PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

Windsorgy ATER United States At America NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064

TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1946-1991) RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1956) SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995) LOUIS S. WEISS (1927-1950) JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 373-3086

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(212) 373-2037

wRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

May 31, 2012

UNIT 3601, FORTUNE PLAZA OFFICE TOWER A NO. 7 DONG SANHUAN ZHONGLU CHAO YANG DISTRICT BELJING 100020 PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA TELEPHONE (86-10) 5828-6300

12TH FLOOR, HONG KONG CLUB BUILDING 3A CHATER ROAD, CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

> ALDER CASTLE 10 NOBLE STREET LONDON EC2V 7JU, U.K. TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE 77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100 PO. BOX 226 TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1J3 TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

> 2001 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047 TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

SOO DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200 POST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032 TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410 MATTHEW W. ABBOTT ALLAN J. ARFFA ROBERT A. ATKINS DAVID J. BALL BALLER CHANGA DAVARD DAVIEL J. BELLER CHANGA DAVARD DAVIEL J. BELLER CHANGA DONVINO MARK S. BERGMAN DAVID DENTON DAVID DENTON DAVID DENTON DAVID W. BROWN DAVID W. BROWN DAVID W. BROWN SIGLASSICA S. CAREY DAVID W. BROWN JAMES L. BROCHIN RICHARD J. BRONSTEIN DAVID W. BROWN JAMES L. BROCHIN JAMES C. CAREY LESSICA S. CAREY LEWIS R. CLAYTON JAY COHEN OUGLAS R. DAVIS CHARLES E. DAVIDOW DUGLAS R. DAVIS CHARLES E. DAVIDOW DUGLAS R. DAVIS THOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III ARIEL J. DECKELBAUM JAMES M. DUBIN ALICE BELISLE RACON AREEN J. DECKELBAUM ALICE BELISLE RACON AREEN J. DECKELBAUM ANDREW C. FINCH BRADJ. FINKELSTEIN BRADJ. FINKELSTEIN BRADJ. FINKELSTEIN BRADJ. FINKELSTEIN BRADJ. FINKELSTEIN BRADJ. FINKELSTEIN BRADJ. FOLEY HARRIS F. AGAT. MICHAEL E. GERTZMAN PAUL D. GINSBERG ADAM M. GIVERTZ OCHDISON BRIDGE BRICH A. GUTENPLAN GONDON BRIDGE BRIAN S. HALPERIN JUCHOCHAN MICHAEL HIRSHMANN ROBERT M. HIRSH MICHELE HIRSHMANN DOVICE S. HUANGCON DERFLA, KAPLAN RESS, KARP AN UK KORNBERG JAN UK KORNBERG MIEL J. KRAMER AVID K. LAKIDHIR TEPHEN P. LAMGE JHN E. LANGE DOC. 98 JHN E. LANGE DOC. 98 DOC. 98

VIA FACSIMILE AND HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Barbara S. Jones United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Y FILED
7/12

*NOT ADMITTED TO THE NEW YORK BAR

Windsor v. United States, 10 Civ. 8435 (BSJ) (JCF)

Dear Judge Jones:

We write on behalf of plaintiff Edie Windsor to bring to the Court's attention the decision issued earlier today by the Unites States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit holding that Section 3 of the Defense of Marriage Act ("DOMA") is unconstitutional in a case that presents substantially similar facts and raises overlapping legal issues as the above-captioned matter. *See Massachusetts* v. *Dep't of Health and Human Servs.*, *Gill* v. *Office of Pers. Mgmt.*, Nos. 10-2204, 10-2207, 10-2214 (1st Cir. May 31, 2012).

In particular, in a unanimous opinion by Judge Boudin, the First Circuit held that the burdens imposed by Section 3 of DOMA "are comparable to those the [Supreme] Court found substantial in [*Dep't of Ag.* v.] *Moreno*[, 413 U.S. 528 (1973)], *City of Cleburne* [v. *Cleburne Living Ctr.*, 473 U.S. 432 (1985)], and *Romer* [v. *Evans*, 517 U.S. 620 (1996)]." *Id.* at 19. The First Circuit proceeded to reject each of the purported justifications for Section 3 of DOMA, holding "that the rationales offered do not provide adequate support for section 3 of DOMA." *Id.* at 28.

The Honorable Barbara S. Jones

As a result of the enclosed opinion, as of today's date and since 2010 (the year in which the Complaint in the above-captioned matter was filed), every federal circuit, district, or bankruptcy court to have analyzed the constitutionality of DOMA has agreed that Section 3 of DOMA is unconstitutional for the very reasons asserted by Ms. Windsor. See id.; Dragovich v. Dep't of Treasury, No. C 10-1564 (CW), 2012 WL 1909603 (N.D. Cal. May 24, 2012); Golinski v. Office of Pers. Mgmt., 824 F. Supp. 2d 968 (N.D. Cal. 2012); Gill v. Office of Pers. Mgmt., 699 F. Supp. 2d 374 (D. Mass. 2010); In re Balas, 449 B.R. 567 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2011).

As Your Honor is aware, and as addressed in the parties' respective crossmotions, the above decisions were issued in Circuits where precedent mandated that DOMA be evaluated under rational basis review. (See Reply Mem. of Law in Supp. of Pl.'s Mot. for Summ. J. at 9–13.) As Your Honor is also aware, no such precedent binds this Court, and as a result both the Department of Justice and Ms. Windsor have respectfully requested a decision from this Court finding that Section 3 of DOMA is subject to heightened judicial scrutiny. (See id. at 13–24; Def. United States' Mem. of Law in Resp. to Pl.'s Mot. for Summ. J. and Intervenor's Mot. to Dismiss; Mem. of Law in Supp. of Pl.'s Mot. for Summ. J. at 10–31.)

For the reasons stated in our letters to the Court dated March 29, 2012 and May 29, 2012, in which we and the Department of Justice respectfully requested an expeditious decision on the pending dispositive motions, which have been pending since September 15, 2011, with the utmost respect, we renew our request that the Court decide this matter as soon as practicable in light of the pressing nature of the issues of national concern before the Court.

Respectfully submitted.

Roberta A^L Kaplan

Enclosure

cc (via email): Paul D. Clement, Esq. H. Christopher Bartolomucci, Esq. James D. Esseks, Esq. Jean Lin, Esq.