5	GERALD V. PASSARO II, LYNDA DEFORGE & RAQUEL ARDIN, JANET		
6	GELLER & JOANNE MARQUIS, SUZANNE		
7	& GERALDINE ARTIS, BRADLEY		
8	KLEINERMAN & JAMES GEHRE		
9	DAMON SAYVOY & JOHN WEISS,		
10			
11	Plaintiffs,		
12	Civil Action No.		
13	-against- 310 CV 1750 (VLB)		
14			
15	OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT,		
16	TIMOTHY F. GEITHNER, in his official		
17	capacity as the Secretary of the		
18	Treasury, and HILDA L. SOLIS, in her		
19	official capacity as the Secretary of		
20	Labor, et al.,		
21			
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			





	Page 3
1	
2	
3	
4	DEPOSITION OF GEORGE A. CHAUNCEY, Ph.D., a
5	Witness herein, taken by Intervenors, pursuant to
6	Notice, at the offices of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind,
7	Wharton & Garrison LLP, 1285 Avenue of the
8	Americas, New York, New York 10019 on Tuesday,
9	July 12, 2011, at 10:00 a.m., before DEBRA
10	STEVENS, a Registered Professional Reporter and
11	notary public, within and for the State of New
12	York.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

> One Penn Plaza Suite 4715 New York, NY 10119

	Page 12
1	G. Chauncey
2	A. No, I am not an attorney.
3	Q. What did you do to prepare for today's
4	deposition?
5	A. I reviewed the affidavit I submitted,
6	the deposition and testimony in Perry. I
7	reviewed some of the materials related to the
8	case and I re-read part of my book on marriage.
9	Oh, I met with counsel yesterday to prepare for
10	the deposition.
11	Q. I would like to ask you some questions
12	about terminology in the affidavit. You use the
13	term "homosexual." How do you define a
14	homosexual?
15	A. I have generally defined "homosexual"
16	as someone who has an identity based on their
17	sexual attraction to people of the same sex. So,
18	someone for whom that is a core part of their
19	identity.
20	Q. And you also used the term "gay"
21	throughout the affidavit. How do you define
22	"gay"?
23	A. I know that some people distinguish
24	"gay" and "homosexual," but I use them
25	synonymous.





	Page 13
1	G. Chauncey
2	Q. And you use the term "lesbian"
3	throughout the affidavit. How do you define
4	"lesbian"?
5	A. In similar terms, as a woman who
б	identifies herself on the basis of her sexual
7	attraction to women.
8	Q. Do you know what percentage of the
9	American population is gay, lesbian or bisexual?
10	A. I don't know. I think that the
11	estimates that I have seen that seem most
12	authoritative would put it somewhere between 3
13	and 5 percent or 3 and 4 percent of the
14	population.
15	Q. I don't think it was in the affidavit
16	but I saw somewhere you used the term
17	"homosociality." What does that mean?
18	MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form. You
19	can answer.
20	A. Well, let's see. "Homosociality" has
21	been used in different ways. But as academics
22	use the term, it typically would refer to a
23	social group that is same sex or to patterns of
24	association that are same sex.
25	Q. How does that term differ from





r

	Page 14
1	G. Chauncey
2	"homosexuality"?
3	A. There is not necessarily a homoerotic
4	content to homosociality. So that American
5	society historically was once more sex-segregated
6	than it is today in everyday life and in politics
7	and business and so forth. So, there were often
8	groups of men together and women together. That
9	didn't mean that they were erotically attracted
10	to each other. It was the social organization of
11	gender at that time.
12	Q. And have you consistently used the
13	same definition of homosexuality throughout the
14	affidavit?
15	MS. KAPLAN: Just so I understand,
16	throughout Defendant's 2?
17	MR. DUGAN: Defendant Exhibit 2. Yes.
18	Sorry.
19	A. I believe I have. I would have to
20	look it depends probably in some cases, and
21	I actually don't remember the instances here, but
22	I would distinguish between "homosexual" as a
23	noun, referring again to someone who is
24	distinguished from others on the basis of their
25	primary sexual attraction to people of the same





	Page 15
1	G. Chauncey
2	sex, and "homosexual" as an adjective, which
3	could just describe erotic sexual relations
4	between people of the same sex who do not
5	identify themselves as homosexual.
б	Q. And have you consistently used the
7	same definition of homosexuality throughout your
8	career?
9	MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form. You
10	can answer.
11	A. Well, I have written so many pages on
12	this subject I wouldn't say that every single
13	time I used the term I have used it exactly this
14	way. But broadly, this has been the way I have
15	used these terms.
16	Q. If we can turn to Defendant's
17	Exhibit 2, paragraph 2 on the first page? You
18	list some of the cases you have either testified
19	in or been a deposed expert in. Can you just
20	tell me what kind of case Donaldson v Montana
21	was?
22	A. It was a case in the State of Montana
23	in which there is a constitutional amendment
24	banning marriage but this is a case seeking
25	relationship legal recognition of same sex





