EXHIBIT CC

1	CONFIDENTIAL
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 11-CV-03605(JSR)(HBP)
4	
5	x
6	IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L.
7	Madoff Investment Securities LLC, Videotaped Deposition of:
8	Plaintiff, v. BASIL STAMOS, M.D.
	SAUL B. KATZ, et al.,
10	Defendants.
11	x
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT of testimony as taken by and before
16	LESLIE ROCKWOOD, RPR, CSR No. 3462, at the offices
17	of Shearman & Sterling, Four Embarcadero, Suite 3800,
18	San Francisco, California, on Tuesday, January 3, 2012,
19	commencing at 9:30 a.m.
20	

Α.

Ο.

Q.

0.

this email?

Thanks.

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it?

BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12 71 And when you say that "we were solvent, that we were doing well," who were you referring to? The firm was doing well. The firm being Sterling Stamos? When you said in the email -- well, actually, do you recall writing this email after having reviewed A. I don't remember, no. Do you have any reason to doubt that you sent A. I have -- sorry about that. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you just slide it up?

THE WITNESS: Better?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: I have no reason to doubt.

Q. BY MS. GRIFFIN: When -- the date of the email is December 13, 2008. Did you still have an email address with Sterling Stamos?

> Α. Yes.

When you wrote "to my brother's credit, Peter made this call many years ago," what did you mean by that?

A. I meant that he got us out of Sterling -- out

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

72

of Madoff Securities because of -- well, that he just got us out of Madoff Securities, yes.

- Q. And you say -- when you say "he got us out of Madoff Securities, "who were you referring to, to be clear?
 - A. My family and myself.
 - Q. And the reasons that he got you out were?
- A. Because of the -- one, the lack of transparency and lack of diversification.
- Q. The press release that's copied below on Trustee's 233 that says "Sterling Stamos Funds Not Invested in Madoff Securities"?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Do you know who drafted this press release?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. Do you know whether or not Sterling Stamos issued a press release in connection with the revelation of Madoff's fraud?
- A. Yes. I know this was released by Sterling Stamos, but who in particular, I do not know.
- Q. Do you know what the purpose of this press release was?
- A. Yes. I believe it was to correct the -- as I said earlier, the erroneous information that -- confusing Sterling Equities with Sterling Stamos.

Cambodia.

	75
1	A. I don't recall, and I haven't seen a response
2	here yet.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. Okay.
5	Q. We can move on.
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as
8	Trustee's 234. For the record, it's an email from
9	Basil Stamos sent Sunday, December 14, 2008 at 1:43 a.m.
10	It's Bates range SSMT00934640.
11	Mr. Stamos, have you had a chance to review
12	Trustee's 234?
13	A. If I can find it. Here it is, forgive me.
14	Okay.
15	Q.
16	
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q.
19	Can you tell me, do you recognize those email
20	addresses?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And can you tell me who has those addresses?
23	A. These were two people I was working with in
24	Cambodia on a biodiesel project for healthcare clinics in

- Q. The email, do you recognize this email?
- A. Again, just now having read it, but I don't recall having sent it to them.
- Q. Do you have any reason to doubt that you sent it to them?
 - A. No.
- Q. The email reads: Great news. Thanks, John and Tim. In case you've been following the latest news out of Wall Street, I wanted to share with you a recent press release. Madoff Securities was just charged with fraud, perhaps the biggest fraud in Wall Street history. Fortunately, my brother Peter called it over seven years ago. The man is good at what he does."

Again, can you tell me why you shared this information with John and Tim?

- A. Yes. For the same reasons with the other philanthropic partners I had.
 - Q. Which were?
- A. Which was to let them know that we were not Sterling Equities, it was Sterling Stamos, and not to confuse the two.
 - Q. And that was important to them because?
 - A. Because I'm a donor to this nonprofit.
- Q. When it refers to -- when you wrote,
 "Fortunately, my brother Peter called it over seven years

25

	FICARD V. RATZ, et al. CONFIDENTIAL BASIL STAMOS 1/3/1
	77
1	ago, "can you tell me what you're referring to there?
2	A. Yes. Again, it was the lack of
3	diversification that we I was not diversified. I had
4	all my funds in Bernie Madoff, and that it wasn't
5	transparent, and it was sort of a sigh of relief that I
6	was out.
7	Q. When you say "called it," what exactly do you
8	mean by that?
9	A. Exactly that.
10	Q. Called what?
11	A. That it was a way of saying that we should
12	get out of the fund.
13	Q. I'm going to show you what's Trustee's
14	Exhibit 235.
15	MS. BIEBER: Right here (indicating).
16	THE WITNESS: Thanks. Okay.
17	Q. BY MS. GRIFFIN: Have you had a chance to
18	review Trustee's Exhibit 235?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And it's an email from Basil Stamos sent
21	Sunday, December 14, 2008 at 1:56 a.m. to
22	?
23	A. Uh-huh.

MS. GRIFFIN: And the Bates range for the record is SSMT00934636.