July 12, 2011

	Page 34
1	G. Chauncey
2	prohibitions against sodomy and unnatural acts,
3	penalized a wide range of non-procreative
4	behavior, including many forms of what now would
5	be called homosexual conduct."
6	Is it the case that these
7	legislators they were not legislating against
8	homosexual acts per se; correct?
9	A. Well, there is again, the word
10	"homosexual" wasn't available to them and so they
11	were operating out of the conceptual framework
12	that I just began to describe.
13	The laws varied. Broadly, the
14	southern colonies adopted the secular legislation
15	of England, and so they typically criminalized
16	buggery, which included male anal penetration of
17	a woman, a man or a beast, whereas in the puritan
18	colonies in New England, although they certainly
19	penalized a wide range of nonmarital sexual
20	behavior, they were likely for instance, in
21	Massachusetts to simply quote Leviticus,
22	prohibition against a man lying with another man,
23	and make that a capital offense.
24	Q. You mentioned the British tradition of
25	a secular prohibition against buggery. How did





Г

٦

	Page 48
1	G. Chauncey
2	What are some of the reasons
3	historians have given to explain this?
4	A. Well, one reason that historians have
5	given for the apparent inconsistency between the
6	vehemence of the denunciation of sodomy from the
7	pulpit and the relatively small number of
8	prosecutions is that and we're talking about
9	very small communities and towns in colonial New
10	England in which people's lives were deeply
11	intermeshed.
12	There is some thought that the
13	severity of the punishment this is a capital
14	crime dissuaded people from pressing charges
15	even if they had some concerns about people;
16	again, people they were closely related to. And
17	some have wondered if the demonization of sodomy
18	was so enormous that it was just hard to connect
19	it to the everyday people they knew in their
20	communities.
21	But again I would stress that this is
22	still an enigma that historians are trying to
23	understand.
24	Q. Turning to paragraph 21 on the same
25	page, page 9 of Exhibit 2, you write there,





L

	Page 49
1	G. Chauncey
2	starting in the second sentence, "Current
3	historical research suggests that the concept of
4	the homosexual as a distinct category of person
5	developed as recently as the late 19th century.
6	The word 'homosexual' appeared for the first time
7	in a German pamphlet in 1868 and was introduced
8	to the American lexicon only in 1892."
9	Can you explain how this historical
10	process of the idea of the homosexual as a
11	distinct category arose?
12	MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form. You
13	can answer.
14	A. We're going to be all day if you want
15	me to explain this.
16	Q. Can you give the CliffsNotes version?
17	A. Okay. We'll switch from the graduate
18	seminar to
19	MS. KAPLAN: Go to the freshman
20	lecture.
21	A. Well, again I will say, as I did
22	before, historians think about and write about
23	this question a lot and, so, have pointed to
24	earlier periods in which people seemed to have
25	had persistent interest in people of the same sex





50

	Page
1	G. Chauncey
2	without being categorized as homosexuals, those
3	categories being unavailable.
4	Their emergence has been attributed,
5	for instance, to the growth of the medical
б	profession and scientific research, which helped
7	produce and circulate terms of this sort. There
8	is a general impetus towards classification of
9	people.
10	People have talked about the growth of
11	large cities, in which it was easier for people
12	to separate themselves from the family or
13	household economy and to create lives as lesbians
14	or gay men who lived outside of constraints that
15	they had experienced in small towns.
16	Those would be two of the major
17	factors people have pointed to.
18	Q. What was the nature of the reference
19	to homosexuality or the term "homosexual" in that
20	1868 pamphlet?
21	A. I believe this was a pamphlet that
22	was I could be wrong about this since I am not
23	a German historian, which is where this appeared.
24	But I believe this was a pamphlet written in the
25	context of discussions of the sodomy law in





г

.

	Page 51
1	G. Chauncey
2	Germany or in Prussia.
3	So again it gives us an indication of
4	the degree to which sodomy was you know,
5	encompassed more than homosexual conduct. It was
6	understood as being primarily by this time an
7	anti-homosexual measure.
8	Q. Then how did the word "homosexual"
9	enter the American lexicon in 1892?
10	A. I believe that it first appeared in an
11	American medical journal in 1892.
12	Q. Did it take time for "homosexual" to
13	be recognized as a specific social category in
14	the United States?
15	A. Well, again, we're talking here about
16	a precise medical or scientific term. Then as
17	now, there were a wide range of vernacular terms
18	used in the streets: Fairies, pansies
19	"lesbian" actually had been used for a time since
20	it drew on classical references to the poetry of
21	Saphho, who lived on the isle of Lesbos.
22	"Homosexual" spread but there were
23	other terms that had similar or related meanings
24	that were probably used more commonly.
25	Q. In that same paragraph you are talking





	Page 84
1	G. Chauncey
2	people of Asian descent, women, lesbians and gay
3	men. One would just need to sort of think about
4	the historical specificity while still
5	recognizing that these groups have all
6	experienced a general pattern of discrimination.
7	Q. At the time of the adoption of the
8	14th amendment was it illegal for two men to have
9	anal intercourse with each other in every state
10	in the union?
11	A. I believe it was illegal in every
12	state to have anal intercourse, yes.
13	Q. In the 19th century, was marriage
14	implicitly understood in America to be the union
15	of one man and one woman?
16	MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form. You
17	can answer.
18	A. Well, expectations about what marriage
19	meant and who was available to who had access
20	to marriage have changed over time, so that I
21	think in the 19th century one could say that it
22	was generally assumed that marriage would involve
23	only a man and a woman. It was also generally
24	assumed that a black person and a white person
25	could not marry.