78 Q. It's an email -- in the email -- well, 1 actually, do you recognize this email? 2 3 A. Yes. Do you recall sending it at or about the date 4 Ο. 5 of the email? 6 A. I don't recall. 7 Okay. Do you have any reason to doubt that you drafted this email? 8 9 A. No. 10 Q. What is the -- do you know who has the email ? 11 address 12 Α. Yes. O. And who is that? 13 14 A. His name as Q. And what is your relationship to 15 16 17 A. He was formerly with the Foundation 18 and is now the executive -- I'm sorry, he's now the CEO 19 of , the same nonprofit that I 20 mentioned before. And at the time, I was trying to recruit him to come to work for this nonprofit. 21 22 Am I correct, was -- yes, 2008, yes. 23 When you say "this nonprofit," what --Q. 24 which was involved Α. 25 with the hospital in Cambodia.

79

	/
1	Q. In your email you wrote under it says:
2	"Done. See you at 6:30 on Wednesday. Just let me know
3	the name of the restaurant. Peace, Bas. P.S., in case
4	you've been following the news from Wall Street lately, I
5	thought you might like the following press release.
6	Peter made the call on this many years ago."
7	Why were you sending this email to
8	?
9	A. For the same reasons of the previous two
10	emails.
11	Q. And just for the record to be clear.
12	A. Right. That I had that we had were
13	able to withdraw our funds and the timing seems when
14	did I say it? Yeah, he made this call. That we've
15	withdrawn our funds because of the lack of
16	diversification and transparency.
17	Q. When you say you've withdrawn the funds, that
18	means your family had withdrawn its funds
19	A. Yes.
20	Q from Madoff?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Why did you think he might like the following
23	press release? It's what you wrote there, actually.
24	A. Right.

Q. Do you know why you wrote that?

- A. Right. I was actively trying to recruit him to work for this nonprofit. And for the same reasons that I mentioned in the other emails, I didn't want people to confuse Sterling Stamos with the Sterling Equities.
- Q. Why is that? If this is not for profit, is it connected to Sterling?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And how is that?
- A. We had been major, major donors, both individually and as a firm, and I was on the board of this nonprofit.
- Q. Okay. How is it, the fact that Peter made the call on this many years ago, reflected on the solvency of Sterling Stamos? Did it?

MR. DITCHFIELD: Objection.

MS. BIEBER: Objection.

You can answer.

- Q. BY MS. GRIFFIN: What was the reason you wrote "Peter made the call on this many years ago"?
- A. Like I said, I wanted to -- first of all, I was proud of my brother for having gotten me and my family out of Bernie Madoff, and again, I didn't want any of my philanthropic partners to confuse us with Sterling Equities and the apparent amount of money that they had

81 I didn't want people to think that Sterling Stamos 1 2 had lost all of this money. 3 Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Trustee's 236. 4 5 Α. Thank you. Yes. 6 O. You've had a chance to review Trustee's 236? 7 A. Yes. 8 MS. GRIFFIN: 9 10 11 12 Do you recognize Trustee's 236? Q. 13 I don't -- I mean, I don't -- again, I recognize these people and I recognize the email, and I 14 15 do recall having sent this one. O. You do? 16 17 Yes. Α. 18 Q. Okay. Can you tell me who 19 Α. Yes. His name is Dr. . 20 Okay. And what is your relationship to Q. ? 21 22 We were donors, major donors to his Α. 23 organization called 24 Q. And who is 25 A. She's the CEO of

\bigcirc	And	in	the	email	VO11	wrote:	"Dear
\mathcal{Q} •	Δ IIQ	T 1 1	CIIC	Ciliali	you	WIOLE.	Dear

release concerning the massive Madoff Securities, a tilde, 50 billion scandal. In case you hadn't heard, this is probably the greatest case of fraud in the history of Wall Street. Fortunately, Peter made the correct call many years ago and Sterling Stamos is totally in the clear."

?

- A. Again, for the same reasons. These were major philanthropic partners, and I wanted them to know that Sterling Stamos was not Sterling Equities, which had been released -- or not released, it had been in the press before this press release came out.
- Q. Was Sterling -- was Sterling Stamos involved in all of these not-for-profits that we've been discussing in Trustee's 232 through 243?
 - A. Yes. We were donors.
- Q. Donors. When you wrote "fortunately Peter made the correct call many years ago and Sterling Stamos is totally in the clear," for the record, what did you mean by that?

MS. BIEBER: Objection.

You can answer again.

	· · ·
	83
1	THE WITNESS: That it was in my mind, it
2	was because we had withdrawn our funding from Madoff
3	Securities as a family.
4	Q. BY MS. GRIFFIN: That's what you meant by
5	"correct call"?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And when you say "Sterling Stamos is totally
8	in the clear," what did you mean by that?
9	A. That Sterling Stamos is not Sterling Equities
10	as had been in the press.
11	Q. And that was important again, why was that
12	important?
13	A. They were
14	MS. BIEBER: Objection.
15	You can answer again.
16	MS. GRIFFIN: To be clear on the record, we
17	are talking about a different exhibit altogether.
18	MS. BIEBER: He's saying the answers are the
19	same so there's no reason for him to reiterate what the
20	answers are each time when he's told you they're the
21	same.
22	MS. GRIFFIN: You can answer.
23	THE WITNESS: Those are the same reasons why
2.4	I had sent the emails to other philanthropic partners

These were major philanthropic partners.